		FILED Superior Court Of California Sacramento 08/31/2021 torowther		
1	KELLY M. KLAUS (State Bar No. 161091) kelly.klaus@mto.com	By, Deputy		
2	BRYAN H. HECKENLIVELY (State Bar No. 27 bryan.heckenlively@mto.com	79140) Case Number: 34-2021-80003612		
3	ROSE LEDA EHLER (State Bar No. 296523)	WT EGE LAGGERS IT		
4	rose.ehler@mto.com MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP 560 Mission Street			
5	Twenty-Seventh Floor			
6	San Francisco, California 94105-2907 Telephone: (415) 512-4000			
7	Facsimile: (415) 512-4077			
8	Attorneys for Intervenor National Fire Protection Association, Inc.	ı		
9				
10	LOUIS Y. LEE (State Bar No. 315753) louis.lee@morganlewis.com MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP			
11	One Market, Spear Street Tower San Francisco, CA 94105-1596			
12	J. KEVIN FEE (admitted pro hac vice)			
13				
14	jane.wise@morganlewis.com			
15	MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP 1111 Pennsylvania Ave. NW Washington, DC 20004-2541			
16				
17	Attorneys for Intervenor International Code Council, Inc.	,		
18	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
19	COUNTY OF S	SACRAMENTO		
20	Public.Resource.Org., Inc.,	Case No. 34-2021-80003612		
21	Petitioner,	ANSWER-IN-INTERVENTION		
22	vs.	Date: Dec. 17, 2021 Time: 10:00 a.m.		
23	California Office of Administrative Law, and	Dept.: 27		
24	the California Building Standards Commission,	Judge: Hon. Steven M. Gevercer		
25	Respondents.	Action Filed: March 17, 2021		
26		·		
27		•		
28				

ANSWER-IN-INTERVENTION

26

27

10. Answering paragraph 10, Intervenors allege that the first sentence of paragraph 10 consists of

legal conclusions that do not require a response. Intervenors lack sufficient information to

admit or deny the remaining allegations in paragraph 10 and on that basis deny them.

Intervenors state that Exhibits A and B are documents that speak for themselves, and Intervenors therefore deny the characterization of the documents as set forth in paragraph 10.

- 11. Answering paragraph 11, Intervenors deny the allegation in the last two sentences of paragraph 11 that OAL has "full control" of the contents of the Master Database and "maintains ownership over the CCR," to the extent that those allegations imply control over the distribution of the works. Intervenors lack sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations in paragraph 11 and on that basis deny them. Intervenors state that Exhibit B is a document that speaks for itself, and Intervenors therefore deny the characterization of the document as set forth in paragraph 11.
- 12. Answering paragraph 12, Intervenors lack sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 12 and on that basis deny them.
- 13. Answering paragraph 13, Intervenors lack sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 13 and on that basis deny them. Intervenors state that Exhibit C is a document that speaks for itself, and Intervenors therefore deny the characterization of the documents as set forth in paragraph 13.
- 14. Answering paragraph 14, Intervenors lack sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 14 and on that basis deny them. Intervenors state that Exhibit D is a document that speaks for itself, and Intervenors therefore deny the characterization of the document as set forth in paragraph 14.
- 15. Answering paragraph 15, Intervenors lack sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 15 and on that basis deny them.
- 16. Answering paragraph 16, Intervenors lack sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 16 and on that basis deny them.
- 17. Answering paragraph 17, Intervenors lack sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 17 and on that basis deny them.
- 18. Answering paragraph 18, Intervenors lack sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 18 and on that basis deny them. Intervenors state that Exhibit D is a

6

7

10

11 12

13

14 15

16 17

18

19

2021

22

2324

26

25

27

28

document that speaks for itself, and Intervenors therefore deny the characterization of the document as set forth in paragraph 18.

