



Incorporation by Reference

Comments on the Announcement of a Petition for Rulemaking and Request for Comments published at 77 Fed. Reg. 11414 (February 27, 2012).

Submitted to the Office of the Federal Register, National Archives and Records Administration [NARA 12-0002] online at <http://www.regulations.gov>.

**Submitted by the
Modification and Replacement Parts Association
2233 Wisconsin Ave, NW, Suite 503
Washington, DC 20007**

**For more information, please contact:
Jason Dickstein
MARPA President
(202) 347-6899**



MODIFICATION AND REPLACEMENT PARTS ASSOCIATION

2233 Wisconsin Avenue, NW, Suite 503
Washington, DC 20007

Tel: (202) 628-6777
Fax: (202) 628-8948
<http://www.pmaparts.org>

Incorporation by Reference

Comments on the Announcement of a Petition for Rulemaking and Request for
Comments published at 77 Fed. Reg. 11414 (February 27, 2012).
Submitted to the Office of the Federal Register, National Archives and Records
Administration [NARA 12-0002] online at <http://www.regulations.gov>.

June 1, 2012

Office of the Federal Register (NF)
The National Archives and Records Administration
8601 Adelphi Road
College Park, MD 20740

Dear Sir or Madam:

Please accept these comments in response to the Incorporation by Reference;
Announcement of a petition for rulemaking and request for comments, which was
published for public comment at 77 Fed. Reg. 11414 (February 27, 2012).

In these comments, MARPA has offered suggestions for making materials incorporated
by reference “reasonably available” and explained a number of concerns that arise
when materials are so incorporated. We hope that these are helpful in supporting the
Office of the Federal Register’s efforts in defining “reasonably available” and in
evaluating the costs and benefits of Incorporation by Reference.

Contents

Who is MARPA?	3
Background	4
Issues: Incorporation by Reference in Transportation Regulations	5
Incorporation by Reference of Standards	5
Incorporation by Reference of Materials Created by a Private Party	6
Problems With Incorporation By Reference of Private Party Instructions.....	7
Comments and Solutions	8
“Reasonably Available” Should Include Available Online Under Current Technology .	8
Should Agencies Bear the Cost of Making the Material Available for Free Online? ..	10
Assessing the Value of Material that is Incorporated by Reference, and Using Cost-Benefit Analysis to Identify Whether Agencies Should License that Material.....	11
Agencies May Find it Inexpensive to License Certain Material that is Incorporated by Reference.....	12
How Should Licensing Fees Be Financed?.....	13
The Burden of Paying to Know the Law Disproportionally Affects Small Businesses and Individuals.....	14
Conclusion	15

Who is MARPA?

The Modification and Replacement Parts Association was founded to support PMA manufacturers and their customers. A PMA (Parts Manufacturer Approval) is an FAA design and production approval for aircraft parts. Aircraft parts are a vital sector of the aviation industry, and MARPA acts to represent the interests of the manufacturers of this vital resource before the FAA and other government agencies.

MARPA is a Washington, D.C.-based, non-profit association that supports its members' business efforts by promoting excellence in production standards for PMA parts. The Association represents its members before aviation policy makers, giving them a voice in Washington D.C. to prevent unnecessary or unfair regulatory burden while at the same time working with aviation authorities to help improve the aviation industry's already-impressive safety record.

MARPA represents a diverse group of manufacturing interests – from the smallest companies to the largest - all dedicated to excellence in producing aircraft parts.

MARPA members are committed to supporting airlines with safe aircraft components. In order to provide the safest components possible, MARPA members are frequently required to interpret and apply numerous regulations promulgated by the FAA and other agencies. These regulations very often incorporate by reference technical instructions, repair manuals, and other technical data. MARPA agrees that incorporation by reference is an efficient and cost effective way to develop and promulgate regulations. Members are concerned, however, that fees associated with accessing those materials incorporated by reference—particularly where the incorporated material forms a mandatory part of the regulation—may create an unreasonable financial burden on members. This is particularly true in the case of smaller companies with tight operating budgets, and in cases in which the incorporated material itself incorporates additional material, creating additional layers of expense. The burden of paying high costs simply to know the requirements of regulations may have the effect of driving small businesses and competitors out of the market, or worse endanger the safety of the flying public by making adherence to regulations more difficult due to fees associated with accessing incorporated materials.

