

Docket: ATBCB-2015-0002
ICT NPRM
May 27, 2015

Re: Proposed Rule, Updated Accessibility Requirements
Information and Communication Technology in the Federal Sector as per Section
508 of the Rehabilitation Act and Section 255 of the Communications Act

Dear Access Board:

Access is needed to federal and federally funded facilities, to landline telephones, landline payphones, corded computers, corded internet, incandescent lights, natural daylight, to zones free of wireless communication emissions as Susan Molloy and Mary Lamielle submitted to Docket: ATBCB-2015-0002, ICT NPRM, comment number ATBCB-2015-0002-0032 entirely.

I have been harmed by electromagnetic radiation. The exposure has disabled me, seriously affected my health (documented by medical doctors), and taken away basic human rights: to live without pain and injury, access to clean running water (for drinking and showers), shelter (i.e. from rain, wind, and coldⁱ), access to purchase food, a right to access medical care, access to people closer than miles away, a right to work, a right to live in society, freedom from fearⁱⁱ.

Although 1) some people react negatively to one frequency, others to a second frequency, another to a third frequency . . . ; we are not the identicalⁱⁱⁱ. 2) There are many different emissions of electromagnetic radiation (not just one). 3) When we are exposed the unique frequency(ies), we become ill, disabled, confused, vision changes, or . . . (see Bevington's book^{iv}) and when not exposed, our health improves (unless the damage is beyond repair). 4) After developing a sensitivity to one frequency, there becomes a likelihood of reacting to other frequencies or chemicals, food (I suspect gluten) . . .

Ignoring^v and not protecting people harmed by invisible, accumulative, far-reaching, unnatural, increasing, electromagnetic radiation (electro-smog^{vi}) is wrong.

Provisions, listed by Molloy and Lamielle (comment ATBCB-2015-0002-0032), "will provide at least a minimum of access, to federal and federally-funded facilities and programs, to people who are legally entitled to access". Please include these provisions in the final rule.

Thank you,

Diane Schou, Ph.D. dschou@aol.com (304) 456-5558

ⁱ Trying to live in a car in winter or not knowing where to sleep at night avoiding exposure is a challenge. Unwillingly many people experience inhumane conditions because their homes (including dream homes) became intolerable from technological electromagnetic radiation.

ⁱⁱ People and I, who admit they are disabled by electromagnetic radiation, experience rejection, harassment, exclusion, bullying, threats, assault, torture (exposure or deliberate exposure), isolation, loneliness and no-acknowledgement (denial) of our being injured or in pain.

ⁱⁱⁱ Rea, W. J., Pan, Y., Yenyves, E. J., Sujisawa, I., Samadi, N., & Ross, G. H. (1991). Electromagnetic field sensitivity. *J Bioelectr*, 10, 241-256.

^{iv} Bevington, M. (2013). Electromagnetic Sensitivity and Electromagnetic Hypersensitivity (also known as Asthenic Syndrome, EMF Intolerance Syndrome, Idiopathic Environmental Intolerance - EMF, Microwave Syndrome, Radio Wave Sickness) A Summary. London: Capability Books, <http://www.es-uk.info>

^v There is a challenge when some people are very addicted to their wireless devices; they become blind to health effects when it is definitely harming others and possibly harming themselves; they absolutely do not want to and refuse to reduce exposure or turn the device(s) off. Faulty judgment is an exposure symptom. A mother specified she preferred to get brain cancer versus having to sleep without her cherished cellphone.

^{vi} Numerous government agencies were contacted; it appears in 2015, the seriously harmful, unnatural, and increasing electromagnetic radiation is not being monitored.