- 19. Answering paragraph 19, Intervenors lack sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 19 and on that basis deny them. Intervenors state that Exhibit D is a document that speaks for itself, and Intervenors therefore deny the characterization of the document as set forth in paragraph 19.
- 20. Answering paragraph 20 and the footnote thereto, Intervenors lack sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations pertaining to the International Association of Plumbing and Mechanical Officials and on that basis deny them. Intervenor NFPA admits that it sells copies of its copyrighted publication, the National Electrical Code. NFPA also sells copies of the publication entitled the California Electrical Code ("CEC"), which includes the NEC and the California-enacted amendments to the NEC. NFPA publishes and distributes the portions of the CEC that NFPA does not author pursuant to agreement with the BSC. NFPA further admits that it sells printed copies of the CEC for \$216.50. Intervenor ICC admits that it sells copies of its copyrighted publications including the International Building Code, International Residential Code, International Fire Code, and International Existing Building Code. admits that it sells printed copies of the Title 24 of the California Code of Regulations ("CCR"). ICC publishes and distributes the CCR that ICC does not author pursuant to agreement with the BSC. ICC admits that it sells a multi-tiered subscription service (Basic and Premium) that provide additional capabilities for Title 24 of the CCR, Parts 1, 2, 2.5, 6, 8, 9, 10, 11, and 12, referred to as Basic Plus, Premium Lite, and Premium. ICC denies that it sells Title 24 of the CCR through its Basic service, which is offered online at no cost. NFPA and ICC also make the same and other publications available for no charge online in read-only format. Except as otherwise admitted, Intervenors deny the allegations in paragraph 20 and the footnote thereto.
- 21. Answering paragraph 21, Intervenors lack sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 21 and on that basis deny them. Intervenors state that Exhibit F is a document that speaks for itself, and Intervenors therefore deny the characterization of the document as set forth in paragraph 21.

- 22. Answering paragraph 22, Intervenors lack sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 22 and on that basis deny them. Intervenors state that Exhibit G is a document that speaks for itself, and Intervenors therefore deny the characterization of the document as set forth in paragraph 22.
- 23. Answering paragraph 23, Intervenors admit that print editions of Title 24 are available at libraries and for purchase. Intervenors lack sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations in paragraph 23 and on that basis deny them. Intervenors state that Exhibit G is a document that speaks for itself, and Intervenors therefore deny the characterization of the document as set forth in paragraph 23.
- 24. Answering paragraph 24, Intervenors admit that the sections of Title 24 that contain their copyrighted works can be viewed online for free in read-only format. Intervenors lack sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations in paragraph 24 and on that basis deny them. Intervenors state that Exhibit G is a document that speaks for itself, and Intervenors therefore deny the characterization of the document as set forth in paragraph 24.
- 25. Answering paragraph 25, Intervenors admit that Title 24 includes standards produced by Intervenors, that BSC does not have the publishing rights to the sections of Title 24 that contain Intervenors' copyrighted works, that it cannot provide free copies to the public, and that those works retain copyright protections. Intervenors lack sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations in paragraph 25 and on that basis deny them.
- 26. Answering paragraph 26, Intervenors lack sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 26 and on that basis deny them. Intervenors state that Exhibit H is a document that speaks for itself, and Intervenors therefore deny the characterization of the document as set forth in paragraph 26.
- 27. Answering paragraph 27, Intervenors lack sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 28 regarding what Petitioner's letter stated and on that basis deny them. The remaining allegations in paragraph 27 consists of legal conclusions that do not require a response. Intervenors state that Exhibit H is a document that speaks for itself, and Intervenors therefore deny the characterization of the document as set forth in paragraph 27.

28.	28. Answering paragraph 28, Intervenors lack sufficient information to admit or deny the		
	allegations in paragraph 28 regarding what Petitioner's letter stated and on that basis deny		
	them. The remaining allegations in paragraph 28 consists of legal conclusions that do not		
	require a response. Intervenors state that Exhibit H is a document that speaks for itself, and		
	Intervenors therefore deny the characterization of the document as set forth in paragraph 28		

