FILED/ENDORSED MATTHEW RODRIQUEZ Acting Attorney General of California 2 MICHELLE M. MITCHELL APR 2 3 2021 Supervising Deputy Attorney General 3 KEITH L. WURSTER Deputy Attorney General T Crowther State Bar No. 198918 Deputy Clerk 4 LAURA A. RANDLES-LITTLE 5 Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 232930 1300 I Street, Suite 125 P.O. Box 944255 Sacramento, CA 94244-2550 7 Telephone: (916) 210-6504 8 E-mail: Laura.RandlesLittle@doj.ca.gov Attorneys for Respondent Office of Administrative Law 9 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 10 COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO 11 12 CIVIL DIVISION 13 14 PUBLIC.RESOURCE.ORG, INC., Case No. 34-2021-80003612 15 Petitioner, RESPONDENT CALIFORNIA OFFICE 16 OF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW'S ANSWER TO THE VERIFIED 17 PETITION FOR PREEMPTORY WRIT OF MANDATE ORDERING **COMPLIANCE WITH THE** 18 CALIFORNIA OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW, and the CALIFORNIA PUBLIC RECORDS ACT 19 CALIFORNIA BUILDING STANDARDS COMMISSION. Dept: 20 Judge: Steven M. Gevercer Respondents. Trial Date: Not Set 21 Action Filed: March 17, 2021 22 23 Respondent California Office of Administrative Law ("OAL") hereby answers the Verified Petition for Peremptory Writ of Mandate ("Petition") of Petitioner Public Resource Org, Inc. 24 Respondent OAL responds to the Petition by admitting, denying, averring and alleging as 25 follows: 26 27 28

4

5 6

7

8

9

10 11

12 13

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

23

22

24

25 26

27

28

ANSWER TO PETITIONER'S INTRODUCTORY ALLEGATIONS

- Answering paragraph 1 of the Petition, Respondent OAL admits the California Public Records Act is codified in Government Code sections 6250 et seq. Respondent OAL also admits the California Constitution addresses the public's access to public records. (Cal. Const., art. I, § 3.) Respondent OAL admits that the referenced statutes and California Constitution speak for themselves. Except as otherwise admitted or denied above, Respondent OAL denies each and every remaining allegation contained in paragraph 1.
- Answering paragraph 2 of the Petition, Respondent OAL admits it received a request for records under the Public Records Act from Petitioner sometime between December 2020 and February 2021. Respondent OAL denies that it refused the request and that its responses did not comply with the Public Records Act. To the extent this paragraph references correspondence between Petitioner and Respondent California Building Standards Commission ("BSC"), Respondent OAL lacks sufficient knowledge to form a belief as to the truth of such allegations and on that basis denies those allegations. Except as otherwise admitted or denied above, Respondent OAL denies each and every remaining allegation contained in paragraph 2.
- 3. Answering paragraph 3 of the Petition, the allegations in this paragraph constitute legal argument and conclusion, and on such basis Respondent OAL denies the allegations. To the extent the allegations pertain to actions of Respondent BSC, or what Respondent BSC possesses, Respondent OAL lacks sufficient knowledge to form a belief as to the truth of such allegations and on that basis denies those allegations. Except as otherwise admitted or denied above, Respondent OAL denies each and every remaining allegation contained in paragraph 3.
- 4. Answering paragraph 4 of the Petition, Respondent OAL denies that Petitioner is entitled to the relief requested, or to any relief at all. Except as otherwise admitted or denied above, Respondent OAL denies each and every remaining allegation contained in paragraph 4.

ANSWER TO PETITIONER'S DESCRIPTION OF THE PARTIES

5. Answering paragraph 5 of the Petition, Respondent OAL lacks sufficient knowledge to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in said paragraph and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained in paragraph 5.

- 6. Answering the first sentence of paragraph 6 of the Petition, Respondent OAL admits the allegations in said sentence. Answering the second sentence of paragraph 6, Respondent OAL admits it is responsible for reviewing administrative regulations from over 200 state agencies and transmits approved regulations to the Secretary of State. Answering the third sentence of paragraph 6, Respondent OAL denies that Title 6 has been revoked and admits the remaining allegations in said sentence.
- 7. Answering paragraph 7 of the Petition, Respondent OAL lacks sufficient knowledge to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in said paragraph and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained in paragraph 7.

