# EXHIBIT 7

## San Francisco, CA

Page 1 1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 2 FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA 3 AMERICAN EDUCATIONAL RESEARCH 4 5 ASSOCIATION, INC., AMERICAN 6 PSYCHOLOGICAL ASSOCIATION, INC., ) 7 and NATIONAL COUNCIL ON 8 MEASUREMENT IN EDUCATION, INC., ) Civil Action No. 9 Plaintiffs, ) 1:14-cv-00857-TSC-DAR 10 V. 11 PUBLIC.RESOURCE.ORG, 12 Defendant. 13 14 15 16 VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF CARL MALAMUD 17 18 19 May 12, 2015 DATE: 20 9:33 a.m. TIME: 21 LOCATION: Fenwick & West 555 California Street 22 23 12th Floor 24 San Francisco, California 94104 25 REPORTED BY: Diane S. Martin, CSR 6464, CCRR

	Page 110		Page 112
1	expertise or conclusion.	1	look like, but I don't know at specific points in
2	BY MR. HUDIS:	2	time.
3	Q. Should I repeat the question, Mr. Malamud?	3	BY MR. HUDIS:
4	A. Yeah.	4	Q. Now, Exhibit 19, in the center are these
5	Q. Does Exhibit 18 indicate to you that	5	some of the websites that Public.Resource provides
6	Public.Resource attained its nonprofit status in	6	to the public?
7	September of 2007?	7	A. Yes. And there's one more website that I
8	MR. BRIDGES: Same objections.	8	forgot to tell you about on there.
9	THE WITNESS: The date of the letter is	9	Q. Which one?
10	September 25th. That's not the date of the	10	A. Bulk
11	nonprofit status.	11	MR. BRIDGES: I'm sorry.
12	BY MR. HUDIS:	12	THE WITNESS: Pardon me.
13	Q. What is the date of the nonprofit status?	13	MR. BRIDGES: I object on the grounds it
14	A. April 13th, 2007.	14	lacks foundation; very confusing to me.
15	Q. Fair enough. And I see that date.	15	What are you directing his attention to in
16	A. Yeah.	16	this exhibit?
17	Q. Thank you very much.	17	MR. HUDIS: Sure. Counsel, do you see
18	(PLAINTIFFS' EXHIBITS 19-20 WERE MARKED.)	18	where it says "Watch FedFlix" in the center of the
19	BY MR. HUDIS:	19	page on Exhibit 19?
20	Q. Mr. Malamud, please take a moment to look	20	MR. BRIDGES: Right.
21	at Exhibits 19 and 20.	21	MR. HUDIS: And there are a number of
22	A. Okay.	22	websites listed below that?
23	Q. Have you looked at the exhibits?	23	MR. BRIDGES: Okay. I just wanted to be
24	A. Yes, I have.	24	clear.
25	Q. Could you tell me what Exhibit 19 is?	25	MR. HUDIS: Yes.
	Page 111		Page 113
1	A. It looks like an out of date copy of the	1	MR. BRIDGES: If that's what you're
2	Public.Resource.Org home page.	2	referring to, fine.
3	Q. So since the time that my office printed	3	MR. HUDIS: Yes.
4	this web page of Exhibit 19, you have updated the	4	BY MR. HUDIS:
5	content since then?	5	Q. So continue, Mr. Malamud.
6	MR. BRIDGES: Objection. Misstates	6	A. Bulk.resource.org is the website that I
7	testimony; vague and ambiguous.	7	forgot to tell you about.
8	THE WITNESS: When did you print this?	8	Q. So what kind of information is provided on
9	BY MR. HUDIS:	9	the Bulk.resource.org website?
10	Q. Our best recollection is January of 2015.	10	A. Its primary function is the home for
11	A. I don't know. I would have to	11	approximately 8 million IRS-exempt organization
	The Tuest Chine W. T Wedita have to		approximately 6 million 183-exempt organization
12	double-check.	12	filings.
12 13	double-check. Q. I amend that because Exhibit 20 was also		
	double-check.  Q. I amend that because Exhibit 20 was also printed on the same date. So we probably printed	12 13 14	filings.  Q. And when you say "exempt," do you mean tax exempt?
13	double-check.  Q. I amend that because Exhibit 20 was also printed on the same date. So we probably printed it in March of 2014.	12 13 14 15	filings.  Q. And when you say "exempt," do you mean tax exempt?  A. Exempt organizations is a category that the
13 14	double-check.  Q. I amend that because Exhibit 20 was also printed on the same date. So we probably printed it in March of 2014.  A. Yeah. That makes sense.	12 13 14 15 16	filings.  Q. And when you say "exempt," do you mean tax exempt?  A. Exempt organizations is a category that the IRS has assigned. Many of them are tax exempt, but
13 14 15 16 17	double-check. Q. I amend that because Exhibit 20 was also printed on the same date. So we probably printed it in March of 2014. A. Yeah. That makes sense. Q. So this so Exhibit 19 and 20 appears to	12 13 14 15 16 17	filings. Q. And when you say "exempt," do you mean tax exempt? A. Exempt organizations is a category that the IRS has assigned. Many of them are tax exempt, but it also includes political organizations.
13 14 15 16	double-check. Q. I amend that because Exhibit 20 was also printed on the same date. So we probably printed it in March of 2014. A. Yeah. That makes sense. Q. So this so Exhibit 19 and 20 appears to you to be the content of the home page and the	12 13 14 15 16	filings. Q. And when you say "exempt," do you mean tax exempt? A. Exempt organizations is a category that the IRS has assigned. Many of them are tax exempt, but it also includes political organizations. Q. So if I remember my Internal Revenue Code,
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	double-check.  Q. I amend that because Exhibit 20 was also printed on the same date. So we probably printed it in March of 2014.  A. Yeah. That makes sense.  Q. So this so Exhibit 19 and 20 appears to you to be the content of the home page and the about page of the Public.Resource.Org website in or	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	filings. Q. And when you say "exempt," do you mean tax exempt? A. Exempt organizations is a category that the IRS has assigned. Many of them are tax exempt, but it also includes political organizations. Q. So if I remember my Internal Revenue Code, those are 501(c)(3) and 501(c)(4) organizations?
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	double-check. Q. I amend that because Exhibit 20 was also printed on the same date. So we probably printed it in March of 2014. A. Yeah. That makes sense. Q. So this so Exhibit 19 and 20 appears to you to be the content of the home page and the about page of the Public.Resource.Org website in or about March of 2014?	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	filings.  Q. And when you say "exempt," do you mean tax exempt?  A. Exempt organizations is a category that the IRS has assigned. Many of them are tax exempt, but it also includes political organizations.  Q. So if I remember my Internal Revenue Code, those are 501(c)(3) and 501(c)(4) organizations?  MR. BRIDGES: Objection. May call for
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	double-check. Q. I amend that because Exhibit 20 was also printed on the same date. So we probably printed it in March of 2014. A. Yeah. That makes sense. Q. So this so Exhibit 19 and 20 appears to you to be the content of the home page and the about page of the Public.Resource.Org website in or about March of 2014? MR. BRIDGES: Objection. May call for	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	filings.  Q. And when you say "exempt," do you mean tax exempt?  A. Exempt organizations is a category that the IRS has assigned. Many of them are tax exempt, but it also includes political organizations.  Q. So if I remember my Internal Revenue Code, those are 501(c)(3) and 501(c)(4) organizations?  MR. BRIDGES: Objection. May call for legal expertise or conclusion.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	double-check.  Q. I amend that because Exhibit 20 was also printed on the same date. So we probably printed it in March of 2014.  A. Yeah. That makes sense.  Q. So this so Exhibit 19 and 20 appears to you to be the content of the home page and the about page of the Public.Resource.Org website in or about March of 2014?  MR. BRIDGES: Objection. May call for speculation if he doesn't have definite memory;	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	filings.  Q. And when you say "exempt," do you mean tax exempt?  A. Exempt organizations is a category that the IRS has assigned. Many of them are tax exempt, but it also includes political organizations.  Q. So if I remember my Internal Revenue Code, those are 501(c)(3) and 501(c)(4) organizations?  MR. BRIDGES: Objection. May call for legal expertise or conclusion.  THE WITNESS: Also section 527
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	double-check.  Q. I amend that because Exhibit 20 was also printed on the same date. So we probably printed it in March of 2014.  A. Yeah. That makes sense.  Q. So this so Exhibit 19 and 20 appears to you to be the content of the home page and the about page of the Public.Resource.Org website in or about March of 2014?  MR. BRIDGES: Objection. May call for speculation if he doesn't have definite memory; vague and ambiguous; compound; lacks foundation.	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	filings.  Q. And when you say "exempt," do you mean tax exempt?  A. Exempt organizations is a category that the IRS has assigned. Many of them are tax exempt, but it also includes political organizations.  Q. So if I remember my Internal Revenue Code, those are 501(c)(3) and 501(c)(4) organizations?  MR. BRIDGES: Objection. May call for legal expertise or conclusion.  THE WITNESS: Also section 527 organizations.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	double-check. Q. I amend that because Exhibit 20 was also printed on the same date. So we probably printed it in March of 2014. A. Yeah. That makes sense. Q. So this so Exhibit 19 and 20 appears to you to be the content of the home page and the about page of the Public.Resource.Org website in or about March of 2014? MR. BRIDGES: Objection. May call for speculation if he doesn't have definite memory; vague and ambiguous; compound; lacks foundation. THE WITNESS: I'd have to speculate. It	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	filings. Q. And when you say "exempt," do you mean tax exempt? A. Exempt organizations is a category that the IRS has assigned. Many of them are tax exempt, but it also includes political organizations. Q. So if I remember my Internal Revenue Code, those are 501(c)(3) and 501(c)(4) organizations? MR. BRIDGES: Objection. May call for legal expertise or conclusion. THE WITNESS: Also section 527 organizations. BY MR. HUDIS:
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	double-check.  Q. I amend that because Exhibit 20 was also printed on the same date. So we probably printed it in March of 2014.  A. Yeah. That makes sense.  Q. So this so Exhibit 19 and 20 appears to you to be the content of the home page and the about page of the Public.Resource.Org website in or about March of 2014?  MR. BRIDGES: Objection. May call for speculation if he doesn't have definite memory; vague and ambiguous; compound; lacks foundation.	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	filings.  Q. And when you say "exempt," do you mean tax exempt?  A. Exempt organizations is a category that the IRS has assigned. Many of them are tax exempt, but it also includes political organizations.  Q. So if I remember my Internal Revenue Code, those are 501(c)(3) and 501(c)(4) organizations?  MR. BRIDGES: Objection. May call for legal expertise or conclusion.  THE WITNESS: Also section 527 organizations.

