I, WAYNE J. CAMARA, declare:

1. I am the Senior Vice President, Research at ACT. My company produces and publishes the ACT® college readiness assessment — a college admissions and placement test taken millions of high school graduates every year. ACT also offers comprehensive assessment, research, information, and program management services to support education and workforce development. As the Senior Vice President of Research, I am responsible for all research and evidence related to the design, development, use, and validation of our assessments and programs. In my position, I serve on the Senior Leadership Team and manage over 110 researchers.


3. Prior to working at ACT, I worked at The College Board, where I held the
positions of Vice President, Research and Development (July, 2000 – September, 2013), Executive Director, Office of Research and Development (March, 1997 – June, 2000), and Research Scientist (September, 1994 – February, 1997).

4. Before working at The College Board, I worked for APA in the positions of Assistant Executive Director for Scientific Affairs and Executive Director of Science (1992-1994), Director, Scientific Affairs (February, 1989 – August, 1992), and Testing and Assessment Officer (November, 1987 – January, 1989.) During my time at APA, I also served as the Project Director for the revision of the 1985 edition of the Standards for Educational and Psychological Testing published in 1999 (the "1999 Standards"). In 1997, I was elected to APA’s Council of Representatives, and I served on the Council from 1997-2003. In April, 2012, I was elected to the AERA Council, serving from April, 2012 to April, 2015 as Vice President for Division D. I was also elected to NCME’s Board of Directors, serving on the Board from 2002-2005 and 2009-2012, and served as NCME’s President from 2010-2011. Additionally, I have served on the Management Committee for the Standards from 2005-2015.

5. My curriculum vitae is attached to this Declaration as Exhibit 1.

6. I have written extensively on the Standards, as well as other professional and technical guidelines which relate to educational and industrial testing and assessment, including journal articles, book chapters, and paper presentations at national conferences.

7. In 1954, APA prepared and published the “Technical Recommendations for Psychological Tests and Diagnostic Techniques.” In 1955, AERA and NCME prepared and published a companion document entitled, “Technical Recommendations for Achievement Tests.” Subsequently, a joint committee of the three organizations modified, revised, and consolidated the two documents into the first Joint Standards. Beginning with the 1966 revision,
the Sponsoring Organizations collaborated in developing the “Joint Standards” (or simply, the “Standards”). Each subsequent revision of the Standards has been careful to note that it is a revision and update of the prior version.

8. Beginning in the mid-1950s, the Sponsoring Organizations formed and periodically reconstituted a committee of highly trained and experienced experts in psychological and educational assessment, charged with the initial development of the Technical Recommendations and then each subsequent revision of the (renamed) Standards. These committees were formed by the Sponsoring Organizations’ Presidents (or their designees), who would meet and jointly agree on the membership. Often a chair or co-chairs of these committees were selected by joint agreement. Beginning with the 1966 version of the Standards, this committee became referred to as the “Joint Committee.”

9. Financial and operational oversight for the Standards’ revisions, promotion, distribution, and for the sale of the 1999 and 2014 Standards has been undertaken by a periodically reconstituted Management Committee, comprised of designees of the three Sponsoring Organizations. As noted above, I served on this Management Committee from 2005-2015.

10. All members of the Joint Committee(s) and the Management Committee(s) are unpaid volunteers. The expenses associated with the ongoing development and publication of the Standards include travel and lodging expenses (for the Joint Committee and Management Committee members), support staff time, printing and shipment of bound volumes, and advertising costs.

11. From the time of their initial creation to the present, the preparation of and periodic revisions to the Standards entail intensive labor and considerable cross-disciplinary
expertise. Each time the Standards are revised, the Sponsoring Organizations select and arrange for meetings of the leading authorities in psychological and educational assessments (known as the Joint Committee). During these meetings, certain Standards are combined, pared down, and/or augmented, others are deleted altogether, and some are created as whole new individual Standards. The 1999 version of the Standards is nearly 200 pages, took more than five years to complete, and is the result of work put in by the Joint Committee to generate a set of best practices on educational and psychological testing that are respected and relied upon by leaders in their fields.

