Regulations.gov will undergo system upgrades and as a result the site will be unavailable Saturday, March 28, from 6:30am through 8am (ET).





Gloria Charland - Comment

This is a Comment on the **Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration** (PHMSA) Proposed Rule: <u>Hazardous Materials</u>; <u>Amendments</u>

For related information, Open Docket Folder

Comment

I prefer that oil not be transported by rail. However,if we absolutely have to move oil by rail, crude oil must be degasified to prepare it for safe transport.

Railroads must have adequate insurance to cover any potential accident (around \$11 billion would be a good place to start). Railroads should be subject to more safety inspections.

When transporting crude oil, highly populated areas should be avoided as well as areas with rivers and lakes nearby (within 1-2 miles). Damages and devastation caused by a possible derailment would be too costly for the people, citizens, and residents of the United States of America.

Comments Not Accepted

ID: PHMSA-2013-0225-0045

Tracking Number: 1jz-8hwb-kc3d

Document Information

Date Posted:

Mar 25, 2015

RIN:

2137-AF04

Show More Details 22

Submitter Information

Submitter Name:

Gloria Charland Charland

Mailing Address:

35430 North Everett Ave.

City:

Ingleside

Country:

United States

State or Province:

ΙL

ZIP/Postal Code:

60041