#### Page 146 Page 148 Q. In what way would data files be considered 1 THE WITNESS: Well, there's different kinds 1 2 2 content for the Internet? of requests. 3 A. So content in my mind, and again, this is a 3 BY MR. HUDIS: 4 broad, philosophical topic, implies something that 4 Q. There are different kinds of HTTP requests? 5 5 a human being can look at and take some meaning A. Yes. Q. All right. Could you tell me what they 6 from. 6 7 7 So a data file might include a binary are? Are there many? 8 8 image. Is that content or not? Again, that's --MR. BECKER: Objection. Compound. 9 it would be a fascinating essay. 9 BY MR. HUDIS: 10 Q. Which brings me to my next question. 10 Q. Are there many types of HTTP requests? 11 What does it mean to view content on an 11 A. Okay. Let me preface this by saying I 12 12 would want to review the HTTP protocol Internet website? 13 MR. BECKER: Objection. Vague. 13 specification, but there are several, I can say 14 THE WITNESS: So view to me sounds to me 14 that for a fact. 15 like a human being at a computer using the 15 Q. All right. So if you could name me a few Internet. So I think that is an end user looking of the ones that you recall at this time. 16 16 17 at an item that is available from another computer. 17 A. One of the more common requests is the get 18 BY MR. HUDIS: 18 request, g-e-t. And that request is how a client 19 O. What does it mean to access content on an 19 asks for a particular URL from a server. 20 20 Q. All right. What's another type of HTTP Internet website? 21 MR. BECKER: Objection. Vague. Objection. 21 request? 22 May also be argumentative. Objection. May call 22 A. The post request is used to add data to, 23 for a legal conclusion. 23 for example, a web form on the server. 24 THE WITNESS: So access is a more precise 24 Q. Can you tell us another type of HTTP 25 technical term, and that to me implies that a 25 request? Page 147 Page 149 1 computer, not necessarily a human being, but a 1 A. The head request asks for the metadata 2 2 computer has requested some data from another associated with the document, such as the last 3 computer, and that request was successful and the 3 modified time or the number of bytes. 4 data was transferred. 4 Q. Can you name another type of HTTP request? 5 BY MR. HUDIS: 5 A. There is a put request, and I would have to 6 Q. What does it mean to download content from 6 consult for the precise definition of that one. 7 an Internet website? 7 Q. What generally does a put request do? 8 MR. BECKER: Objection. Vague. Objection. 8 MR. BECKER: Objection. Vague. 9 May call for a legal conclusion. Objection. May 9 THE WITNESS: I'd want to --10 be argumentative. 10 MR. BECKER: Objection. Competence. 11 THE WITNESS: Again, that's a vague term, 11 THE WITNESS: I'd want to look at the HTTP 12 like view. But from the standpoint of an 12 protocol specification. It's not something I'm 13 individual human being at a computer, download 13 familiar with. 14 implies taking some content from another location 14 BY MR. HUDIS: 15 and having it copied on your personal computer, for 15 Q. Is there any other type of HTTP request 16 16 that you can think of as we sit here now? example. 17 17 BY MR. HUDIS: A. There are others, and I do not know what 18 Q. Could you tell us what an HTTP question is, 18 they are right now. 19 otherwise known as a hypertext transfer protocol 19 Q. If an Internet user wants to obtain data 20 request? 20 from a website, would that be a get request? 21 A. It is one of a series of operations --21 MR. BECKER: Objection. Hypothetical. 22 protocol operations defined in the HTTP protocol 22 Objection. Vague. 23 23 THE WITNESS: A get request is one of the specification. 24 Q. And what does it do? 24 more common mechanisms for accessing data from an 25 25 MR. BECKER: Objection. Vague. HTTP server.

Page 158

about standards made by quasi-governmental
 organizations. A totally different topic.
 BY MR, HUDIS:

Q. Could we turn to the next page. Page 3225 of Exhibit 22. It says two-thirds of the way down the page, "I gave a little speech about the morals necessity of disseminating standards."

What did you mean by that?

A. This was a --

MR. BECKER: Objection. Vague.

THE WITNESS: This was in the context of a visit to the International Organization For Standards or organization, known as -- International Organization For Standardization, known as ISO. The acronym is different than the name, which says something about them.

And this was the organization that was

And this was the organization that was attempting to have the whole Internet run on the open systems interconnection protocol suite, and my little speech to the gentlemen that I visited was that if they wanted their protocol suite to be ubiquitous, to be globally adopted, that would only work if those standards were readily available for people to read.

BY MR. HUDIS:

Page 160

- Q. What is an ITU specification?
- A. How a modem works, for example.
  - Q. And please define ISO.
- 4 A. ISO is the International Organization for Standardization.
- Q. And the next sentence begins with Eicher.Who is Eicher?
  - A. Eicher was the secretary general of the International Organization for Standardization.
  - Q. Now, the rest of this paragraph reads, "Eicher was quite frank. 25 percent of ISO revenues came from the sale of standards documents. How did I propose to replace that revenue? Even more importantly, ISO was controlled by its member organizations, which also made much money from standards sales. How did I propose to convince groups like ANSI that posting standards for free would help them?"

Do you see that?

20 A. Yes, I do.

MR. BECKER: Objection. The document speaks for itself. Objection. Relevance.

23 BY MR. HUDIS:

Q. In this context -- sorry. I'm sorry if I spoke over you.

Page 159

Q. When you say "readily available," do you mean -- did you mean readily available for free?

MR. BECKER: Objection. Vague. Objection. Relevance.

Relevance.

THE WITNESS: The IETF made its protocol specifications available for me. And my little moral lecture to the International Organization For Standardization was that if they wished to win this race to become the basis for the modern Internet, that would only happen if their standards were, in fact, available for free, so anybody could read them.

BY MR. HUDIS:

Q. The next paragraph says, "We then started talking about applying Bruno to the ISO world."

First of all, what is Bruno?

- A. Bruno was a project that I undertook with the blessings of the secretary general of the International Telecommunication Union to convert and post the ITU specifications to the Internet so anybody could read them for free.
- Q. So it was basically wide dissemination of documents on the Internet?
  - A. Of ITU specifications. And the ITU is specifications for the telephone network.

Page 161

In this context, what is ANSI?

A. ANSI is the American National Standards Institute.

Q. So you pose a series of questions here on page 32225, and then on the next page you say, and this is on page 32226 of Exhibit 22, "I proposed my high resolution/low resolution compromise. The plan would post low resolution versions of documents for free on the network and allow ISO and ANSI to continue to sell high resolution versions either on paper or electronically."

So was that your answer to the question that you posed on the prior page, 32225?

MR. BECKER: Objection. The document speaks for itself.

THE WITNESS: It was one of my thoughts in 1991 as to a way that ISO could function in a modern world.

BY MR. HUDIS:

Q. Then in two paragraphs later, you say, "The crucial assumption was that people with the free version would then pay for documents." And at the end of that paragraph it says, "Giving away standards would lead to increased revenues."

So here is my question about that crucial

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

22

23

24

25

Page 170

acknowledge that the issue of copyright and standards, after they've been incorporated into law, is unsettled and that ACUS is not taking a position on this subject?" What did you mean?

MR. BECKER: Objection. The document speaks for itself. Objection. Vague.

THE WITNESS: I felt it inappropriate for ACUS to be taking a strong position on what the copyright status was of documents incorporated into

11 BY MR. HUDIS:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

Q. Why?

A. Frankly, there was a young staff member who was doing the research for this recommendation who felt very strongly that standards incorporated by reference into law maintained their copyright, even as a part of the Code of Federal Regulations. And as I said in this paragraph here, I think it would be fair to say this is above our pay grade. I felt that the young staffer was -- was stretching.

Q. So that brings me to my next question.

The next sentence says, "There is obviously a strong bias towards protecting and honoring copyright on the one hand, but we also have the Veeck," V-e-e-c-k, "decision and some ambiguity in Page 172

THE WITNESS: So it's pronounced Veeck, by the way. It's a Dutch name. P. Veeck. It -- the preamble was taking at the time a strong position that standards incorporated into reference by law had copyright and that the law could have copyright.

And again, I felt that this young staffer was simply moving beyond what a body such as the Administrative Conference of the United States could say is the established truth. I felt she was speculating, to use the language we use in depositions.

BY MR. HUDIS:

Q. And what did you mean by "I think it would be fair to say this is above our pay grade"?

MR. BECKER: Objection again. The document speaks for itself. Objection. Asked and answered.

THE WITNESS: So I'm not a lawyer, but I have looked at a number of documents that indicate that in the United States the law has no copyright. And that includes, in many formulations, materials incorporated by reference into the law. Mr. Bhatia from ANSI, for example, B-h-a-t-i-a, has stated many times that standards incorporated by reference are the law, and it seemed to me that that was a

Page 171

the law. I think it would be fair to say this is," quote, "above our pay grade," period, unquote.

A couple of questions on that passage.

What did you mean in the third sentence by "some ambiguity in the law"?

MR. BECKER: Again, same objections. The document speaks for itself. It's beyond the scope of the 30(b)(6) designation. And the objection on relevance grounds. Again, objection that this may call for a legal conclusion.

THE WITNESS: So I'm not a lawyer, but I read the Veeck decision, and it seemed to me that the researcher at ACUS was drawing conclusions from the Veeck decision that while perhaps appropriate for a federal judge to be making, were inappropriate to be laying them down as categorical statements. I felt she was reading into the Veeck decision in ways that were perhaps not supported by the language. And again, I'm not a lawyer. BY MR. HUDIS: Q. I understand. What conclusions was the researcher drawing

from Veeck that concerned you?