ANSWER TO PETITIONER'S ALLEGATIONS REGARDING JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 8. Answering paragraph 8 of the Petition, Respondent OAL admits that this court has jurisdiction. Respondent OAL admits the statutes speak for themselves. Except as otherwise admitted or denied above, Respondent OAL denies each and every remaining allegation contained in paragraph 8.
- 9. Answering paragraph 9 of the Petition, Respondent OAL admits that venue is proper and Respondent OAL is a public agency that maintains an official office in Sacramento. Except as otherwise admitted or denied above, Respondent OAL denies each and every remaining allegation contained in paragraph 9.

ANSWER TO PETITIONER'S DESCRIPTION OF FACTS

10. Answering paragraph 10 of the Petition, Respondent OAL admits it ensures that agency regulations are clear, necessary, legally valid, and available to the public. Respondent OAL further admits it reviews regulations from over 200 state agencies, transmits approved regulations to the Secretary of State, and manages the publication of the California Code of Regulations (except for Title 24.) Respondent OAL admits it contracts with a third party, West Publishing Corporation ("West"), to publish the California Code of Regulations. Respondent OAL admits Exhibit A speaks for itself. Respondent OAL admits that a prior version of the contract is attached as Exhibit B and that it is no longer the operative contract and that Exhibit B

speaks for itself. Respondent OAL further admits that the current contract between OAL and West at page 9 states in part:

The contractor shall maintain the Official California Code of Regulations (CCR) in an electronic database, which for purposes of this contract shall be referred to as the "Master Database." To ensure that all CCR products accurately reflect the Official CCR content, the Master Database must be the source for all hard copy text and electronic products as well as the source for the contents of the Internet CCR.

Except as otherwise admitted or denied above, Respondent OAL denies each and every remaining allegation contained in paragraph 10.

- 11. Answering paragraph 11 of the petition, Respondent OAL admits Exhibit B speaks for itself and that Exhibit B is not the currently operative contract. Except as otherwise admitted or denied above, Respondent OAL denies each and every remaining allegation contained in paragraph 11.
- 12. Answering paragraph 12 of the Petition, Respondent OAL admits West provides an online version of the California Code of Regulations to the public. Except as admitted or denied above, Respondent OAL lacks sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth of the additional allegations contained in said paragraph and on that basis denies each and every additional allegation contained in paragraph 12.
- 13. Answering paragraph 13 of the Petition, Respondent OAL admits it received a request for records on or about December 29, 2020 that is attached to the Petition as Exhibit C. Respondent OAL admits that Exhibit C speaks for itself. Except as otherwise admitted or denied above, Respondent OAL denies each and every remaining allegation contained in paragraph 13.
- 14. Answering paragraph 14 of the Petition, Respondent OAL admits that its responses to the request for records on January 8, 2021 and January 22, 2021 are attached to the Petition as Exhibit D at pages numbered by Petitioner with BATES numbers 00036-00037 and 00035-00036 respectively. Respondent OAL admits Exhibit D speaks for itself. Except as otherwise admitted or denied above, Respondent OAL denies each and every remaining allegation contained in paragraph 14.