- 29. Answering paragraph 29, Intervenors lack sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 29 regarding what Petitioner's letter stated and on that basis deny them. The remaining allegations in paragraph 29 consists of legal conclusions that do not require a response. Intervenors state that Exhibit H is a document that speaks for itself, and Intervenors therefore deny the characterization of the document as set forth in paragraph 29.
- 30. Answering paragraph 30, Intervenors lack sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 30 and on that basis deny them. Intervenors state that Exhibit I is a document that speaks for itself, and Intervenors therefore deny the characterization of the document as set forth in paragraph 30.
- 31. Answering paragraph 31, Intervenors allege that the paragraph consists of legal conclusions that do not require a response.
- 32. Answering paragraph 32, Intervenors allege that the paragraph consists of legal conclusions that do not require a response.
- 33. Answering paragraph 33, Intervenors allege that the paragraph consists of legal conclusions that do not require a response.
- 34. Answering paragraph 34, Intervenors allege that the paragraph consists of legal conclusions that do not require a response.
  - 35. Answering paragraph 35, Intervenors lack sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations in the first sentence of paragraph 35 and on that basis deny them. Intervenors allege that the second sentence of paragraph 35 consists of legal conclusions that do not require a response. Intervenors admit the allegations in the third sentence of paragraph 35.
- 36. Answering paragraph 36, Intervenors allege that the paragraph consists of legal conclusions that do not require a response.

- 37. Answering paragraph 37, Intervenors allege that the paragraph consists of legal conclusions that do not require a response.
- 38. Answering paragraph 38 and the footnote thereto, Intervenors lack sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations in the final sentence of paragraph 38 and on that basis denies them. Intervenors allege that the remainder of the paragraph and the footnote thereto consists of legal conclusions that do not require a response.
- 39. Answering paragraph 39, Intervenors lack sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations in the second sentence of paragraph 39 and on that basis deny them. Intervenors allege that the remainder of the paragraph consists of legal conclusions that do not require a response. Intervenors state that Exhibits D and G are documents that speak for themselves, and Intervenors therefore deny the characterization of the document as set forth in paragraph 39.
- 40. Answering paragraph 40, Intervenors allege that the paragraph consists of legal conclusions that do not require a response.
- 41. Answering paragraph 41 and the footnote thereto, Intervenors lack sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations regarding the agreement between OAL and West and on that basis deny them. Intervenors allege that the remainder of the paragraph and the footnote thereto consists of legal conclusions that do not require a response. Intervenors state that Exhibit B is a document that speaks for itself, and Intervenors therefore deny the characterization of the documents as set forth in paragraph 41.
- 42. Answering paragraph 42, Intervenors allege that the paragraph consists of legal conclusions that do not require a response.
- 43. Answering paragraph 43, Intervenors allege that the paragraph consists of legal conclusions that do not require a response.
- 44. Answering paragraph 44, Intervenors lack sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations in the first sentence of the paragraph and on that basis deny them. Intervenors allege that the remainder of the paragraph consists of legal conclusions that do not require a

- response. Intervenors state that Exhibit G is a document that speaks for itself, and Intervenors therefore deny the characterization of the document as set forth in paragraph 44.
- 45. Answering paragraph 45, Intervenors allege that the paragraph consists of legal conclusions that do not require a response.
- 46. Answering paragraph 46, Intervenors allege that the paragraph consists of legal conclusions that do not require a response.
- 47. Answering paragraph 47, Intervenors allege that the paragraph consists of legal conclusions that do not require a response.
- 48. Answering paragraph 48, Intervenors allege that the paragraph consists of legal conclusions that do not require a response.
- 49. Answering paragraph 49, Intervenors lack sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations in the first sentence of paragraph 49 and on that basis deny them. Intervenors allege that the remainder of the paragraph consists of legal conclusions that do not require a response. Intervenors state that Exhibits D and G are documents that speak for themselves, and Intervenors therefore deny the characterization of the documents as set forth in paragraph 49.
- 50. Answering paragraph 50 and the footnote thereto, Intervenors admit that ICC makes read-only versions of Title 24, Parts 1, 2, 2.5, 6, 8, 9, 10, 11 and 12 available online for free at the URL alleged and that NFPA makes a read-only version of Title 24, Part 3 available online for free at the URL alleged. Intervenors lack sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 50 regarding (a) statements made by Respondents and (b) the practices of IAMPO and West and on that basis deny them. Intervenors allege that the remainder of the paragraph and the footnote thereto consists of legal conclusions that do not require a response. Intervenors state that Exhibits D and G are documents that speak for themselves, and Intervenors therefore deny the characterization of the documents as set forth in paragraph 50.
- 51. Answering paragraph 51, Intervenors allege that the paragraph consists of legal conclusions that do not require a response. Intervenors state that Exhibit G is a document that speaks for

that do not require a response.