MR. BECKER: Objection. Relevance. Objection. Vague. Objection. Lacks foundation. Page 173

long-standing policy of the United States.

And again, this was something that if one were to draw a different conclusion that a portion of the law in fact, did maintain copyright and one needed a license to access and use that material, that was certainly not a statement that the organization such as the Administrative Conference of the United States should be making.

(PLAINTIFFS' EXHIBIT 24 WAS MARKED.) BY MR. HUDIS:

- Q. Mr. Malamud, I'll now show you what's been marked as Exhibit 24. Before I ask you questions about the document, what is On The Media?
- A. Oh, that's a National Public Radio program.
- Q. Who is Bob Garfield?
- A. I assume he's a host or reporter.
- 17 Q. Do you recognize Exhibit 24?
- 18 A. No, I do not. I remember doing an 19
  - interview with On The Media, however.
- 20 Q. Did you do this interview with On The Media 21 on or about April 13, 2012?
  - A. That sounds about right.
  - Q. What was the purpose of the interview?
    - A. I think you'd have to ask On The Media.
    - Q. What was your purpose for giving the

Carl Malamud May 12, 2015

### San Francisco, CA Page 174 Page 176 1 interview? Counsel. I just mind the ones that would try to 1 2 2 MR. BECKER: Objection for relevance. indicate the -- to the witness how he should answer 3 THE WITNESS: If a well-respected program 3 his questions. such as On The Media by National Public Radio wants 4 4 BY MR. HUDIS: 5 5 me to talk to them, I will generally make myself Q. So my question about this document, do you 6 available. 6 recall this interview? 7 BY MR. HUDIS: 7 A. Yes, I do. 8 8 Q. Exhibit 24 appears to be an interview that Q. All right. Do you recall giving this 9 you gave in April of 2012 to Mr. Garfield. I'd 9 answer that I just read into the record? 10 like to ask you a couple of questions. 10 A. No, I don't, but I'd be happy to discuss 11 If you would turn in Exhibit 24 to 11 the general topics that are addressed there. 12 production page AERA APA NCME 32076. 12 Q. Sure. 13 A. Okay. Yes. 13 So if standards development organizations 14 Q. Mr. Garfield in the middle of the page 14 lose their copyright by incorporation by reference, 1.5 asks, "There is an expense attached to developing 15 is it your theory that the standards 16 and codifying these standards. If we take the organization -- development organization should 16 17 revenue away from those who do this work, then what 17 make their money some other way? happens?" And you provide two answers. I'll read 18 18 MR. BECKER: Objection. Vague. Objection. 19 them. 19 May call for a legal conclusion. Objection. 20 "Well, there's two answers to that. One is 20 Hypothetical. Objection. May mischaracterize the 21 that the nonprofits that develop these standards 21 witness. 22 have a lot of different revenue streams. They do 22 BY MR. HUDIS: 23 conferences. They do certification. They develop 23 Q. You may answer. 24 standards that aren't law. In fact, the vast 24 A. I have testified on this subject before 25 majority of their standards are not. And so maybe 25 Congress saying that I believe that when a standard Page 175 Page 177 1 they need to adjust their business model, 1 is incorporated by reference, usually with the 2 2 particularly given the fact that they are a active ascents of -- of the SDO, that organization 3 3 nonprofit public charity." is given a gold seal of approval, right. They are 4 You continue. "Answer number two is that 4 the original creator of what has become a portion 5 government has shirked its responsibilities. It 5 of American law, and that that is a unique 6 said 'Gee, we can just incorporate these privately 6 marketing opportunity. 7 developed standards in the law and we won't have to 7 That opportunity can be used to -- to sell 8 8 pay anything.' And the only people that get authenticated versions of the standard. To sell 9 9 screwed up by this are the citizens that need to auxiliary products. That there are a number, in 10 read the law." 10 general, of business models that can emerge out of

Do you recall giving those answers to Mr. Garfield at the interview of April 2012?

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. BECKER: Objection. Mr. Malamud has said that he does not recognize this document. Objection to the extent that it's not clear how this document was transcribed or its authenticity. Objection with regards to relevance, particularly on the grounds that the plaintiffs have said that the finances and revenue of the plaintiffs, other than directly related to the sale of the 1999 standards, is not at issue in this case as they so claim.

Objection on the grounds that the question assumes facts not in evidence.

MR. HUDIS: I don't mind the objections,

this favored position.

As to how that specifically applies to a specific SDO, again, we would want to look at -- I would want to look at the very specific nature of that organization. But I still talk in general about the unique position of having a standard incorporated by reference into federal law and how favorable that is.

BY MR. HUDIS:

Q. And is it your view that once incorporated by reference, the standard loses its copyright enforcement ability and the standards development organization that wrote that standard, "incorporated by reference," would have to obtain its income some other way than selling the

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Carl Malamud May 12, 2015

#### San Francisco, CA Page 178 Page 180 standard? 1 development. And in many cases standards are 1 2 2 MR. BECKER: Objection. Calls for a legal created, and there are other revenue streams that 3 conclusion. Objection. Argumentative. Objection. 3 go to the organization, such as the funding of 4 Lacks foundation and assumes facts not in evidence. 4 basic research. 5 5 Objection. Vague. So I don't think it's an either/or 6 THE WITNESS: So I disagree with that 6 proposition. I think there's already a lot of 7 7 characterization. I -- I believe that even if the money flowing. 8 8 law is available to citizens, that does not BY MR. HUDIS: 9 preclude a standards development organization 9 Q. I don't believe your last answer, 10 continuing to sell that document. Particularly 10 Mr. Malamud, answered my question. 11 A. Okay. Could you restate the question? 11 selling an authenticated version, a redlined 12 12 version, a version with commentary. I believe Q. Sure. Do you have any views, whether they 13 13 there are a number of ways one can continue to make are strong or not, whether once a standard is 14 that -- that document available for sale. 14 incorporated by reference into a government 15 15 BY MR. HUDIS: regulation, the government should pay for that? 16 MR. BECKER: All the same objections and 16 Q. Is one of your alternative theories that 17 once a standard is incorporated by reference, that 17 also asked and answered. 18 the government should pay for it? 18 THE WITNESS: I believe I did answer your 19 MR. BECKER: Objection. May call for a 19 question in the sense of the government is already 20 20 legal conclusion. Objection. Lacks foundation. 21 21 Assumes facts not in evidence. Objection. Now, my view is it proper for government 22 22 money to go to an SDO? In theory, yes. Argumentative. 23 THE WITNESS: So there are some things I 23 MR. HUDIS: Just for the record Exhibit 24 bears production numbers AERA APA NCME 32075 24 24 know and some things I can speculate on. 25 The thing that I know is that the law in 25 through 32078. Page 179 Page 181 (PLAINTIFFS' EXHIBIT 25 WAS MARKED.) 1 the United States has no copyright, and one is free 1 BY MR. HUDIS: 2 to read and speak the law. Without needing a 2 3 license, without needing permission. 3 Q. Mr. Malamud, I've placed in front of you a 4 What I can speculate on is different ways 4 document that's been marked as Exhibit 25, bearing 5 that one might go about handling issues such as 5 production numbers AERA\_APA\_NCME 31764 through 6 revenue and whether the government should be paying 6 31768. 7 or not, and I frankly don't have strong views as to 7 Do you recognize this document? 8 whether or not the -- this scenario that I posited 8 A. It appears to be an essay that I wrote for 9 9 boingboing. This appears to be a printout of that. here is the right solution. 10 MR. BECKER: I would advise the witness not 10 Q. Do you have any reason to doubt the 11 11 authenticity of this document, Exhibit 25? to speculate and only to give those answers that 12 12 A. No, but I'd want to double check. It the witness knows. 13 THE WITNESS: Okay. 13 appears to be the essay that I wrote. 14 14 Q. And what is boingboing? BY MR. HUDIS: 15 15 Q. Do you have any views, whether they're A. Boingboing is a blog. 16 strong or not, whether once a standard is 16 Q. And do you recall posting this blog on 17 incorporated by reference into a government 17 March 19th, 2012, to boingboing? 18 regulation, the government should pay for that? 18 A. I'm not sure of the exact date, but I did, 19 MR. BECKER: Objection. May call for a 19 in fact, author a boingboing official guest 20 legal conclusion. Objection. Vague. Objection. 20 memorandum of law. 21 Lacks foundation and assumes facts not in evidence. 21 Q. Why did you call it a memorandum of law? 22 And argumentative. 22 A. Because it was talking about an obscure topic in a publication that reaches a very general 23 23 THE WITNESS: So the government is already

Q. Under the first heading Roman numeral I,

24

25

audience.

