

**From:** ["Dave Walls" <dwalls@ICCSafe.ORG>](mailto:dwalls@ICCSafe.ORG)  
**To:** ["Benny, Katrina@DGS" <Katrina.Benny@dgs.ca.gov>](mailto:Katrina.Benny@dgs.ca.gov)  
**Date:** 4/12/2016 11:43:34 AM  
**Subject:** Re: 2016 California Contracts

Thanks Katrina, fortunately, that was my pain back then. I understand the complexity of the state process. Lots of fun. :-)

Sent from my iPhone

On Apr 12, 2016, at 11:32 AM, Benny, Katrina@DGS <[Katrina.Benny@dgs.ca.gov](mailto:Katrina.Benny@dgs.ca.gov)> wrote:

Dave – first off I feel your pain.....it really wasn't that they *deemed insufficient or what additional information they need to make it sufficient?* It was more of the fact that they need to know what signature authority needs to approve the contract. See below from Contracts:

When OLS reviews the package, one of things they would review is the signature authority of the signer of the 213 and NCB approval authority within PD. Additionally, the value of the contract determines if a second level review in OLS is also required.

In order to confirm those approval levels, all reviewers will need to know the maximum value of this contract to the vendor.

My feelings are just have the highest legal person sign the contract!!!!

## Katrina Benny

Manager, CBSC

Phone 916-263-1350

---

From: Dave Walls [<mailto:dwalls@ICCSafe.ORG>]  
Sent: Tuesday, April 12, 2016 11:21 AM  
To: Biedermann, Cynthia@DGS; Mark Johnson  
Cc: Casey Thomas; Marvelli, Mia@DGS; Benny, Katrina@DGS  
Subject: RE: 2016 California Contracts

12/17/2016

Thanks Cynthia,

Interesting, I went through this same exercise for the 2007 codes. It took quite a while at that time because the State neither pays nor receives money for the publication and that was hard for our attorney/DGS to grasp. Fortunately, 2010 went smoother.

Did they say what part they deemed insufficient or what additional information they need to make it sufficient?

---

From: Biedermann, Cynthia@DGS [<mailto:Cynthia.Biedermann@dgs.ca.gov>]

Sent: Tuesday, April 12, 2016 10:46 AM

To: Dave Walls; Mark Johnson

Cc: Casey Thomas; Marvelli, Mia@DGS; Benny, Katrina@DGS

Subject: RE: 2016 California Contracts

Hi Dave...

Katrina forwarded the following 2013 information but it was deemed insufficient:

- The respective publishers (International Code Council, NFPA, and IAPMO) do not charge the State of California for their publication services, nor does the State receive royalties from the sales. The actual cash value of the contract(s) to the State of California is nil and the perceived value is indeterminate, but estimated value could be in excess of \$150,000.

Thanks for the follow-up.

C

CYNTHIA BIEDERMANN | ASSOCIATE GOVERNMENTAL PROGRAM ANALYST | CALIFORNIA BUILDING  
STANDARDS COMMISSION | 916.263.1330

**NEW YEAR, NEW CODE!**

Publication Date: July 1, 2016    Effective Date: January 1, 2017

---

From: Dave Walls [<mailto:dwalls@ICCSafe.ORG>]  
Sent: Tuesday, April 12, 2016 10:31 AM  
To: Biedermann, Cynthia@DGS; Mark Johnson  
Cc: Casey Thomas; Marvelli, Mia@DGS  
Subject: RE: 2016 California Contracts

Hi Cynthia,

By chance, do you have a copy of the estimated dollar values associated with the 2013 codes?

---

From: Biedermann, Cynthia@DGS [<mailto:Cynthia.Biedermann@dgs.ca.gov>]  
Sent: Tuesday, April 12, 2016 10:27 AM  
To: Mark Johnson  
Cc: Dave Walls; Casey Thomas; Marvelli, Mia@DGS  
Subject: 2016 California Contracts

Dear Mark,

The Department of General Services- Office of Legal Services is requesting an estimated dollar value of each of the 2016 CBSC/ICC agreements:

- ICC Parts 2, 2.5, 9, 10
- ICC Parts 1, 6, 8, 11, 12

Could you provide an estimate at your earliest convenience? Legal cannot sign off/execute the contracts until they have this information. Please let me know if you have any questions.

Regards,

Cynthia

**NEW YEAR, NEW CODE!**

Publication Date: July 1, 2016    Effective Date: January 1, 2017

***[Register now](#) for the International Code Council's 2016 Committee Action Hearings, April 17 - 27 in Louisville. Reminder - ICC Governmental Memberships must be current by March 18, to participate in the Online Governmental Consensus Vote which follows the hearings. [www.iccsafe.org/EVDS](http://www.iccsafe.org/EVDS).***

***[Register now](#) for the International Code Council's 2016 Committee Action Hearings, April 17 - 27 in Louisville. Reminder - ICC Governmental Memberships must be current by March 18, to participate in the Online Governmental Consensus Vote which follows the hearings. [www.iccsafe.org/EVDS](http://www.iccsafe.org/EVDS).***

***[Register now](#) for the International Code Council's 2016 Committee Action Hearings, April 17 - 27 in Louisville. Reminder - ICC Governmental Memberships must be current by March 18, to participate in the Online Governmental Consensus Vote which follows the hearings. [www.iccsafe.org/EVDS](http://www.iccsafe.org/EVDS).***