- 62. Answering paragraph 62, Intervenors allege that the paragraph consists of legal conclusions that do not require a response.
- 63. Answering paragraph 63, Intervenors allege that the paragraph consists of legal conclusions that do not require a response.
- 64. Answering paragraph 64, Intervenors allege that the paragraph consists of legal conclusions that do not require a response.
- 65. Answering paragraph 65, Intervenors allege that the paragraph consists of legal conclusions that do not require a response.

## **AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES**

In support of their affirmative defenses, NFPA and ICC allege the following facts:

- 1. NFPA and ICC are non-profit entities that create voluntary consensus standards in highly technical areas, such as electrical installation and construction. Governmental bodies often incorporate portions of these standards by reference. This is a valuable public-private partnership, and the results of that partnership greatly benefit the public. NFPA's and ICC's standards are developed with substantial expertise and provide accurate, high-quality works that resource-constrained governmental entities otherwise would have to create themselves. The existence and refinement of these standards serves the critical public interest in safety.
- NFPA and ICC receive remuneration when they sell copies to or license the
  exercise of their exclusive rights to people and businesses that use these works in their commercial
  trade. NFPA and ICC rely on this revenue to fund their development and updating of their
  standards.
- 3. BSC has incorporated by reference NFPA's National Electrical Code ("NEC"), with certain California-specific amendments. The combined document consisting of the NEC and the California-specific amendments is published under the title, California Electrical Code, which appears at Title 24, Part 3 of the California Code of Regulations. California's Office of Administrative Law ("OAL") has also incorporated other NEC standards into Titles 8 and 19 of the California Code of Regulations.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

- 5. NFPA and ICC retain copyrights in their standards. Title 24, Parts 2, 2.5 9, and 10 consists of copyrighted works of ICC. Title 24, Part 3 consists of a copyrighted work of NFPA. Title 8 and Title 19 also contain copyrighted works of NFPA. NFPA and ICC have not authorized BSC, OAL, or any other person or entity to make and distribute unrestricted copies of their copyrighted works. Yet the Petition seeks to compel Respondents to produce copyrighted works created and published by NFPA and ICC without any restrictions on use, copying or dissemination.
- 6. Protecting Intervenors' copyright interest ensures that Intervenors have the resources and incentive to develop and improve standards in areas such as building and fire safety.
- 7. The public interest in the disclosure Petitioner seeks is minimal because the documents are already available online free of charge in read only format. NFPA makes read-only versions of Title 8, Title 19, and Title 24, Part 3 available for no cost online. ICC makes read-only versions of Title 24, Parts 1, 2, 2.5, 6, 8, 9, 10, 11 and 12 available for no cost online. Anyone who wants to learn what the documents say can easily do so and without incurring any cost.

## FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE – GOVERNMENT CODE 2654(K)

The portions of Title 8, Title 19, and Title 24, Parts 2, 2.5, 3,9 and 10consisting of NFPA's and ICC's copyrighted material ("Intervenors' Copyrighted Works") are exempt from disclosure under Government Code section 6254(k). Federal copyright law prohibits Respondents from disclosing Intervenors' Copyrighted Works in the manner requested.

## **SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE - PREEMPTION**

The Public Records Act is preempted by the federal Copyright Act, 17 U.S.C. § 301, to the extent it would require Respondents to provide unauthorized copies of Intervenor's Copyrighted Works to Petitioner.

## THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE – GOVERNMENT CODE 6255

Intervenors' Copyrighted Works are exempt from disclosure under Government Code section 6255. The public interest served by not disclosing Intervenors' Copyrighted Works clearly outweighs the public interest served by disclosure of the record.

I			
2			
3	DATED: August 31, 2021	MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP	
4			
5		By: /s/ Bryan H. Heckenlively	
6		BRYAN H. HECKENLIVELY	
7		Attorneys for Intervenor National Fire Protection Association, Inc.	
8	DATED: August 31, 2021	MORGAN, LEWS & BOCKIUS LLP	
9			
10		By: /s/ Louis Y. Lee	
11		LOUIS Y. LEE	
12		Attorneys for Intervenor International Code Council, Inc.	
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21 22			
23			
24			
25	,		
26			
27			
28			
20			
	-12- ANSWER-IN-INTERVENTION		