24

25

paying in many different revenue streams for

standards. They pay for access. They help fund

#### Page 230 Page 232 MR. BECKER: Objection. Vague. THE WITNESS: My sister read it in the 1 1 2 2 Objection. To the extent that any of this course of her doctoral course work. 3 information has come from attorney-client 3 BY MR. HUDIS: 4 communications, I will instruct the witness not to 4 Q. And what was your sister's doctoral course 5 5 divulge any privileged information. work? 6 THE WITNESS: I'm aware that they are 6 A. On, I want to state this properly. I 7 7 updated. I'm not terribly clear on the exact believe physical and rehabilitative therapy. A 8 8 process that the organizations went through to do subset of psychology. 9 9 Q. How did the standards first come to your 10 BY MR. HUDIS: 10 attention? 11 Q. Do you know who uses the standards? 11 MR. BECKER: Objection. Vague. Objection. 12 12 MR. BECKER: Objection. Vague. Ambiguous. 13 13 Again, to the extent that this answer THE WITNESS: I was looking at the 14 14 requires the divulging of any attorney-client standards incorporated by reference under the Code 15 15 of Federal Regulations, and the standards at issue privileged communications, I'll instruct the witness not to divulge that information. were one of the ones that were specified. 16 16 17 17 BY MR. HUDIS: Competence. Lacks foundation. 18 THE WITNESS: So I know some of the people 18 Q. And what year was that? 19 that use the standard. I know that the Department 19 A. Probably 2012. Early 2012. 20 20 Q. When did Public.Resource -of Education has incorporated by reference into its 21 21 A. Might have been earlier. Might have been regulations. So I am -- I know that the Department 22 of Education has people that use it. 22 earlier. I'm not sure. 23 23 I know a lot of state governments are Q. Sometime in 20 -- in 2012? 24 putting together tests that conform to the 24 A. Coming to my attention in the sense of 25 standards. 25 remembering it now, yes. Page 231 Page 233 1 I believe there are a number of other 1 Q. What, if anything, made you interested in 2 2 agencies, I believe Office of Personnel Management, acquiring the standards? 3 I believe Department of Defense, a number of state 3 A. It was --4 organizations, are all users of the standard 4 MR. BECKER: Objection. Vague. 5 because they specify that it shall be used. 5 THE WITNESS: -- incorporated by reference 6 BY MR. HUDIS: 6 into the Code of Federal Regulations. 7 Q. Do you know of any non-governmental users 7 BY MR. HUDIS: 8 of the standards? 8 Q. When did Public.Resource first make the 9 MR. BECKER: All the same objections. 9 decision to post the standards to one of its 10 10 Vague. 11 11 MR. BECKER: Objection. Vague. Objection. To the extent that there is any information 12 12 Lacks foundation. Objection. May call for a legal that the witness has learned from his attorneys, I 13 will instruct him not to divulge this privileged 13 conclusion. 14 information. 14 THE WITNESS: So it would have been 15 15 THE WITNESS: I know that the Educational sometime after obtaining a copy of the standard and 16 Testing Service, ETS and a number of organizations 16 examining it and satisfying myself that, in fact, 17 that create tests, are users of the standard, and 17 it was the document that was incorporated by 18 the reason I know that is there's been a series of 18 reference, and sometime between the procurement, 19 procurements by government organizations that 19 which I believe was in May 2012, and the actual 20 require the use of the standard. 20 posting, which I believe was in July 2012. 21 21 BY MR. HUDIS: BY MR. HUDIS: 22 Q. So how did Public.Resource come to the Q. Do you know of any other non-governmental 22 23 23 users of the standards? decision to post the standards on one of its 24 MR. BECKER: All the same objections. Also 24 websites? 25 object for competence. 25 MR. BECKER: Objection. Vague and

#### Page 262 Page 264 BY MR. HUDIS: Q. Did HTC Global participate in this process? 1 1 2 2 Q. Mr. Malamud, I show you a document that has A. They did not. 3 been marked as Exhibit 34, bearing production 3 Q. Did anyone else besides yourself 4 numbers AERA\_APA\_NCME 31528 through 31738. 4 participate in this process? 5 5 Do you recognize this document? A. It's just me. 6 A. It appears to be a copy of the standards at 6 Q. I'd like you to look in Exhibit 29, 7 7 issue with the certificate of incorporation on the interrogatory answer number 4 on page 6. 8 8 So consistent with your -- your prior top. 9 Q. All right. And is this the cover sheet 9 testimony, does this interrogatory answer number 4 10 that you appended on top of the 1999 standards 10 in Exhibit 29 accurately identify all the persons posted on Public.Resource's website? 11 and entities who were involved in disassembling the 11 12 12 A. Yes, it appears to be. paper version of the 1999 standards, scanning them, 13 Q. Who prepared this cover sheet? 13 processing them and posting them to the Internet? 14 14 MR. BECKER: Objection to form. A. I did. 15 Q. And who chose the language for the cover 15 THE WITNESS: Yes, it was me. 16 sheet? 16 BY MR. HUDIS: 17 17 Q. I just want to go a little bit into depth A. I did. 18 Q. What was your intention, Mr. Malamud, for 18 about quality control. 19 appending this cover sheet of Exhibit 34 on top of 19 So what quality control procedures did you 20 20 use to ensure the quality of the textual comment -the 1999 standards posted on Public.Resource's 21 21 content of the 1999 standards that you posted to website? 22 A. I wanted to be very clear that this was a 22 the Internet? 23 posting of a standard incorporated by reference 23 MR. BECKER: Objection. Vague. 24 THE WITNESS: This is a scan of a document. into the Code of Federal Regulations. I wanted to 24 25 place this document in context. 25 BY MR. HUDIS: Page 263 Page 265 1 Q. And what was your purpose on the cover 1 O. Mm-hm. 2 2 A. It's a pixel-by-pixel replication of what sheet of using the medallion that had the word 3 3 "Repeatedly Approved." was on the printed page. Q. I'll be more specific. 4 A. To signify that the executive director of 4 5 the Office of the Federal Register had explicitly 5 Did you check for missing or incorrectly 6 and deliberately approved this incorporation by 6 scanned pages? 7 reference. 7 A. I believe I did. 8 Q. We just went through the process that you 8 Q. Did you check for pages that may have had 9 used. We asked you the question, did you digitize 9 blurred text? 10 or convert to a digital format the 1999 standards, 10 A. I believe I did. 11 and we went through that process. 11 Q. Now, you say, "I believe I did." Do you 12 12 My question is, who participated in the know for sure that you did? 13 process of disassembling the paper version of the 13 A. My standard procedure is to do those 14 1999 standards, scanning them and processing them, 14 things. I don't know this specific document simply 15 15 as you described here in interrogatory answer because I don't recollect back to that period in 16 number 3 and posting them to the Internet? 16 May 2012. So I can't testify under oath that I 17 17 MR. BECKER: Objection. Compound. did, in fact, do that. But that certainly is my 18 THE WITNESS: That was me. 18 standard procedure. 19 BY MR. HUDIS: 19 Q. Mr. Malamud, what is search engine 20 Q. Did Point.B Studio participate in this 20 optimization? 21 21 process? A. Search engine optimization is a technical 22 A. No. 22 term of art that has to do with how documents that 23 23 Q. Did Rebecca Malamud participate in this are on a web server show up in search engine 24 24 process? results. 25 A. She did not. 25 Q. Please continue.

#### Page 266 Page 268 A. In particular with the PDF document, what 1 1 did do that on a consistent basis. It's not part 2 2 you want in a search engine result is rather than, of our normal workflow, no. 3 for example, a snippet of OCR, you want the actual 3 BY MR. HUDIS: 4 title of the document to show up in a description. 4 Q. Was the PDF file of the 1999 standards that 5 5 It's what Google would cause a snippet. you created ever converted from PDF to any other 6 So by embedding metadata in the header of 6 format before posting to the Internet? 7 7 the PDF file, the attempt is to make sure that that MR. BECKER: Objection. Form. 8 8 document title shows up in the search engine THE WITNESS: I don't think so. 9 results so people know what that document is. 9 BY MR. HUDIS: 10 Q. So, Mr. Malamud, did you check the metadata 10 Q. So the 1999 standards that you scanned and 11 you added to the PDF file comprising the 1999 creed a PDF file, was it ever converted to JPEG? 11 12 12 standards for search engine optimization? MR. BECKER: Objection. Form. 13 A. Well, when I created the script that embeds 13 THE WITNESS: I'm not sure what that means. 14 the metadata in the header, I had in mind search 14 BY MR. HUDIS: 15 15 Q. Was it converted from PDF format to a JPEG engine optimization. So assuming I did my job right, and 16 16 format? 17 remember search engines change over time. So if 17 MR. BECKER: Same objection. 18 you did something in one period of time, that 18 THE WITNESS: I don't think that would make 19 doesn't necessarily mean that a search engine will 19 any sense on a document like that. You'd end up 20 20 react the same way later on. with, you know, a couple hundred JPEG files. 21 But assuming that I wrote that initial 21 No. I certainly wouldn't have done that. 22 script properly, then this document would have 22 BY MR. HUDIS: 23 shown up in a meaningful fashion in search engine 23 Q. Okay. Did you convert it to SBG format? 24 24 A. No. That wouldn't make any sense at all. 25 Q. And your answer just now said, "assuming." 25 Q. And would you have any -- would you have Page 267 Page 269 1 You don't know for sure with respect to this 1 had any reason to convert the PDF file of the 1999 2 2 standards to a MathML format? particular document? 3 A. I don't recollect looking at this document 3 MR. BECKER: Objection. Form. 4 in Google or Bing or other search engine results to 4 THE WITNESS: I don't -- well, first of 5 determine that fact. 5 all, MathML is embedded in an HTML file. 6 Q. Did you check the quality of the optical 6 And second of all, at least to the best of 7 character recognition process for accuracy for the 7 my recollection, I don't think there's any 8 1999 standards? 8 mathematical formulas in the standards at issue. 9 MR. BECKER: Objection. Form. 9 BY MR. HUDIS: 10 THE WITNESS: Hold on a second. I'd like 10 Q. So that brings me to my next question. 11 to double-check something. 11 Was the PDF file that you created from the 12 12 1999 standards ever converted to HTML format? OCR is inherently prone to certain errors. 13 And what I used was the best available OCR that I 13 MR. BECKER: Objection. Form. 14 had, which was in Adobe Acrobat Pro. But I did not 14 THE WITNESS: No, we didn't do that. 15 pull up the underlying text. The underlying OCR 15 BY MR. HUDIS: 16 text is used to search a file; not to read a file. 16 O. Was the PDF file of the 1999 standards that 17 Does that answer your question? 17 you created ever converted from PDF to a format 18 BY MR. HUDIS: 18 making the standards accessible to the visually 19 Q. So in doing a quality check of the optical 19 impaired? 20 character recognition process for accuracy, did you 20 MR. BECKER: Objection. Form. Objection. 21 attempt to pull up the underlying text after the 21 Competence; lacks foundation and assumes facts not 22 22 scan was completed? in evidence. 23 23 THE WITNESS: The OCR procedure does, in A. No. 24 MR. BECKER: Objection. Form. 24 fact, make the document accessible to the visually THE WITNESS: No. And I never said that I 25 25 impaired.