- 15. Answering paragraph 15 of the Petition, Respondent OAL admits that sometime after February 3, 2021 it received a letter from Petitioner. Respondent OAL admits that the letter dated February 3, 2021 speaks for itself. Except as otherwise admitted or denied above, Respondent OAL denies each and every remaining allegation contained in paragraph 15.
- 16. Answering paragraph 16 of the Petition, Respondent OAL admits Exhibit E speaks for itself. To the extent paragraph 16 contains argument and legal conclusions, Respondent OAL denies those allegations. Except as otherwise admitted or denied above, Respondent OAL denies each and every remaining allegation contained in paragraph 16.
- 17. Answering paragraph 17 of the Petition, Respondent OAL admits that Exhibit E speaks for itself. To the extent paragraph 17 contains argument and legal conclusions, Respondent OAL denies those allegations. Except as otherwise admitted or denied above, Respondent OAL denies each and every remaining allegation contained in paragraph 17.
- 18. Answering paragraph 18 of the Petition, Respondent OAL admits that it sent a communication to Petitioner on February 17, 2021 which is attached to the Petition as Exhibit D at pages numbered by Petitioner with BATES numbers 00033-00034. Respondent OAL further admits that Exhibit D speaks for itself. Except as otherwise admitted or denied above, Respondent OAL denies each and every remaining allegation contained in paragraph 18.
- 19. Answering paragraph 19 of the Petition, Respondent OAL admits that it received additional communications from Petitioner on or about February 19, 2021 and February 24, 2021 which are attached to the Petition as Exhibit D at pages numbered by Petitioner with BATES numbers 00033 and 00032-00033 respectively. Respondent OAL admits it sent communications to Petitioner on February 26, 2021 and March 2, 2021 which are attached to the Petition as Exhibit D at pages numbered by Petitioner with BATES numbers 00031-00032 and 00031 respectively. Respondent OAL further admits that Exhibit D speaks for itself. Except as otherwise admitted or denied above, Respondent OAL denies each and every remaining allegation contained in paragraph 19.
- 20. Answering paragraph 20 of the Petition, Respondent OAL lacks sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph and on

that basis denies each and every allegation contained in paragraph 20 including the allegations contained in footnote 2.

- 21. Answering paragraph 21 of the Petition, Respondent OAL lacks sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained in paragraph 21.
- 22. Answering paragraph 22 of the Petition, Respondent OAL lacks sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained in paragraph 22.
- 23. Answering paragraph 23 of the Petition, Respondent OAL lacks sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained in paragraph 23.
- 24. Answering paragraph 24 of the Petition, Respondent OAL lacks sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained in paragraph 24.
- 25. Answering paragraph 25 of the Petition, Respondent OAL lacks sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained in paragraph 25.
- 26. Answering paragraph 26 of the Petition, Respondent OAL lacks sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained in paragraph 26.
- 27. Answering paragraph 27 of the Petition, Respondent OAL lacks sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained in paragraph 27.
- 28. Answering paragraph 28 of the Petition, Respondent OAL lacks sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained in paragraph 28.

- 29. Answering paragraph 29 of the Petition, Respondent OAL lacks sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained in paragraph 29.
- 30. Answering paragraph 30 of the Petition, Respondent OAL lacks sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained in paragraph 30.

ANSWER TO PETITIONER'S OVERVIEW OF THE CALIFORNIA PUBLIC RECORDS

ACT

- 31. Answering paragraph 31 of the Petition, Respondent OAL admits the California Public Records Act was enacted in 1968 and is codified at Government Code sections 6250 et seq. Respondent OAL further admits the California Constitution addresses the public's access to public records. (Cal. Const., art. I, § 3.) Respondent OAL also admits the statute and the California Constitution speak for themselves. Except as otherwise admitted or denied above, Respondent OAL denies each and every remaining allegation contained in paragraph 31.
- 32. Answering paragraph 32 of the Petition, Respondent OAL admits that Government Code section 6253.9 speaks for itself. Except as otherwise admitted or denied above, Respondent OAL denies each and every remaining allegation contained in paragraph 32.
- 33. Answering paragraph 33 of the Petition, Respondent OAL admits that Government Code sections 6252 and 6253 speak for themselves. To the extent paragraph 33 contains argument and legal conclusions, Respondent OAL denies those allegations. Except as otherwise admitted or denied above, Respondent OAL denies each and every remaining allegation contained in paragraph 33.
- 34. Answering paragraph 34 of the Petition, paragraph 34 constitutes argument and legal conclusions, and on that basis Respondent OAL denies such allegations. Except as otherwise admitted or denied above, Respondent OAL denies each and every remaining allegation contained in paragraph 34.
- 35. Answering paragraph 35 of the Petition, Respondent OAL admits that Government Code section 6252 speaks for itself. Respondent OAL further admits that the California Code of

7

12 13

14 15

> 16 17

18

19 20

21 22

23 24

25

27

28

26

Regulations speaks for itself. Except as otherwise admitted or denied above, Respondent OAL denies each and every remaining allegation contained in paragraph 35.

