



Gilberto Torres - Comment

This is a Comment on the **Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration** (PHMSA) Other: **Plastic Pipe NPRM**

For related information, [Open Docket Folder](#) 

Comment Period Closed

ID: PHMSA-2014-0098-0009

Tracking Number: 1jz-8j6o-ocv7

Document Information

Date Posted:

Jun 4, 2015

RIN:

2137-AE93

[Show More Details](#) 

Submitter Information

Submitter Name:

Gilberto Torres

Comment

Proposal

1. What is the proposal about?

Essentially the proposal is about to amend or reassure and reestablish the natural and other has pipe line safety regulations, with majority of the information regarding regulatory requirements involving plastic piping systems used on in gas services. This proposal also goes over other requirements in serval subject matter areas that are affected that include design factor of polyethylene pipe, mechanical fitting requirement, and updated and additional regulations for risers. The other issues that are addressed in this proposal are; plastic pipe installation, repairs, general provisions, plastic pipe material, and the major issue being with the tracking and traceability of pipes underground.

2. Desirability of the Solution

A. I believe we do need this because pipe lining has been a serious issue in California these past few years, and working in this field there is much loss in time and money when piping can go wrong.

B. I feel that we need this because it proposes a review in regulations of all piping, with an emphasis on plastic gas piping.

C. I feel that these proposal regulations will certify and pressure companies that make the pipe lining, to do a professional job, when using the correct piping for the right job.

D. This is important because it will subsequently have to repair and replace all pipes that are damage in California.

3. Qualifications of the proposer

A. The proposer can really deliver because; it seems to be a legitimized administration.

B. The Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration is the proposer and I am certain that they can provide the delivery of this proposal, if passed.

4. Return on investment

A. From my perspective I believe that the expense of the time and money that would be put into this is definitely worthy because in the long run in will save us more money and time, essentially.

B. If passed, proposal claims to repair and replace all pipes are have been damaged or that have been placed where they arent supposed to be. This will save us money in the future when this given pipes burst and cause damage where ever the case maybe. We can

prevent thousands of pipes from all over different cities from bursting,
just imagine the money that will be saved from all the environmental
damages that the burst will create.