#### Page 270 Page 272 BY MR. HUDIS: accurately state when and where you posted the 1999 1 1 2 2 Q. In what way? standards to the Internet? 3 A. A screen reader is able to read the 3 A. It does. 4 underlying text, granted with potential OCR errors, 4 Q. And what was the date that you posted the 5 but the vast majority of the text is accessible to 5 standards to the Internet? 6 those that are visually impaired. 6 MR. BECKER: Objection. Form. 7 7 Q. Are you familiar with the format, THE WITNESS: As our interrogatory says, 8 refreshable Braille? 8 July 11, 2012 on Law.Resource.Org and ... 9 A. No, I'm not. 9 BY MR. HUDIS: 10 Q. Did you convert the PDF file of the 1999 10 Q. All right. And --11 standards that you made to refreshable Braille 11 A. Yeah. 12 12 Q. And as you said, you posted the standards 13 A. We don't do that. We convert to HTML. 13 to Law.Resource.Org, and you also posted the 14 standards to the Internet Archive; correct? Q. Did -- and you didn't convert --14 15 A. So no. No is the answer. 15 A. That is correct. Q. All right. And you didn't convert the PDF O. Mr. Malamud, what is the name of the 16 16 17 17 Public.Resource web server to which you saved the file to HTML either? 18 A. This particular standard, no, we did not. 18 file containing the contents of the 1999 standards? 19 Q. Okay. And did you convert the PDF file 19 A. Law.Resource.Org. 20 that you created from the 1999 standards to large 20 Q. That's the name of the server? 21 print? 21 A. Yes. 22 MR. BECKER: Objection. Form. 22 MR. BECKER: Please give me time to object. 23 THE WITNESS: It is an unencumbered PDF, 23 MR. HUDIS: I'm sorry. THE WITNESS: That was my fault. 24 and so a viewer can, in fact, magnify the text that 24 25 25 MR. HUDIS: I don't want to be rude, Page 271 Page 273 1 So in that sense, large print, we did not 1 Counsel, seriously. Okay. 2 2 retype the documents into a large print edition. BY MR. HUDIS: 3 BY MR. HUDIS: 3 Q. Is the file containing the 1999 standards 4 Q. Mr. Malamud, do you have any materials in 4 still saved on that web server? 5 your -- in Public.Resource's possession documenting 5 MR. BECKER: Objection. Vague and 6 the process you went through of disassembling the 6 ambiguous; assumes facts not in evidence. 7 paper version of the 1999 standards, scanning them, 7 THE WITNESS: It is not in the document 8 8 processing them and posting them to the Internet? tree of the web server, no. 9 MR. BECKER: Objection. Compound. 9 BY MR. HUDIS: 10 THE WITNESS: No, there's no intermediate 10 Q. Do you still have that file still saved 11 process. That's a book and then it gets scanned. 11 somewhere within Public.Resource's computer 12 THE REPORTER: Did you say "there's no 12 systems? 13 intermediate product"? 13 A. Yes, I do. 14 THE WITNESS: Intermediate process. 14 O. Where? A. One copy on my desktop. One copy in the 15 BY MR. HUDIS: 15 16 Q. Mr. Malamud, once you converted the 1999 16 not published directory. I don't know what the 17 standards from paper to the PDF format, what did 17 exact name of it is. Someplace on our server, but 18 you do with the contents of the file? 18 it's a private area that's not accessible to -- to 19 A. I posted the file to Law.Resource.Org and 19 anybody but myself and our systems administrator. 20 to the Internet Archive. 20 Q. Mr. Malamud, does Public.Resource have any 21 21 logs from its web servers documenting the date on Q. Mr. Malamud, could you please return your 22 attention to Exhibit 29, interrogatory answer 22 which the 1999 standards were posted to 23 23 number 2. Public.Resource's website? MR. BECKER: Objection. Vague and A. Okay. 24 24 ambiguous. Objection. Lacks foundation. And 25 Q. Does interrogatory answer number 2 25