MARPA supports efforts to produce regulations that increase safety at reasonable costs. To maximize the benefits of the regulations, MARPA urges that materials incorporated by reference that form mandatory aspects of regulation be made available free of charge via the promulgating agency's website. MARPA also urges a hard copy of any material incorporated by reference be made available via the agency's traditional docket, in order to avoid creating a digital divide that excludes persons without internet access.

Background

On February 27, 2012, the Office of the Federal Register issued an Announcement of a petition for rulemaking and request for comments relating to Incorporation by Reference in the CFR. 77 Fed. Reg. 11414 (Feb. 27, 2012). OFR sought comments on issues raised in the petition, namely to define what it means for material incorporated by reference to be “reasonably available,” how the agencies should approach making incorporated material available, and who should bear the cost. In a notice on March 22, 2012 OFR extended the deadline for comment until June 1, 2012. 77 Fed. Reg. 16761 (March 22, 2012).

Chapter 5 Section 552 of the United States Code provides that “matter reasonably available to the class of persons affected thereby is deemed published in the Federal Register when incorporated by reference therein.” 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(1). However, nowhere in the Code or the C.F.R. is the term “reasonably available” defined. The petitioners suggested that “reasonably available” in the age of the internet means that materials incorporated by reference should be made available in agency online “reading rooms” or at the very least hyperlinked on the agency’s website and available free of charge in a read-only format on the standard-setting organization’s website.

The petitioners observed that the primary concerns that existed at the time the incorporation by reference laws and regulations were promulgated are no longer valid. Among those concerns was minimizing the amount of material published in the Federal Register, which was often a concern when faced with voluminous material (frequently in the context of technical standards or requirements) that could be more efficiently made available through incorporation by reference. The petitioners observe that in such a context, in which volumes of material might be produced, such production may only occur at some cost to the provider. The availability of the internet removes both of these concerns, providing nearly unlimited storage space and eliminating costs associated with producing hard copies for consumption.

Issues: Incorporation by Reference in Transportation Regulations

There are two major types of materials that are incorporated by reference in transportation regulations (and in other subject matter areas). Each has its own unique issues and therefore it may be necessary to consider each separately in examining this rulemaking task.

Incorporation by Reference of Standards

The first of these types is standards and instructions established by Standards Developing Organizations (SDOs). This can include materials like the ICAO Technical Instructions for the Safe Transportation of Dangerous Goods by Air. These are commonly available for a cost that may be argued to be reasonable.

Incorporation by Reference of Materials Created by a Private Party

The second of these types is privately-created documents that are incorporated by reference. Such incorporation by reference can be explicit or it can be general. There are a number of different examples of such references.

One example of material that is specifically incorporated by reference is found in Airworthiness Directives. Airworthiness directives are a special form of regulation that is issued by the FAA. Airworthiness directives are issued when the FAA finds that an unsafe condition exists in a product, and the condition is likely to exist or develop in other products of the same type design. 14 C.F.R. § 39.5 Airworthiness directives typically identify the problem and also identify a solution. Manufacturers are required to create solutions in response to airworthiness directives (14 C.F.R. § 21.99); but the normal process for issuing airworthiness directives is that the FAA identifies the problem to the manufacturer and the FAA wait for the manufacturer to create a manufacturer's service bulletin before issuing the airworthiness directive. The airworthiness directive will then reference the manufacturer's service bulletin as the source of information for correcting the unsafe condition. In such cases, the manufacturer's service bulletin is incorporated by reference into the airworthiness directive.

An example of material that is more generally incorporated by reference is instructions for continued airworthiness (ICAs) for aircraft and engines. Under 14 C.F.R. § 43.13(b), a mechanic or other party performing maintenance must use instructions for completing the work that are acceptable. The regulations explain that the mechanic can use the manufacturer's maintenance manuals (also known as the ICAs) or any other instructions that are acceptable to the FAA Administrator. Case law, though, has limited this by explaining that only the manufacturer's manuals are acceptable except in the rare cases where the FAA has approved an alternate method of performing the work. E.g. Busey v. Swanson, SE-9181 (NTSB July 7, 1989) (Failure to follow the manufacturer's manual is a violation because "it is that manual that sets forth the methods techniques and practices for the repair of the wing that are acceptable to the Administrator within the meaning of 43.13(a)").

