

(FORMAT)

LOG OF MEETING

**SUBJECT: ASTM F8.17 Subcommittee Meeting on Trampolines:
Discuss Revision of F381-95 Consumer Safety Specification for
Components, Assembly, and Use of Trampolines.**

DATE OF MEETING: May 7, 1997

DATE OF LOG ENTRY: June 3, 1997

SOURCE OF LOG ENTRY: Jean Kennedy, Office of Compliance

**LOCATION: St. Louis, MO
Regal Riverfront Hotel**

**CPSC ATTENDEE(S): Jean Kennedy, Office of Compliance
Scott Snyder, Engineering Sciences**

NON-CPSC ATTENDEE(S):

Kurt Feller, AB Regal Trampolines, Chair
Andy Adams, Adtec Trampolines
Rick Ayers, ASR Manufacturing
Albert Nichols, Icon Health/Jumpking Trampolines
Ron Bollinger, NBF Trampolines
Cynthia Argyle, American Playworld Trampolines
John Kuchno, Atty
Joe Burchfield, Niconon Corp (trampoline bed manufacturer)
Wade Johnson, Consultant to Jumpking
Dr. Leonard Lucenko, producer
Paul Shumway, American Tube & Pipe Co
George Liciw, ASTM staff manager

SUMMARY OF MEETING:

CPSC staff presented injury data and discussed staff's proposed revisions to the standard, which had been mailed to all subcommittee members prior to the meeting. Those included: 1) instructions in package and on box stating "not recommended for children under 6 years old," 2) prohibit use and sale of ladders with trampolines, 3) protective padding must completely cover the frame and springs, 4) warnings against somersaults and more than one jumper located in two places on top of padding and on frame, using ANSI format for visibility and readability, and 5) a circle or X to mark the center of the bed.

Kurt Feller, Chair, proceeded with a discussion of three members' letters concerning issues in the Revision #2 ballot. Members voted to have trampoline instructions include: "not recommended for children under 6 years old" on the next ballot. Members voted for a study of injuries related to use of ladders; this set aside Mr. Ayers negative against allowing ladders in Revision #2. Therefore, the Revision #2 ballot could go forward as the new standard and the meeting was adjourned. The four manufacturers after the meeting discussed CPSC proposals with staff.

Mr. Ayers the following day took his negative to the Main Committee, which agreed not to accept the ballot revision which set aside his negative. Therefore, the Revision #2 ballot became null and void.