	Page 274		Page 276
1	assumes facts not in evidence.	1	in evidence.
2	THE WITNESS: There's no logs, but there	2	THE WITNESS: It's the collection I created
3	was a file creation date on the file.	3	to hold the standards incorporated by reference.
4	BY MR. HUDIS:	4	BY MR. HUDIS:
5	Q. Has any documentation noting the file	5	Q. All right. So you created the Codes of the
6	creation date ever been produced to us?	6	World collection on Internet Archive's website?
7	A. I don't know.	7	A. I did.
8	MR. HUDIS: Counsel, if that document has	8	Q. Mr. Malamud, I show you what was previously
9	not been provided to us, it should be provided to	9	marked at Internet Archive's deposition in this
10	us now.	10	case as Butler Exhibit 6.
11	THE WITNESS: So the file creation date was	11	Do you see that?
12	the date that the standard was posted. And when at	12	A. I do. Let me correct a misstatement. It
13	your request we removed that standard and replaced	13	wasn't called Codes of the World. It was called
14	it with a stub, that's going to be the new creation	14	Global Public Safety Codes is the name of the
15	date. So I don't believe there's going to be a	15	collection.
16	record.	16	Q. And what types of materials did you post to
17	BY MR. HUDIS:	17	the Global Public Safety Codes collection on
18	Q. What about the old creation date when the	18	Internet Archive?
19	original standards file was was posted to your	19	A. Standards incorporated by reference in the
20	web server?	20	law.
21	A. I moved it to a different area. I mean,	21	Q. Do you recognize Butler Exhibit 6?
22	you can make the request and we'll go look and see	22	A. This is a document you created?
23	if that's there, but it's	23	Q. It's a document we printed from the
23	·	24	Internet Archive.
25	Q. Thank you, Mr. Malamud, I appreciate that.  Did you post the entirety of the 1999	25	A. This appears to be a series of screen dumps
23	Did you post the entirety of the 1999	23	A. This appears to be a series of screen dumps
	Page 275		Page 277
1	Page 275 standards to Public.Resource's website?	1	-
1 2	_	1 2	from that item in which you are paging through the
	standards to Public.Resource's website?  A. Yes.		from that item in which you are paging through the standards at issue, is what this appears to be.
2	standards to Public.Resource's website?	2	from that item in which you are paging through the
2	standards to Public.Resource's website?  A. Yes. Q. Mr. Malamud, as it pertains to the Internet Archive, what is a collection?	2 3	from that item in which you are paging through the standards at issue, is what this appears to be.  Q. That's exactly correct. And you just saved
2 3 4	standards to Public.Resource's website?  A. Yes.  Q. Mr. Malamud, as it pertains to the Internet	2 3 4	from that item in which you are paging through the standards at issue, is what this appears to be.  Q. That's exactly correct. And you just saved me about five minutes of explanation.
2 3 4 5	standards to Public.Resource's website?  A. Yes.  Q. Mr. Malamud, as it pertains to the Internet Archive, what is a collection?  MR. BECKER: Objection. Asked and	2 3 4 5	from that item in which you are paging through the standards at issue, is what this appears to be.  Q. That's exactly correct. And you just saved me about five minutes of explanation.  A. Oh, sorry about that.
2 3 4 5 6	standards to Public.Resource's website?  A. Yes. Q. Mr. Malamud, as it pertains to the Internet Archive, what is a collection?  MR. BECKER: Objection. Asked and answered.	2 3 4 5 6	from that item in which you are paging through the standards at issue, is what this appears to be.  Q. That's exactly correct. And you just saved me about five minutes of explanation.  A. Oh, sorry about that.  Q. That's fine. Thank you very much,
2 3 4 5 6 7	standards to Public.Resource's website?  A. Yes. Q. Mr. Malamud, as it pertains to the Internet Archive, what is a collection?  MR. BECKER: Objection. Asked and answered.  THE WITNESS: A collection is a set of	2 3 4 5 6 7	from that item in which you are paging through the standards at issue, is what this appears to be.  Q. That's exactly correct. And you just saved me about five minutes of explanation.  A. Oh, sorry about that.  Q. That's fine. Thank you very much, Mr. Malamud.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	standards to Public.Resource's website?  A. Yes. Q. Mr. Malamud, as it pertains to the Internet Archive, what is a collection?  MR. BECKER: Objection. Asked and answered.  THE WITNESS: A collection is a set of items that often have a common theme.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	from that item in which you are paging through the standards at issue, is what this appears to be.  Q. That's exactly correct. And you just saved me about five minutes of explanation.  A. Oh, sorry about that.  Q. That's fine. Thank you very much,  Mr. Malamud.  What is the web tool, if you know, that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	standards to Public.Resource's website?  A. Yes. Q. Mr. Malamud, as it pertains to the Internet Archive, what is a collection?  MR. BECKER: Objection. Asked and answered.  THE WITNESS: A collection is a set of items that often have a common theme.  BY MR. HUDIS:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	from that item in which you are paging through the standards at issue, is what this appears to be.  Q. That's exactly correct. And you just saved me about five minutes of explanation.  A. Oh, sorry about that.  Q. That's fine. Thank you very much, Mr. Malamud.  What is the web tool, if you know, that creates the ability for a user to turn the pages of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	standards to Public.Resource's website?  A. Yes. Q. Mr. Malamud, as it pertains to the Internet Archive, what is a collection? MR. BECKER: Objection. Asked and answered. THE WITNESS: A collection is a set of items that often have a common theme. BY MR. HUDIS: Q. And you said you posted the 1999 standards	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	from that item in which you are paging through the standards at issue, is what this appears to be.  Q. That's exactly correct. And you just saved me about five minutes of explanation.  A. Oh, sorry about that.  Q. That's fine. Thank you very much, Mr. Malamud.  What is the web tool, if you know, that creates the ability for a user to turn the pages of the 1999 standards like a book?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	standards to Public.Resource's website?  A. Yes. Q. Mr. Malamud, as it pertains to the Internet Archive, what is a collection? MR. BECKER: Objection. Asked and answered. THE WITNESS: A collection is a set of items that often have a common theme. BY MR. HUDIS: Q. And you said you posted the 1999 standards to Internet Archive's website; correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	from that item in which you are paging through the standards at issue, is what this appears to be.  Q. That's exactly correct. And you just saved me about five minutes of explanation.  A. Oh, sorry about that.  Q. That's fine. Thank you very much,  Mr. Malamud.  What is the web tool, if you know, that creates the ability for a user to turn the pages of the 1999 standards like a book?  MR. BECKER: Objection. Vague and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	standards to Public.Resource's website?  A. Yes. Q. Mr. Malamud, as it pertains to the Internet Archive, what is a collection? MR. BECKER: Objection. Asked and answered. THE WITNESS: A collection is a set of items that often have a common theme. BY MR. HUDIS: Q. And you said you posted the 1999 standards to Internet Archive's website; correct? A. That is correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	from that item in which you are paging through the standards at issue, is what this appears to be.  Q. That's exactly correct. And you just saved me about five minutes of explanation.  A. Oh, sorry about that.  Q. That's fine. Thank you very much, Mr. Malamud.  What is the web tool, if you know, that creates the ability for a user to turn the pages of the 1999 standards like a book?  MR. BECKER: Objection. Vague and ambiguous; confusing.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	standards to Public.Resource's website?  A. Yes. Q. Mr. Malamud, as it pertains to the Internet Archive, what is a collection?  MR. BECKER: Objection. Asked and answered.  THE WITNESS: A collection is a set of items that often have a common theme.  BY MR. HUDIS: Q. And you said you posted the 1999 standards to Internet Archive's website; correct?  A. That is correct. Q. And did you post the entirety of the 1999	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	from that item in which you are paging through the standards at issue, is what this appears to be.  Q. That's exactly correct. And you just saved me about five minutes of explanation.  A. Oh, sorry about that.  Q. That's fine. Thank you very much, Mr. Malamud.  What is the web tool, if you know, that creates the ability for a user to turn the pages of the 1999 standards like a book?  MR. BECKER: Objection. Vague and ambiguous; confusing.  THE WITNESS: I have heard it called book
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	standards to Public.Resource's website?  A. Yes. Q. Mr. Malamud, as it pertains to the Internet Archive, what is a collection? MR. BECKER: Objection. Asked and answered. THE WITNESS: A collection is a set of items that often have a common theme. BY MR. HUDIS: Q. And you said you posted the 1999 standards to Internet Archive's website; correct? A. That is correct. Q. And did you post the entirety of the 1999 standards to Internet Archive's website?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	from that item in which you are paging through the standards at issue, is what this appears to be.  Q. That's exactly correct. And you just saved me about five minutes of explanation.  A. Oh, sorry about that.  Q. That's fine. Thank you very much, Mr. Malamud.  What is the web tool, if you know, that creates the ability for a user to turn the pages of the 1999 standards like a book?  MR. BECKER: Objection. Vague and ambiguous; confusing.  THE WITNESS: I have heard it called book reader, but I don't know the details of what the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	standards to Public.Resource's website?  A. Yes. Q. Mr. Malamud, as it pertains to the Internet Archive, what is a collection?  MR. BECKER: Objection. Asked and answered.  THE WITNESS: A collection is a set of items that often have a common theme. BY MR. HUDIS: Q. And you said you posted the 1999 standards to Internet Archive's website; correct?  A. That is correct. Q. And did you post the entirety of the 1999 standards to Internet Archive's website?  A. I did.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	from that item in which you are paging through the standards at issue, is what this appears to be.  Q. That's exactly correct. And you just saved me about five minutes of explanation.  A. Oh, sorry about that.  Q. That's fine. Thank you very much, Mr. Malamud.  What is the web tool, if you know, that creates the ability for a user to turn the pages of the 1999 standards like a book?  MR. BECKER: Objection. Vague and ambiguous; confusing.  THE WITNESS: I have heard it called book reader, but I don't know the details of what the code is or how it's embedded or anything of that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	standards to Public.Resource's website?  A. Yes. Q. Mr. Malamud, as it pertains to the Internet Archive, what is a collection? MR. BECKER: Objection. Asked and answered. THE WITNESS: A collection is a set of items that often have a common theme. BY MR. HUDIS: Q. And you said you posted the 1999 standards to Internet Archive's website; correct? A. That is correct. Q. And did you post the entirety of the 1999 standards to Internet Archive's website? A. I did. Q. Under which collection at the Internet	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	from that item in which you are paging through the standards at issue, is what this appears to be.  Q. That's exactly correct. And you just saved me about five minutes of explanation.  A. Oh, sorry about that.  Q. That's fine. Thank you very much, Mr. Malamud.  What is the web tool, if you know, that creates the ability for a user to turn the pages of the 1999 standards like a book?  MR. BECKER: Objection. Vague and ambiguous; confusing.  THE WITNESS: I have heard it called book reader, but I don't know the details of what the code is or how it's embedded or anything of that sort.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	standards to Public.Resource's website?  A. Yes. Q. Mr. Malamud, as it pertains to the Internet Archive, what is a collection? MR. BECKER: Objection. Asked and answered. THE WITNESS: A collection is a set of items that often have a common theme. BY MR. HUDIS: Q. And you said you posted the 1999 standards to Internet Archive's website; correct? A. That is correct. Q. And did you post the entirety of the 1999 standards to Internet Archive's website? A. I did. Q. Under which collection at the Internet Archive did you post the 1999 standards?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	from that item in which you are paging through the standards at issue, is what this appears to be.  Q. That's exactly correct. And you just saved me about five minutes of explanation.  A. Oh, sorry about that.  Q. That's fine. Thank you very much, Mr. Malamud.  What is the web tool, if you know, that creates the ability for a user to turn the pages of the 1999 standards like a book?  MR. BECKER: Objection. Vague and ambiguous; confusing.  THE WITNESS: I have heard it called book reader, but I don't know the details of what the code is or how it's embedded or anything of that sort.  BY MR. HUDIS:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	standards to Public.Resource's website?  A. Yes.  Q. Mr. Malamud, as it pertains to the Internet Archive, what is a collection?  MR. BECKER: Objection. Asked and answered.  THE WITNESS: A collection is a set of items that often have a common theme.  BY MR. HUDIS:  Q. And you said you posted the 1999 standards to Internet Archive's website; correct?  A. That is correct.  Q. And did you post the entirety of the 1999 standards to Internet Archive's website?  A. I did.  Q. Under which collection at the Internet Archive did you post the 1999 standards?  MR. BECKER: Objection. Form.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	from that item in which you are paging through the standards at issue, is what this appears to be.  Q. That's exactly correct. And you just saved me about five minutes of explanation.  A. Oh, sorry about that.  Q. That's fine. Thank you very much, Mr. Malamud.  What is the web tool, if you know, that creates the ability for a user to turn the pages of the 1999 standards like a book?  MR. BECKER: Objection. Vague and ambiguous; confusing.  THE WITNESS: I have heard it called book reader, but I don't know the details of what the code is or how it's embedded or anything of that sort.  BY MR. HUDIS:  Q. So you've heard it referred to as a book
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	standards to Public.Resource's website?  A. Yes.  Q. Mr. Malamud, as it pertains to the Internet Archive, what is a collection?  MR. BECKER: Objection. Asked and answered.  THE WITNESS: A collection is a set of items that often have a common theme.  BY MR. HUDIS:  Q. And you said you posted the 1999 standards to Internet Archive's website; correct?  A. That is correct.  Q. And did you post the entirety of the 1999 standards to Internet Archive's website?  A. I did.  Q. Under which collection at the Internet Archive did you post the 1999 standards?  MR. BECKER: Objection. Form.  THE WITNESS: The current name of that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	from that item in which you are paging through the standards at issue, is what this appears to be.  Q. That's exactly correct. And you just saved me about five minutes of explanation.  A. Oh, sorry about that.  Q. That's fine. Thank you very much, Mr. Malamud.  What is the web tool, if you know, that creates the ability for a user to turn the pages of the 1999 standards like a book?  MR. BECKER: Objection. Vague and ambiguous; confusing.  THE WITNESS: I have heard it called book reader, but I don't know the details of what the code is or how it's embedded or anything of that sort.  BY MR. HUDIS:  Q. So you've heard it referred to as a book reader application?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	standards to Public.Resource's website?  A. Yes.  Q. Mr. Malamud, as it pertains to the Internet Archive, what is a collection?  MR. BECKER: Objection. Asked and answered.  THE WITNESS: A collection is a set of items that often have a common theme.  BY MR. HUDIS:  Q. And you said you posted the 1999 standards to Internet Archive's website; correct?  A. That is correct.  Q. And did you post the entirety of the 1999 standards to Internet Archive's website?  A. I did.  Q. Under which collection at the Internet Archive did you post the 1999 standards?  MR. BECKER: Objection. Form.  THE WITNESS: The current name of that collection is Codes of the World.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	from that item in which you are paging through the standards at issue, is what this appears to be.  Q. That's exactly correct. And you just saved me about five minutes of explanation.  A. Oh, sorry about that.  Q. That's fine. Thank you very much, Mr. Malamud.  What is the web tool, if you know, that creates the ability for a user to turn the pages of the 1999 standards like a book?  MR. BECKER: Objection. Vague and ambiguous; confusing.  THE WITNESS: I have heard it called book reader, but I don't know the details of what the code is or how it's embedded or anything of that sort.  BY MR. HUDIS:  Q. So you've heard it referred to as a book reader application?  A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	standards to Public.Resource's website?  A. Yes.  Q. Mr. Malamud, as it pertains to the Internet Archive, what is a collection?  MR. BECKER: Objection. Asked and answered.  THE WITNESS: A collection is a set of items that often have a common theme.  BY MR. HUDIS:  Q. And you said you posted the 1999 standards to Internet Archive's website; correct?  A. That is correct.  Q. And did you post the entirety of the 1999 standards to Internet Archive's website?  A. I did.  Q. Under which collection at the Internet Archive did you post the 1999 standards?  MR. BECKER: Objection. Form.  THE WITNESS: The current name of that collection is Codes of the World.  BY MR. HUDIS:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	from that item in which you are paging through the standards at issue, is what this appears to be.  Q. That's exactly correct. And you just saved me about five minutes of explanation.  A. Oh, sorry about that.  Q. That's fine. Thank you very much, Mr. Malamud.  What is the web tool, if you know, that creates the ability for a user to turn the pages of the 1999 standards like a book?  MR. BECKER: Objection. Vague and ambiguous; confusing.  THE WITNESS: I have heard it called book reader, but I don't know the details of what the code is or how it's embedded or anything of that sort.  BY MR. HUDIS:  Q. So you've heard it referred to as a book reader application?  A. Yes.  Q. All right. Have you ever heard of a DjVu
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	standards to Public.Resource's website?  A. Yes.  Q. Mr. Malamud, as it pertains to the Internet Archive, what is a collection?  MR. BECKER: Objection. Asked and answered.  THE WITNESS: A collection is a set of items that often have a common theme.  BY MR. HUDIS:  Q. And you said you posted the 1999 standards to Internet Archive's website; correct?  A. That is correct.  Q. And did you post the entirety of the 1999 standards to Internet Archive's website?  A. I did.  Q. Under which collection at the Internet Archive did you post the 1999 standards?  MR. BECKER: Objection. Form.  THE WITNESS: The current name of that collection is Codes of the World.  BY MR. HUDIS:  Q. How did you choose this particular	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	from that item in which you are paging through the standards at issue, is what this appears to be.  Q. That's exactly correct. And you just saved me about five minutes of explanation.  A. Oh, sorry about that.  Q. That's fine. Thank you very much, Mr. Malamud.  What is the web tool, if you know, that creates the ability for a user to turn the pages of the 1999 standards like a book?  MR. BECKER: Objection. Vague and ambiguous; confusing.  THE WITNESS: I have heard it called book reader, but I don't know the details of what the code is or how it's embedded or anything of that sort.  BY MR. HUDIS:  Q. So you've heard it referred to as a book reader application?  A. Yes.  Q. All right. Have you ever heard of a DjVu Reader?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	standards to Public.Resource's website?  A. Yes.  Q. Mr. Malamud, as it pertains to the Internet Archive, what is a collection?  MR. BECKER: Objection. Asked and answered.  THE WITNESS: A collection is a set of items that often have a common theme.  BY MR. HUDIS:  Q. And you said you posted the 1999 standards to Internet Archive's website; correct?  A. That is correct.  Q. And did you post the entirety of the 1999 standards to Internet Archive's website?  A. I did.  Q. Under which collection at the Internet Archive did you post the 1999 standards?  MR. BECKER: Objection. Form.  THE WITNESS: The current name of that collection is Codes of the World.  BY MR. HUDIS:  Q. How did you choose this particular collection to which to post the 1999 standards?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	from that item in which you are paging through the standards at issue, is what this appears to be.  Q. That's exactly correct. And you just saved me about five minutes of explanation.  A. Oh, sorry about that.  Q. That's fine. Thank you very much, Mr. Malamud.  What is the web tool, if you know, that creates the ability for a user to turn the pages of the 1999 standards like a book?  MR. BECKER: Objection. Vague and ambiguous; confusing.  THE WITNESS: I have heard it called book reader, but I don't know the details of what the code is or how it's embedded or anything of that sort.  BY MR. HUDIS:  Q. So you've heard it referred to as a book reader application?  A. Yes.  Q. All right. Have you ever heard of a DjVu Reader?  A. Yes, I have.