12. Draft revisions of the 1985 Standards, for what became the 1999 Standards, were widely distributed for public review and comment during the revision process. The Joint Committee received thousands of pages of comments and proposed text revisions from: the membership of the Sponsoring Organizations, scientific, professional, trade and advocacy groups, credentialing boards, state and federal government agencies, test publishers and developers, and academic institutions. While the Joint Committee reviewed and took under advisement these helpful comments, the final language of the 1999 Standards was a product of the Joint Committee members. When the 1985 Standards were revised, more than half the content of the 1999 Standards resulted from newly written prose of the Joint Committee.

13. The Standards originally were created as principles and guidelines – a set of best practices to improve professional practice in testing and assessment across multiple settings, including education and various areas of psychology. The Standards can and should be used as a recommended course of action in the sound and ethical development and use of tests, and also to evaluate the quality of tests and testing practices. Additionally, an essential component of responsible professional practice is maintaining technical competence. Many professional
associations also have developed standards and principles of technical practice in assessment. The Sponsoring Organizations’ Standards have been and still are used for this purpose.

14. The Standards, however, are not simply intended for members of the Sponsoring Organizations, AERA, APA, and NCME. The intended audience of the Standards is broad and cuts across audiences with varying backgrounds and different training. For example, the Standards also are intended to guide test developers, sponsors, publishers, and users by providing criteria for the evaluation of tests, testing practices, and the effects of test use. Test user standards refer to those standards that help test users decide how to choose certain tests, interpret scores, or make decisions based on tests results. Test users include clinical or industrial psychologists, research directors, school psychologists, counselors, employment supervisors, teachers, and various administrators who select or interpret tests for their organizations. There is no mechanism, however, to enforce compliance with the Standards on the part of the test developer or test user. The Standards, moreover, do not attempt to provide psychometric answers to policy or legal questions.

15. The Standards promote the development of high quality tests and the sound use of results from such tests. Without such high quality standards, tests might produce scores that are not defensible or accurate, not an adequate reflection of the characteristic they were intended to measure, and not fair to the person tested. Consequently, decisions about individuals made with such test scores would be no better, or even worse, than those made with no test score information at all. Thus, the Standards help to ensure that measures of student achievement are relevant, that admissions decisions are fair, that employment hiring and professional credentialing result in qualified individuals being selected, and patients with psychological needs are diagnosed properly and treated accordingly. Quality tests protect the public from harmful
decision making and provide opportunities for education and employment that are fair to all who seek them.

16. The Standards apply broadly to a wide range of standardized instruments and procedures that sample an individual's behavior, including tests, assessments, inventories, scales, and other testing vehicles. The Standards apply equally to standardized multiple-choice tests, performance assessments (including tests comprised of only open-ended essays), and hands-on assessments or simulations. The main exceptions are that the Standards do not apply to unstandardized questionnaires (e.g., unstructured behavioral checklists or observational forms), teacher-made tests, and subjective decision processes (e.g., a teacher’s evaluation of students’ classroom participation over the course of a semester).

17. The Standards have been used as a source in developing testing guidelines for such activities as college admissions, personnel selection, test translations, test user qualifications, and computer-based testing. The Standards also have been widely cited to address technical, professional, and operational norms for all forms of assessments that are professionally developed and used in a variety of settings. The Standards additionally provide a valuable public service to state and federal governments as they voluntarily choose to use them. For instance, each testing company, when submitting proposals for testing administration, instead of relying on a patchwork of local, or even individual and proprietary, testing design and implementation criteria, may rely instead on the Sponsoring Organizations’ Standards to afford the best guidance for testing and assessment practices.

