

From: [Betts, <Bruce@DGS>](mailto:Bruce@DGS)
To: ["Benny, Katrina@DGS" <Katrina.Benny@dgs.ca.gov>](mailto:Katrina.Benny@dgs.ca.gov)
["de la Motte, Leann@DGS" <Leann.delaMotte@dgs.ca.gov>](mailto:Leann.delaMotte@dgs.ca.gov)
Date: 4/21/2016 1:30:29 PM
Subject: RE: CA-NEC Contract

Thank you Katrina. I am out of the office tomorrow but I don't need to be part of the discussion with NFPA. I will check in with you Monday. I am on my way to OLS to drop off the other three agreements this afternoon

Bruce Betts

Acquisition Analyst

Contract Services Section (*Team 3*)

Office of Business and Acquisition Services » Administration Division

Department of General Services » State of California

707 3rd Street, 2nd Floor, 2-300, West Sacramento, CA 95605

<http://inside.dgs.ca.gov/ad/obas.aspx>

Phone 916.441-9636

Email Bruce.Betts@dgs.ca.gov

From: Benny, Katrina@DGS
Sent: Thursday, April 21, 2016 1:27 PM
To: Betts, Bruce@DGS; de la Motte, Leann@DGS
Cc: Biedermann, Cynthia@DGS; Frost, Laura@DGS; Day, Kevin@DGS; Marvelli, Mia@DGS
Subject: RE: CA-NEC Contract

Oh boy.....I agree to with your comments below.

This poor contract has been through heck, with all the changes from the original 2 with ICC then added and removing BNi. I think most of the code comments are easy to explain with the previously mentioned statement of making changes to one "master" template.

I think we need to contact Debra, via phone and explain why some of the language is written the way it is. Because almost everyone is out of the office at the Green Summit, we won't be able to do this until tomorrow.

Katrina Benny

Manager, CBSC

Phone 916-263-1350

From: Betts, Bruce@DGS
Sent: Thursday, April 21, 2016 12:57 PM
To: de la Motte, Leann@DGS
Cc: Biedermann, Cynthia@DGS; Frost, Laura@DGS; Benny, Katrina@DGS; Day, Kevin@DGS
Subject: FW: CA-NEC Contract

Hi Leann,

Please let me know if you accept the changes NFPA proposed or if you would like to further discuss these changes.

I don't recommend that we agree to removing the sales reporting requirement from Exhibit B, Paragraph B.6. Removing it may create problems during the OLS review and approval process.

I will send Debra an email answering her question of why Exhibit A is labeled as an Exhibit. I don't believe she has seen our STD 213.

Bruce Betts

Acquisition Analyst

Contract Services Section *(Team 3)*

Office of Business and Acquisition Services » Administration Division

Department of General Services » State of California

707 3rd Street, 2nd Floor, 2-300, West Sacramento, CA 95605

<http://inside.dgs.ca.gov/ad/obas.aspx>

Phone 916.441-9636

Email Bruce.Betts@dgs.ca.gov

From: Rose, Debra [<mailto:drose@nfpa.org>]
Sent: Thursday, April 21, 2016 12:05 PM
To: Betts, Bruce@DGS
Cc: de la Motte, Leann@DGS; Biedermann, Cynthia@DGS; Berry, Dennis; billmahoney@bnibooks.com
Subject: CA-NEC Contract

Greetings Bruce,

Dennis and I have reviewed the attached contract and made a few notes.

Specifically the referencing of the product in several ways. For example 2016 CEC, Title 24, Part 3, the Code. I believe they all mean the same thing, but if we could reference the product one way it would be helpful.

We removed a few paragraphs because it was unclear as to why they were in the contract.

Lastly we moved all of the production specifications and distributions to the back of the contract under Attachment C.

Our changes are suggestions only and hopefully open a conversation between CBSC and NFPA.

Please call or email me when you would like to talk about our suggestions.

Best regards,

Debra Rose

Sr. Project Manager

NFPA

1 Batterymarch Park

Quincy, MA 02169-7471

drose@nfpa.org

+1 617 984-7595

National Fire Protection Association

The leading information and knowledge resource on fire, electrical and related hazards.

www.nfpa.org