- Answering paragraph 36 of the Petition, Respondent OAL admits there is a statutory framework governing the California Code of Regulations. Respondent OAL admits Government Code section 11342.4 and Health and Safety Code section 18930, subdivision (a), speak for themselves. Except as otherwise admitted or denied above, Respondent OAL denies each and every remaining allegation contained in paragraph 36.
- Answering paragraph 37 of the Petition, paragraph 37 contains argument and legal conclusions, and on that basis Respondent OAL denies such allegations. Except as otherwise admitted or denied above, Respondent OAL denies each and every remaining allegation contained in paragraph 37.
- 38. Answering paragraph 38 of the Petition, paragraph 38 contains argument and legal conclusions, and on that basis Respondent OAL denies such allegations. Except as otherwise admitted or denied above, Respondent OAL denies each and every remaining allegation contained in paragraph 38 including the allegations contained in footnote 3.
- 39. Answering paragraph 39 of the Petition, Respondent OAL admits the language of Exhibits D and G speak for themselves. To the extent paragraph 39 constitutes Petitioner's legal argument and legal conclusion, Respondent OAL denies such allegations. Insofar as paragraph 39 alleges material facts relating to Respondent BSC, Respondent OAL lacks knowledge to admit the allegations and on that basis denies all such allegations. Except as otherwise admitted or denied above, Respondent OAL denies each and every remaining allegation contained in paragraph 39.
- Answering paragraph 40 of the Petition, paragraph 40 constitutes argument and legal conclusions, and on that basis Respondent OAL denies such allegations. Except as otherwise admitted or denied above, Respondent OAL denies each and every remaining allegation contained in paragraph 40.
- Answering paragraph 41 of the Petition, Respondent OAL admits that Exhibit B speaks for itself and that Exhibit B is not the currently operative contract. Paragraph 41 contains

27.

argument and legal conclusions, and on such basis Respondent OAL denies such allegations.

Except as otherwise admitted or denied above, Respondent OAL denies each and every remaining allegation contained in paragraph 41 including the allegations contained in footnote 4.

- 42. Answering paragraph 42 of the Petition, Respondent OAL admits Government Code section 6270 speaks for itself. Paragraph 42 contains Petitioner's argument and legal conclusions, and on such basis Respondent OAL denies such allegations. Except as otherwise admitted or denied above, Respondent OAL denies each and every remaining allegation contained in paragraph 42.
- 43. Answering paragraph 43 of the Petition, this paragraph contains argument and legal conclusions, and on that basis Respondent OAL denies such allegations. Except as otherwise admitted or denied above, Respondent OAL denies each and every remaining allegation contained in paragraph 43.
- 44. Answering paragraph 44 of the Petition, this paragraph constitutes Petitioner's arguments and legal conclusions, and on that basis Respondent OAL denies such allegations. Insofar as paragraph 44 alleges material facts relating to Respondent BSC, Respondent OAL lacks sufficient knowledge to admit the allegations and on that basis denies all such allegations. Except as otherwise admitted or denied above, Respondent OAL denies each and every remaining allegation contained in paragraph 44.
- 45. Answering paragraph 45 of the Petition, paragraph 45 constitutes arguments and legal conclusions, and on that basis Respondent OAL denies such allegations. Except as otherwise admitted or denied above, Respondent OAL denies each and every remaining allegation contained in paragraph 45.
- 46. Answering paragraph 46 of the Petition, paragraph 46 constitutes arguments and legal conclusions, and on that basis Respondent OAL denies such allegations. Except as otherwise admitted or denied above, Respondent OAL denies each and every remaining allegation contained in paragraph 46.
- 47. Answering paragraph 47 of the Petition, paragraph 47 constitutes arguments and legal conclusions, and on that basis Respondent OAL denies such allegations. Except as otherwise

admitted or denied above, Respondent OAL denies each and every remaining allegation contained in paragraph 47.

