

I can't claim to be fully cognizant of all of the issues raised by the Professor Strauss' petition, but the gist of what I've read summarized is that there are parts of the law that are not publically accessible.

When we talk to our boys about laws and how ignorance of the law is no excuse for breaking them, this particular issue sort of presses the matter, since there is no way that you *can't* be ignorant of the laws, if you can't read them (along those lines, without literacy, you can't be an informed voter, nor read your rights).

I think this information should be available in the same way that FOI requests are handled. They don't have to be *out* there, but they do need to be available upon request (whether physically or digitally, though one would assume the digitally is more cost-effective). Should there be need to maintain a continuous repository or presence, I believe that it could be entrusted to a third party, as either a part of, or external to, the government.

Thank you for taking the time to read my comments.

-Peter Norby