Design approval applicants are required under the FAA regulations to create ICAs. E.g. 14 C.F.R. § 25.1529. They are told what information must be in the ICAs. E.g. 14 C.F.R. Part 25 Appendix H. They are also required to "make those instructions available to any other person required by [the regulations] to comply with any of the terms of those instructions" which requires those documents be made available to the aviation industry. E.g. 14 C.F.R. § 21.50(b).

Despite these requirements, it is common that such documents remain unavailable to parties that are required to comply with them - both during the comment period and after promulgation of the regulation that incorporates the document by reference.

Problems With Incorporation By Reference of Private Party Instructions

Typically, the FAA does not make it easy to review private party materials that incorporated by reference. Manuals and service bulletins are typically not placed in the rulemaking docket, so affected parties may have no notice of the affect of a rule before it becomes final. A notice of proposed regulation may claim that the documents are available at a remote FAA office, but a call to that office may reveal that the caller is not among those "authorized" to review the material, or the caller may be told that he or she must travel to the FAA directorate office (Renton, Washington in the case of large aircraft) in order to review the material that is incorporated by reference, and may only do so in that office.

The availability of manuals and service bulletins is typically limited for commercial reasons. Some manufacturers have "authorized repair stations" that pay a royalty in exchange for access to data, while independent repair stations may find it difficult or even impossible to obtain these documents. Other manufacturers own their own repair stations and inhibit the manuals and service bulletins from falling into the hands of competing repair stations. When the documents are cross referenced in a regulation, inability to obtain the manuals or service bulletins can make it practically impossible to comply with the regulation. The FAA has recognized that these practices inhibit safety and recently published a policy document explaining that certain practices inhibiting the distribution of ICAs are unacceptable, but there is currently no reliable enforcement mechanism available to companies that have been denied access to these cross-referenced documents.

Examples of what can happen in such a case arose when the FAA issued a series of fuel-tank-related airworthiness directives. The FAA incorporated by reference the type certificate holders service bulletins. Those service bulletins were not available to competitors who manufactured competing (aftermarket) parts for fuel tank systems, and therefore those competitors did not realize that the language that had been incorporated by reference effectively invalidated their existing FAA approvals. Many companies who FAA-approved products were affected by this language had no access to the language before the regulations that incorporated it by reference was promulgated.

Comments and Solutions

The Office of the Federal Register asked for comments on a number of issues. We offer for your consideration our comments on the issues below.

“Reasonably Available” Should Include Available Online Under Current Technology

In the age of the internet, “reasonably available” should mean that mandatory regulations, and by extension any material incorporated by reference therein, should be accessible for free via the internet, just as the United States Code or the Code of Federal Regulations are available.

Because the public often accesses regulations in public (federal depository) libraries, and such public libraries typically have internet access today, it is not unreasonable to believe that making documents that are incorporated by reference available on the internet should be sufficient. However, to avoid bias against those individuals who do not have, or choose not to obtain, access to the internet, a copy of any material incorporated by reference may be made available for inspection in hard copy on an agency’s public docket.

As the petition observes, eligibility for the incorporation by reference of certain material requires that material “[i]s reasonably available to and usable by the class of persons affected by the publication.” 1 CFR § 51.7(a)(4). However, the regulation does not define “reasonably available.”

We agree with the petitioners that in the past, when the incorporated materials may have been voluminous, and available only in hard copy format, it may have been reasonable to pass some of the production cost onto the parties that sought that information. The provider of the information incorporated by reference should not have been forced to fully bear the burden of production of those materials. However, as petitioners state, developments in technology now eliminates the production costs of providing volumes of material in physical format.

The availability of server space means that it is reasonable to expect an agency to make available electronic copies of any information that is incorporated by reference into a regulation. The ease with which information may be connected to via hyperlink to an external website also provides a simple mechanism by which content may be made

available. These factors mean that anyone with an internet connection can access regulations and material incorporated by reference with the click of a button.

It should be the goal of each agency to make regulations as easily accessible to the public as possible. This is especially true when a standard incorporated by reference is deemed mandatory, rather than simply advisory. It strikes us as definitively unreasonable to require an individual to pay to simply have access to the entirety of a regulation. Such an arrangement sets a dangerous precedent for creating what the petitioners dub “secret law.” Moreover, a requirement that individuals must pay to know the law has the potential to disproportionately affect lower income persons and small businesses. They may have difficulties in allocating the funds to pay to know the law in a way that large corporations and wealthy individuals do not.