- 48. Answering paragraph 48 of the Petition, paragraph 48 constitutes arguments and legal conclusions and on that basis Respondent OAL denies such allegations. Except as otherwise admitted or denied above, Respondent OAL denies each and every remaining allegation contained in paragraph 48.
- 49. Answering paragraph 49 of the Petition, Respondent OAL admits the California Code of Regulations is currently widely available in various formats. Respondent OAL admits that Exhibits D and G speak for themselves. Paragraph 49 contains arguments and legal conclusions, and on that basis Respondent OAL denies those allegations. Except as otherwise admitted or denied above, Respondent OAL denies each and every remaining allegation contained in paragraph 49.
- 50. Answering paragraph 50 of the Petition, Respondent OAL admits the regulations are published at the websites cited in paragraph 50. Respondent OAL further admits Exhibits D and G speak for themselves. Paragraph 50 contains argument and legal conclusions, and on that basis Respondent OAL denies such allegations. Except as otherwise admitted or denied above, Respondent OAL denies each and every remaining allegation contained in paragraph 50 including the allegations in footnote 5.
- 51. Answering paragraph 51 of the Petition, Respondent OAL admits that Exhibit G and Government Code section 6253.9 speak for themselves. Paragraph 51 contains argument and legal conclusions, and on that basis Respondent OAL denies such allegations. Except as otherwise admitted or denied above, Respondent OAL denies each and every remaining allegation contained in paragraph 51.
- 52. Answering paragraph 52 of the Petition, Respondent OAL admits that Exhibit D and Government Code section 6250 speak for themselves. Paragraph 52 contains argument and legal conclusions, and on that basis Respondent OAL denies such allegations. Except as otherwise admitted or denied above, Respondent OAL denies each and every remaining allegation contained in paragraph 52.

- 53. Answering paragraph 53 of the Petition, Respondent OAL admits that Government Code sections 6254-6254.30 and 6255 speak for themselves. Paragraph 53 contains argument and legal conclusions, and on that basis Respondent OAL denies such allegations. Except as otherwise admitted or denied above, Respondent OAL denies each and every remaining allegation contained in paragraph 53.
- 54. Answering paragraph 54 of the Petition, Respondent OAL admits Exhibits D, G and I speak for themselves. Paragraph 54 contains argument and legal conclusions, and on that basis Respondent OAL denies such allegations. Except as otherwise admitted or denied above, Respondent OAL denies each and every remaining allegation contained in paragraph 54.

ANSWER TO PETITIONER'S OVERVIEW OF WRIT PROCEDURE

- 55. Answering paragraph 55 of the Petition, Respondent OAL admits Government Code section 6259 speaks for itself. Paragraph 55 contains argument and legal conclusions, and on that basis Respondent OAL denies such allegations. Except as otherwise admitted or denied above, Respondent OAL denies each and every remaining allegation contained in paragraph 55.
- 56. Answering paragraph 56 of the Petition, Respondent OAL admits Government Code section 6259 speaks for itself. Paragraph 56 contains argument and legal conclusions, and on that basis Respondent OAL denies such allegations. Except as otherwise admitted or denied above, Respondent OAL denies each and every remaining allegation contained in paragraph 56.
- 57. Answering paragraph 57 of the Petition, Respondent OAL admits Government Code section 6258 speaks for itself. Paragraph 57 contains argument and legal conclusions, and on that basis Respondent OAL denies such allegations. Except as otherwise admitted or denied above, Respondent OAL denies each and every remaining allegation contained in paragraph 57.
- 58. Answering paragraph 58 of the Petition, paragraph 58 contains argument and legal conclusions, and on that basis Respondent OAL denies such allegations. Except as otherwise admitted or denied above, Respondent OAL denies each and every remaining allegation contained in paragraph 58.

27 | .

28 | ///

ANSWER TO PETITIONER'S FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

- 59. Answering paragraph 59 of the Petition, Respondent OAL hereby incorporates by reference the admissions and denials contained in paragraphs 1-58 above, and except as expressly alleged or admitted in paragraphs 1 through 58, Respondent OAL denies each and every allegation.
- 60. Answering paragraph 60 of the Petition, paragraph 60 constitutes argument and legal conclusions, and on that basis Respondent OAL denies the allegations. Except as otherwise admitted or denied above, Respondent OAL denies each and every remaining allegation contained in paragraph 60.