Carl Malamud May 12, 2015

San Francisco, CA Page 306 Page 308 Q. How would you make that determination? 1 in your e-mail to Alexis Rossi? 1 2 MR. BRIDGES: Objection. May call for 2 A. Because that's the proper address to inform 3 the Internet Archive about matters pertaining to a 3 speculation; vague and ambiguous; argumentative. 4 collection. 4 THE WITNESS: I would want to look at the 5 5 Q. And what do you mean by matters relating to specific nature of the incorporation by reference. 6 a collection? 6 I would want to look at that specific standard, and 7 7 A. If you have technical problems with your I'd want to make a determination if that was an 8 8 collection or other issues or problems, that would area that I wanted to continue to invest resources 9 be the address that you would write to. 9 in. So I don't know. It would depend on the 10 Q. And at the end of this e-mail there's a 10 specifics. 11 URL. Do you see that? 11 BY MR. HUDIS: 12 12 A. I do. O. If you looked at the 2014 standards and 13 13 O. And it ends with AERA.standards.1999? made a determination that it was an area in which 14 14 you wanted to continue to invest resources, if A. I see that. 15 15 Q. All right. Is this the URL where you Public.Resource is successful in this litigation posted the 1999 standards on Internet Archive's and the 2014 standards are incorporated by 16 16 17 17 website? reference by a state or federal agency, would you 18 A. It is. 18 post the 2014 standards to the Internet? 19 Q. Mr. Malamud, if Public.Resource succeeds in 19 MR. BRIDGES: Entirely hypothetical; lacks 20 this lawsuit brought by AERA and its co-plaintiffs, 20 foundation; argumentative; vague and ambiguous. will Public.Resource repost the 1999 standards on 21 21 THE WITNESS: So I really don't know about 22 22 its website? the states. 23 MR. BRIDGES: Objection. Hypothetical. 23 If the federal government did a deliberate 24 THE WITNESS: I guess I'd have to read the 24 and explicit incorporation by reference in what I 25 decision and make my determination based on that. 25 felt was a substantive rule, right, not an offhand Page 307 Page 309 1 BY MR. HUDIS: 1 thing, then I would certainly consider strongly 2 Q. Well, if you're totally successful? 2 posting that document. 3 MR. BRIDGES: Again, hypothetical. 3 BY MR. HUDIS: 4 THE WITNESS: Our goal is to post all 4 Q. What is -- what distinction do you make 5 standards incorporated by reference into the Code 5 between substantive and offhand? 6 of Federal Regulations. So yes. 6 A. I look for an explicit and deliberate 7 BY MR. HUDIS: 7 incorporation by reference. 8 8 Q. If Public.Resource is successful in this Q. If I asked you this before, Mr. Malamud, 9 9 and certainly your counsel will tell me, I litigation, how easy or difficult would it be for 10 you to repost the 1999 standards on 10 apologize. 11 11 Even though the 1999 standards have been Public.Resource's website? 12 12 removed from public view on Public.Resource's MR. BRIDGES: Hypothetical; lacks 13 foundation; assumes facts not in evidence; vague 13 website, is the digital file containing the text of the 1999 standards still stored somewhere on 14 and ambiguous; compound. 14 15 15 THE WITNESS: It wouldn't be difficult. Public.Resource's computer systems? 16 BY MR. HUDIS: 16 MR. BRIDGES: Objection. Vague and 17 17 ambiguous. Q. If the next version of the Standards on 18 18 THE WITNESS: Yes. Educational and Psychological Testing, the 2014 19 version, is ever incorporated by reference by a 19 BY MR. HUDIS: 20 state or federal agency, will you post that version 20 Q. Even though the 1999 standards were removed 21 of the standards to the Internet as well? 21 from public view on Internet Archive's website, to 22 MR. BRIDGES: Objection. Hypothetical; 22 the best of your knowledge is the digital file 23 containing the text of the 1999 standards still 23 compound; vague and ambiguous.

stored somewhere on Internet Archive's computer

24

25

systems?

THE WITNESS: I don't know.

BY MR. HUDIS:

2425

#### Page 326 Page 328 1 case deserves the Court's fullest attention without 1 vague and ambiguous. 2 2 a rush to reach an interim ruling in the absence of THE WITNESS: Yes. 3 a full record." 3 BY MR. HUDIS: 4 What did you mean by that? 4 Q. Since the time of this memo of Exhibit 43, 5 5 MR. BRIDGES: Objection. Lacks foundation; have the 1999 standards been reposted to a website 6 vague and ambiguous. 6 under Public.Resource's control? 7 7 THE WITNESS: As I state in the next MR. BRIDGES: Objection. Vague and 8 8 paragraph, "In order to focus this case on ambiguous; argumentative. 9 9 developing an appropriate record for a decision on THE WITNESS: Yes. 10 the merits, Public.Resource.Org has voluntarily 10 BY MR. HUDIS: 11 removed the document in question from the websites 11 Q. Why? 12 12 under its control." A. There was a technical malfunction in one of 13 13 And as you had stated in a previous our servers and by mistake a copy of the full 14 sentence, this was so it was done without a rush to 14 standard was posted in place of the stub. 15 15 reach an interim ruling in the absence of a full Q. And when was that? 16 16 A. That was in January 2015. 17 17 Q. Mr. Malamud, during the two-year period BY MR. HUDIS: 18 Q. I'd like to now direct your attention, 18 that the 1999 standards were posted to 19 Mr. Malamud, to the fourth paragraph of Exhibit 43. 19 Public.Resource's website, was a record kept of how 20 20 And it says, "Until the conclusion at trial on the many Internet users viewed or accessed the 21 merits in this case, Public.Resource.Org will keep 21 standards from that website location? 22 the document in question off of the websites under 22 MR. BRIDGES: Objection. Utterly lacks 23 its control and will not disseminate the document 23 foundation; argumentative; vague and ambiguous, 24 in whole or in part, including any revisions, and 24 and -- yeah. And competence. 25 will maintain the status on the Internet Archive to 25 THE WITNESS: Our server log's document Page 327 Page 329 1 prevent any public access to the document from the 1 retention policy was a two-week window until archive's websites." Do you see that? 2 2 litigation commenced in the ASTM case when we began 3 MR. BRIDGES: Objection. The document 3 keeping the logs permanently. And so we -- we did 4 speaks for itself. 4 not keep a record prior to that. 5 THE WITNESS: I do. 5 BY MR. HUDIS: 6 BY MR. HUDIS: 6 Q. Do you know the earliest date on which you 7 Q. What did you mean by that sentence? 7 kept such logs? 8 MR. BRIDGES: Objection. The document 8 MR. BRIDGES: Objection. Again, lacks 9 speaks for itself; lacks foundation; vague and 9 foundation; argumentative; vague and ambiguous and 10 ambiguous; argumentative. 10 competence. 11 THE WITNESS: I think the sentence is very 11 THE WITNESS: So again, the document 12 12 retention policy was a two-week window on the logs, clear; right? 13 BY MR. HUDIS: 13 and in September -- August or September of 2013 we Q. What did you mean? 14 14 changed that policy because litigation had 15 15 A. I meant "Until the conclusion of trial on commenced. And so at that point we began keeping 16 the merits of this case, Public.Resource.Org will 16 the logs permanently. 17 keep the document in question off of the websites 17 BY MR. HUDIS: 18 under its control and will not disseminate the 18 Q. And do you still have those logs today? 19 document in whole or in part, including any 19 MR. BRIDGES: Same objections. I think I 20 revisions, and will maintain the status on the 20 missed a compound objection to the underlying 21 Internet Archive to prevent any public access to 21 question. 22 THE WITNESS: Yes. the document from the archive's websites." 22 23 Q. And this memo was written by you on June 23 BY MR. HUDIS: 24 12th, 2014? 24 Q. In what form are the logs kept? MR. BRIDGES: Same objections. 25 MR. BRIDGES: Objection. Lacks foundation; 25