It may be noted that in some instances the law requires that materials incorporated by reference to be made available free of charge. For instance, Public Law 112-90, § 24 provides for a “Limitation on Incorporation of Documents By Reference” and states that guidance and regulation related to pipeline safety may not incorporate documents or portions of documents “unless the documents or portions thereof are made available to the public, free of charge, on an Internet Web site.”

It is also noteworthy that classified material has been deemed not reasonably available and therefore may not be incorporated by reference. Although not perfectly analogous, material incorporated by reference that is inaccessible due to price also has the effect of limiting those who can reasonably view it. Therefore material incorporated by reference for which one must pay to view should not be deemed “reasonably available.”

Although it is true that nearly all Americans now have access to the internet, it should not be the case that the law becomes inaccessible to those who are unable to obtain, or choose not to obtain, access to the internet. The request for comment asked whether making material available to anyone online possibly “[c]reated a digital divide by excluding people without Internet access.” Therefore we propose that any agency promulgating rules and regulations which incorporate by reference external standards keep and make available for inspection a hard copy of the incorporated material. Such a copy should be made available via a public docket in hard copy format.

Generating a copy to be made available for public inspection is a time-honored method of making available the regulations governing the public. It is also a cost-effective way of making the material available. An agency would be able to negotiate with the copyright holder for the right to produce a physical copy of the standard, to be made available via the agency’s docket. Agencies would then be able to make material

incorporated by reference available via their standard practices for access to hard-copy docket materials.

We agree with the petitioners that “reasonably available” should mean the material incorporated by reference that forms a mandatory part of the regulation should be made available for free to the public either on the agency’s website or via a hyperlink to the promulgating organization’s website. We also suggest that a hard copy of any material incorporated by reference be made available via an agency’s public docket, to ensure that all Americans, even those without internet access, may freely know the regulations by which they are governed.

Should Agencies Bear the Cost of Making the Material Available for Free Online?

The request for comment asked whether agencies should bear the cost of making material incorporated by reference available for free online. It seems reasonable to expect that the agencies electing to incorporate materials by reference in their promulgation of rules and regulations should bear the cost of making those materials available to the public for free online, particularly in cases in which the incorporated material is a mandatory aspect of the regulation. However, we recognize that the development of standards and other materials that are incorporated by reference is not free. We also recognize that there is a difference between material incorporated by reference that forms a mandatory aspect of a regulation, and incorporated material that is merely advisory in nature.

One purpose of incorporation by reference is to reduce an agency's expenditure of resources to develop a body of guidance that has been previously developed by another party. Agencies are prevented from wasting resources in 'reinventing the wheel,' and they also preserve their precious resources that might have otherwise been utilized in developing the referenced guidance. Thus, the benefit of incorporation by reference is the cost-savings to agencies that are recognized by allowing private organizations to develop standards and instructions that are subsequently incorporated into regulations.

Assessing the Value of Material that is Incorporated by Reference, and Using Cost-Benefit Analysis to Identify Whether Agencies Should License that Material

Private standard-setting organizations may hold copyrights on the material to be incorporated by reference. Similarly, information may be incorporated by reference from individual companies where (in some cases) the individual company may have intellectual property rights. We suggest that when such material constitutes a mandatory part of the regulation, it should be available, free of charge, to the public in order to permit the public to engage in compliant behavior. This raises a potential question of compensation to the party that developed the material.

Each agency generally has an obligation to promulgate regulations to achieve the statutory goals of the agency. Thus, when an agency incorporates third party material by reference, the agency is using that material for the public good to achieve the agency's statutory goals. This is a compensable taking under the Fifth Amendment to the Constitution.

There is plenty of precedent and procedure for when the U.S. government accomplishes a taking in real property law. See, e.g., 33 U.S.C. § 591 et seq. (relating to the condemnation of real property and the assessment of value of such property).

The idea of a taking that affects a copyright, as contemplated by the petition at issue, has also been contemplated under the federal law. 28 U.S.C. § 1498(b) provides that an uncompensated taking by the U.S. government of a copyrighted material may be litigated (exclusively) in the Court of Claims.