ANSWER TO PETITIONER'S SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

- 61. Answering paragraph 61 of the Petition, Respondent OAL hereby incorporates by reference the admissions and denials contained in paragraphs 1-58 above, and except as expressly alleged or admitted in paragraphs 1 through 58, Respondent OAL denies each and every allegation.
- 62. Answering paragraph 62 of the Petition, paragraph 62 constitutes argument and legal conclusions, and that basis Respondent OAL denies the allegations. To the extent the allegations pertain the actions of Respondent BSC, Respondent OAL lacks sufficient knowledge to admit any alleged act or failure to act on the part of Respondent BSC and on that basis denies such allegations.

Respondent OAL denies that Petitioner is entitled to the relief requested set forth in the prayer for relief in paragraphs 63-65, or to any relief at all.

In addition, Respondent OAL asserts the following defenses based on information and belief.

24 | ///

19

20

21

22

23

25 | ///

26 | ///

27 | ///

28 | ///

1	FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
2.	The Petition fails to allege facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action against Responden	
3	OAL.	
4	SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
5	Respondent OAL complied with all applicable laws at all relevant times including, but not	
.6	limited to, Government Code sections 11340 et seq. and Government Code sections 6254,	
7	subdivision (k), and 6255.	
8	THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
9	Respondent OAL has not knowingly or intentionally waived any exemption to disclosure,	
10	applicable defense or affirmative defense.	
11	FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
12	The Petition fails to allege facts sufficient to state a claim for attorneys' fees.	
13	FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
14	Any responsive records not disclosed by Respondent OAL to Petitioner are exempt from	
15	disclosure under the Public Records Act.	
16	SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
17	Because much of the Petition is alleged in conclusory terms, all affirmative defenses that	
18	may be applicable cannot be fully anticipated. Accordingly, Respondent OAL reserves the right	
19	to assert additional affirmative defenses if applicable.	
20	WHEREFORE, Respondent OAL prays for relief as follows:	
21	1. Deny Petitioner's Petition for writ of mandate;	
22	2. Deny Petitioner's request for attorney's fees and costs;	
23	3. Enter judgement in favor of Respondent OAL;	
24	4. Award Respondent OAL all costs of suit; and	
25	5. Award Respondent OAL such further relief that the Court deems just and proper.	
26		
27		

		· ·
1	Dated: April 23, 2021	Respectfully Submitted,
2		MATTHEW RODRIQUEZ
3		Acting Attorney General of California MICHELLE M. MITCHELL Supervising Deputy Attorney General
4		^
5		Day on Vandley St. Las
6		Laure Rurally Kittle
7		Laura A. Randles-Little
		Deputy Attorney General KEITH L. WURSTER
8		Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Respondent Office of Administrative Law
. 9		Office of Administrative Law
10	SA2021301395	
11	34998035_2.docx	
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23	1	
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

DECLARATION OF SERVICE BY E-MAIL and U.S. Mail

Case Name:

Public.Resource.Org., Inc. v. California Office of Administrative Law,

California Building Standards Commission

No.:

34-2021-80003612

I declare:

I am employed in the Office of the Attorney General, which is the office of a member of the California State Bar, at which member's direction this service is made. I am 18 years of age or older and not a party to this matter. I am familiar with the business practice at the Office of the Attorney General for collection and processing of correspondence for mailing with the United States Postal Service. In accordance with that practice, correspondence placed in the internal mail collection system at the Office of the Attorney General is deposited with the United States Postal Service with postage thereon fully prepaid that same day in the ordinary course of business.

On <u>April 23, 2021</u>, I served the attached **RESPONDENT CALIFORNIA OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW'S ANSWER TO THE VERIFIED PETITION FOR PREEMPTORY WRIT OF MANDATE ORDERING COMPLIANCE WITH THE CALIFORNIA PUBLIC RECORDS ACT** by transmitting a true copy via electronic mail. In addition, I placed a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope, in the internal mail system of the Office of the Attorney General, addressed as follows:

Matthew Caplan
Joseph D. Mornin
Ryan O'Hollaren
Cooley LLP - San Francisco
101 California Street, 5th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94111
E-mail Addresses:
mcaplan@cooley.com
jmornin@cooley.com
rohollaren@cooley.com
Attorneys for Plaintiff Public.Resource.Org,
Inc.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California and the United States of America the foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration was executed on April 23, 2021, at Sacramento, California.

Lindsey Cannan

Declarant

\$A2021301395 35040839.docx