	D 054
	Page 374
1	CERTIFICATE OF DEPONENT
2	
3	I hereby certify that I have read and examined the
4	foregoing transcript, and the same is a true and
5	accurate record of the testimony given by me.
6	Any additions or corrections that I feel are
7	necessary, I will attach on a separate sheet of
8	paper to the original transcript.
9	
10	G: CD
11	Signature of Deponent
12	
13	I hereby certify that the individual representing
14	himself/herself to be the above-named individual,
15	appeared before me this day of,
16	2015, and executed the above certificate in my
17	presence.
18	
19	NOTABLY BUBLIC BLAND FOR
20	NOTARY PUBLIC IN AND FOR
21	
22 23	County Name
	County Name
24 25	MY COMMISSION EXPIRES:
25	MY COMMISSION EXPIRES:
	5 255
	Page 375
1	Page 375 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
1 2	_
	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
2	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE The undersigned Certified Shorthand Reporter
2	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE  The undersigned Certified Shorthand Reporter licensed in the State of California does hereby
2 3 4	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE The undersigned Certified Shorthand Reporter licensed in the State of California does hereby certify:
2 3 4 5	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE The undersigned Certified Shorthand Reporter licensed in the State of California does hereby certify: I am authorized to administer oaths or
2 3 4 5 6	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE  The undersigned Certified Shorthand Reporter licensed in the State of California does hereby certify:  I am authorized to administer oaths or affirmations pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure,
2 3 4 5 6 7	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE  The undersigned Certified Shorthand Reporter licensed in the State of California does hereby certify:  I am authorized to administer oaths or affirmations pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure, Section 2093(b), and prior to being examined, the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE The undersigned Certified Shorthand Reporter licensed in the State of California does hereby certify: I am authorized to administer oaths or affirmations pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure, Section 2093(b), and prior to being examined, the witness was duly administered an oath by me.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE The undersigned Certified Shorthand Reporter licensed in the State of California does hereby certify: I am authorized to administer oaths or affirmations pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure, Section 2093(b), and prior to being examined, the witness was duly administered an oath by me. I am not a relative or employee or attorney or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE  The undersigned Certified Shorthand Reporter licensed in the State of California does hereby certify:  I am authorized to administer oaths or affirmations pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure, Section 2093(b), and prior to being examined, the witness was duly administered an oath by me.  I am not a relative or employee or attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor am I a relative or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE  The undersigned Certified Shorthand Reporter licensed in the State of California does hereby certify:  I am authorized to administer oaths or affirmations pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure, Section 2093(b), and prior to being examined, the witness was duly administered an oath by me.  I am not a relative or employee or attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor am I a relative or employee of such attorney or counsel, nor am I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE  The undersigned Certified Shorthand Reporter licensed in the State of California does hereby certify:  I am authorized to administer oaths or affirmations pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure, Section 2093(b), and prior to being examined, the witness was duly administered an oath by me.  I am not a relative or employee or attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor am I a relative or employee of such attorney or counsel, nor am I financially interested in the outcome of this action.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE  The undersigned Certified Shorthand Reporter licensed in the State of California does hereby certify:  I am authorized to administer oaths or affirmations pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure, Section 2093(b), and prior to being examined, the witness was duly administered an oath by me.  I am not a relative or employee or attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor am I a relative or employee of such attorney or counsel, nor am I financially interested in the outcome of this action.  I am the deposition officer who
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE  The undersigned Certified Shorthand Reporter licensed in the State of California does hereby certify:  I am authorized to administer oaths or affirmations pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure, Section 2093(b), and prior to being examined, the witness was duly administered an oath by me.  I am not a relative or employee or attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor am I a relative or employee of such attorney or counsel, nor am I financially interested in the outcome of this action.  I am the deposition officer who stenographically recorded the testimony in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE  The undersigned Certified Shorthand Reporter licensed in the State of California does hereby certify:  I am authorized to administer oaths or affirmations pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure, Section 2093(b), and prior to being examined, the witness was duly administered an oath by me.  I am not a relative or employee or attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor am I a relative or employee of such attorney or counsel, nor am I financially interested in the outcome of this action.  I am the deposition officer who stenographically recorded the testimony in the foregoing deposition, and the foregoing transcript is a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE  The undersigned Certified Shorthand Reporter licensed in the State of California does hereby certify:  I am authorized to administer oaths or affirmations pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure, Section 2093(b), and prior to being examined, the witness was duly administered an oath by me.  I am not a relative or employee or attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor am I a relative or employee of such attorney or counsel, nor am I financially interested in the outcome of this action.  I am the deposition officer who stenographically recorded the testimony in the foregoing deposition, and the foregoing transcript is a true record of the testimony given by the witness.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE  The undersigned Certified Shorthand Reporter licensed in the State of California does hereby certify:  I am authorized to administer oaths or affirmations pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure, Section 2093(b), and prior to being examined, the witness was duly administered an oath by me.  I am not a relative or employee or attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor am I a relative or employee of such attorney or counsel, nor am I financially interested in the outcome of this action.  I am the deposition officer who stenographically recorded the testimony in the foregoing deposition, and the foregoing transcript is a true record of the testimony given by the witness.  Before completion of the deposition, review of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE  The undersigned Certified Shorthand Reporter licensed in the State of California does hereby certify:  I am authorized to administer oaths or affirmations pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure, Section 2093(b), and prior to being examined, the witness was duly administered an oath by me.  I am not a relative or employee or attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor am I a relative or employee of such attorney or counsel, nor am I financially interested in the outcome of this action.  I am the deposition officer who stenographically recorded the testimony in the foregoing deposition, and the foregoing transcript is a true record of the testimony given by the witness.  Before completion of the deposition, review of the transcript [X] was [] was not requested. If
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE  The undersigned Certified Shorthand Reporter licensed in the State of California does hereby certify:  I am authorized to administer oaths or affirmations pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure, Section 2093(b), and prior to being examined, the witness was duly administered an oath by me.  I am not a relative or employee or attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor am I a relative or employee of such attorney or counsel, nor am I financially interested in the outcome of this action.  I am the deposition officer who stenographically recorded the testimony in the foregoing deposition, and the foregoing transcript is a true record of the testimony given by the witness.  Before completion of the deposition, review of the transcript [X] was [] was not requested. If requested, any changes made by the deponent (and provided to the reporter) during the period allowed are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE  The undersigned Certified Shorthand Reporter licensed in the State of California does hereby certify:  I am authorized to administer oaths or affirmations pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure, Section 2093(b), and prior to being examined, the witness was duly administered an oath by me.  I am not a relative or employee or attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor am I a relative or employee of such attorney or counsel, nor am I financially interested in the outcome of this action.  I am the deposition officer who stenographically recorded the testimony in the foregoing deposition, and the foregoing transcript is a true record of the testimony given by the witness.  Before completion of the deposition, review of the transcript [X] was [] was not requested. If requested, any changes made by the deponent (and provided to the reporter) during the period allowed are appended hereto.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE  The undersigned Certified Shorthand Reporter licensed in the State of California does hereby certify:  I am authorized to administer oaths or affirmations pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure, Section 2093(b), and prior to being examined, the witness was duly administered an oath by me.  I am not a relative or employee or attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor am I a relative or employee of such attorney or counsel, nor am I financially interested in the outcome of this action.  I am the deposition officer who stenographically recorded the testimony in the foregoing deposition, and the foregoing transcript is a true record of the testimony given by the witness.  Before completion of the deposition, review of the transcript [X] was [] was not requested. If requested, any changes made by the deponent (and provided to the reporter) during the period allowed are appended hereto.  In witness whereof, I have subscribed my name
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE  The undersigned Certified Shorthand Reporter licensed in the State of California does hereby certify:  I am authorized to administer oaths or affirmations pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure, Section 2093(b), and prior to being examined, the witness was duly administered an oath by me.  I am not a relative or employee or attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor am I a relative or employee of such attorney or counsel, nor am I financially interested in the outcome of this action.  I am the deposition officer who stenographically recorded the testimony in the foregoing deposition, and the foregoing transcript is a true record of the testimony given by the witness.  Before completion of the deposition, review of the transcript [X] was [] was not requested. If requested, any changes made by the deponent (and provided to the reporter) during the period allowed are appended hereto.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE  The undersigned Certified Shorthand Reporter licensed in the State of California does hereby certify:  I am authorized to administer oaths or affirmations pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure, Section 2093(b), and prior to being examined, the witness was duly administered an oath by me.  I am not a relative or employee or attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor am I a relative or employee of such attorney or counsel, nor am I financially interested in the outcome of this action.  I am the deposition officer who stenographically recorded the testimony in the foregoing deposition, and the foregoing transcript is a true record of the testimony given by the witness.  Before completion of the deposition, review of the transcript [X] was [] was not requested. If requested, any changes made by the deponent (and provided to the reporter) during the period allowed are appended hereto.  In witness whereof, I have subscribed my name