This does not mean that 'taking' of copyrighted works is wrong. Indeed, there may be many cases where it is beneficial. The cost savings to an agency in terms of resources that would have been expended to achieve the same level of guidance found in the incorporated document has an identifiable value. When the cost of developing the incorporated guidance would be greater than the licensing fee to license material that is incorporated by reference, it is more economically efficient to license that material than for an agency to develop the material itself.

By requiring agencies to pay fair value for copyrighted material that they incorporate by reference, we also force agencies to consider the real value of their actions, and to assess whether it would be cheaper in the long run for the agency to develop the incorporated material itself. This encourages a more honest accounting of the costs of regulation.

Agencies May Find it Inexpensive to License Certain Material that is Incorporated by Reference

33 U.S.C. § 595 provides that when a taking has the effect of improving the value of untaken property, this must be calculated as an offset to the calculated value of the taken property. Thus, for example, where the FAA has identified an unsafe condition in an aircraft, the aircraft design approval holder has an obligation to provide a solution. 14 C.F.R. § 21.99. The aircraft design approval holder really has a choice, though, between providing no solution, and surrendering the type certificate, or providing the corrective service bulletin and retaining the valuable type certificate. In such cases, the surrender of the copyright in the service bulletin has an offsetting value (the value of the otherwise invalid type certificate) that completely negates any takings value that the federal government might owe to the aircraft design approval holder.

Different standards will have different costs. For example, some trade associations like MARPA [MARPA 1100 and MARPA COS Standard] and the Aviation Suppliers Association [ASA-100] already make their standards available free of charge to the public. When trade associations develop standards, they often do it with funds that come from the association's members, so such development is fully paid from dues. They may not require an agency to pay in order to license their standards, because their [IRC 501(c)] non-profit purpose is furthered by the adoption and incorporation by reference of the standards.

Some incorporated guidance materials are already required to be produced as a condition of licensing or as a condition of other federal benefits. Persons who hold FAA design approval (like type certificates) are required to provide safety information to the FAA as a condition of the continued validity of the certificate. E.g. 14 C.F.R. § 21.99 (requiring design approval holders to provide the FAA with corrective actions to safety issues, which are often published as service bulletins). Because this information is required to be produced, the normal arguments in favor of copyright protection yield to a fair use exception that favors distribution of the materials for their intended safety/regulatory purpose.

Design approval holders are also required to produce ICAs (e.g. 14 C.F.R. § 25.1529) and make them available to any person required to comply with them. E.g. 14 C.F.R. § 21.50(b)). Thus, there should be no cost to the federal government to act as an agent for distributing material that is already required by regulation to be made available.

How Should Licensing Fees Be Financed?

To the extent agencies find it necessary to pay licensing fees to the holders of copyright, those costs should not be passed on to the public seeking to access the material incorporated in a regulation, because this would have a chilling effect on the ability of persons to know and understand the legal standards to which they are expected to comply. Agencies should seek to avoid externalizing the cost benefits they recognize when incorporating material by reference onto the regulated public.

The incorporation by reference of standards is a way for the government agencies to save government funds that might otherwise have been spent on developing comparable guidance. When an agency is required to license standards that it wishes to incorporate by reference, each agency has an opportunity to assess the value of the standard, and to determine whether it would be more economical to develop the regulatory standards internally rather than paying the licensing fees associate with incorporating the standard by reference.

The petitioners point out that the NTTA and OMB Circular A-119 limit the policy favoring incorporation by reference to standards that that indicate one means by which a regulatory requirement may be satisfied. In cases such as these, it may be reasonable to require a consumer to pay a fee to a private standard-setting organization that holds a copyright, in those cases in which obtaining the standard is not the only method of accomplishing compliance. Material incorporated by reference that is merely advisory in nature is a benefit and point of convenience to regulated parties, but because regulated parties are not required to know and understand those standards, it is perhaps not necessary that such standards should be made available for free (and thus there would be no need for an agency to license such standards for distribution to the public).

Although it seems acceptable that a copyright holder whose material is not mandatory in the regulation should be able to charge a licensing fee for material incorporated by reference that is merely advisory in nature, if an agency elects to incorporate such material those fees should still be limited to a reasonable amount. In many cases, the incorporation by reference provides added value to the publisher of the standard in terms of "free advertising" through the incorporation; thus the publisher of the material is gaining a benefit from the incorporation that should reasonably be regulated by the publishing agency. The copyright holder should be able to profit from their original work, but the copyright holder should not be able to make a profit from the public that is largely attributable to the fact that those who are regulated are seeking to better understand a method of compliance with particular regulations (as opposed to those

persons seeking the copyrighted work for its independent value). The copyright holder receives benefit from the incorporation of its standard via traffic directed to its standard and in cases of hyperlinking, to its website.

In cases where material incorporated by reference forms a mandatory aspect of the regulation it seems apparent that it should be made available to those who are affected by it free of charge. Just as the United States Code and the Code of Federal Regulations, among sources of law, are available for free via www.gpo.gov/fdsys, so too should mandatory material incorporated by reference be easily and freely accessible to the public so they may know it in order to understand their compliance obligations.

There exists a serious potential for abuse of a system that requires fees to be paid to know the law. One can envision a scenario in which organizations may seek to have their standards incorporated and charge significant fees in an attempt to make a profit. Standard-setting organizations or companies may attempt to lock out their competitors via incorporated standards that restrict processes or allowable materials or practices. For example, the Department of Transportation regulations incorporate by reference the ICAO Technical Instructions for the Safe Transportation of Dangerous Goods, and ICAO licenses to IATA the ability to republish those standards. IATA republishes the Technical Instructions and distributes them to the public. In 2004, a standard-bound English copy of this standard cost \$120. In 2012, a standard-bound English copy of this same standard costs \$261. It has more than doubled in price in the past eight years.

The Burden of Paying to Know the Law Disproportionally Affects Small Businesses and Individuals

Paying to know mandatory requirements of regulations that are incorporated by reference disproportionately affects small businesses and individuals. Paying to simply know the law that regulates one's actions should not be an additional cost of doing business. Such requirements could have the effect of pricing small businesses out of certain sectors; particularly in highly regulated industries.

Requiring regulated individuals to pay to discover the detail of the regulations controlling their conduct may have the effect of driving small competitors out of the market. For large corporations, paying licensing fees may be easily absorbed. However, for small businesses, especially depending on the severity of the licensing fee, the burden may prove to be substantial. This is all the more true in heavily regulated industries, such as aerospace, in which manufacturers are frequently required to refer to materials incorporated by reference remain current with the most recent safety requirements.

The PMA industry is comprised primarily of smaller manufacturers, of the very type that may struggle to regularly pay to access materials incorporated by reference. Moreover, although the cost of a licensing fee in isolation may be insignificant in isolation, the cumulative burden of paying multiple licensing fees—either because there are multiple laws one needs to review, or because material incorporated by reference itself incorporates material by reference, for which one must pay a licensing fee—may put a comprehensive understanding of the law out of financial reach.

Costs are inevitably pushed down to those least able to bear them, for instance a law student conducting research for a journal note. Persons in academia, or an individual curious about a law that may have a personal effect or that may affect a loved one, should not be required to pay to learn the law. For these individuals, learning the law is not simply a cost of doing business, or a dent in the bottom line. Rather for these individuals, paying a licensing fee acts as a substantive impediment to learning what the law truly is.

Conclusion

Given the ongoing importance of air safety, we believe it is important that the public have free access to the laws and regulations governing their conduct. “Secret” laws not only subject the public to civil and possible criminal penalties, but also endanger the safety of the flying public by not making reasonably available the full scope of the compliance obligations under the regulations.

It is important that agencies seeking to incorporate information by reference distinguish between consensus standards that are developed by organizations which allow for input from interested parties, and which govern conduct and processes, and instructional material or service documents that are produced by individual companies and which may allow those companies to gain an advantage over their competitors. Agencies may also distinguish between permissive, advisory standards, such as ICAO Technical Instructions, and mandatory standards, such as Airworthiness Directives. To pay to access the former may be permissible, but the latter should be available to all, for free.

Although we recognize that the cost of developing standards is not free, the cost of regulating the public is supposed to be covered by our tax burden. Where standards are incorporated by reference as requirements in regulations, the cost of making the regulatory standards available to the public should not be externalized to the public - the public deserves the ability to economically and simply understand the full compliance

obligations of the laws and regulations that govern their conduct. Mandatory standards and instructions that are incorporated by reference should be made available, free of charge, on the internet, with a hard copy available for inspection on the promulgating agency's docket.

We appreciate your consideration of these comments.

Respectfully Submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Jason Dickstein". The signature is written in a cursive style with a large, prominent "J" and "D".

Jason Dickstein
President

Modification and Replacement Parts Association