18. The Standards were not created or updated to serve as a legally binding document, in response to an expressed governmental or regulatory need, nor in response to any legislative action or judicial decision. However, the Standards have been cited in judicial decisions related
to the proper use and evidence for assessment, as well as by state and federal legislators. These citations in judicial decisions and during legislative deliberations occurred without any lobbying by the Plaintiffs.

19. The Sponsoring Organizations do not keep any of the revenues generated from the sales of the Standards. Rather, the income from these sales is used by the Sponsoring Organizations to offset their development and production costs and to generate funds for subsequent revisions. This allows the Sponsoring Organizations to develop up-to-date, high quality Standards that otherwise would not be developed due to the time and effort that goes into producing them.

20. At one time, funding for the Standards revision process from third party sources (e.g., governmental agencies, foundations, other associations interested in testing and assessment issues, etc.) was raised as a consideration. However, this option was not seriously explored as the potential conflicts of interest in doing so left the Sponsoring Organizations to conclude that the Standards revisions should be self-funding – that is, from the sale of prior editions of the Standards.

21. In late 2013 and early 2014, the Sponsoring Organizations became aware that the 1999 Standards had been posted on the Internet without their authorization, and that psychology students were obtaining free copies from the posting source. Upon further investigation, the Sponsoring Organizations discovered that Public.Resource.Org, Inc. ("Public Resource") was the source of the online posting. Accompanying this Declaration as Exhibit MMM is a true copy of a thread of emails exchanged among Laurie Wise, Suzanne Lane, David Frisbie, Jerry Sroufe, Marianne Ernesto, Barbara Plake, and myself\(^1\) sent between December 16, 2013 and February 4, 2014.

---

\(^1\) Laurie Wise is the Immediate Past President of NCME and was serving as President of NCME at the time of the email, Suzanne Lane is a member of the Standards Management Committee; David Frisbie also is a member of the
2014, discussing Public Resource’s posting of the 1999 Standards on the Internet, and marked as Exhibit 1185 during my deposition.

22. Past harm to the Sponsoring Organizations from Public Resource’s activities includes a lack of greater funding that otherwise would have been available for the update of the Sponsoring Organizations’ Standards from the 1999 to the 2014 versions, due to the reduced volume of sales of the 1999 Standards.

23. Should Public Resource’s infringement be allowed to continue, the harm to the Sponsoring Organizations, and public at large who rely on the preparation and administration of valid, fair and reliable tests, includes: (i) uncontrolled publication of the 1999 Standards without any notice that those guidelines have been replaced by the 2014 Standards; (ii) future unquantifiable loss of revenue from sales of authorized copies of the 1999 Standards (with proper notice that they are no longer the current version) and the 2014 Standards; and (iii) lack of funding for future revisions of the 2014 Standards and beyond.

24. Due to the small membership size of NCME, and the relative minor portion of the membership of AERA and APA who devote their careers to testing and assessment, it is highly unlikely that the members of the Sponsoring Organizations will vote for a dues increase to fund future Standards revision efforts if Public Resource successfully defends this case and is allowed to post the Standards online for the public to download or print for free. As a result, the Sponsoring Organizations would likely abandon their practice of periodically updating the Standards and there would be an absence of any authoritative and independent source of sound guidance relating to the development, use, and evaluation of psychological and educational tests.

Standards Management Committee; Jerry Sroufe is the Director of Government Relations at AERA, Marianne Ernesto is the Director, Testing and Assessment, at APA, and Barbara Plake was Laurie Wise’s co-chair of the Joint Committee for the revision of the 1999 Standards, which ultimately were published in 2014.
Originally signed in identical form on December 8, 2015.

I declare under the penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on February __, 2016, in Marion, MA.

Wayne J. Camara
EXHIBIT 1

WAYNE J. CAMARA

OFFICE:         HOME:
ACT            81 Lewis St.,
500 ACT Drive   Marion, MA 02738
Iowa City, IA 52243-0168
Tel (319) 337-1869
wayne.camara@act.org

EDUCATION:
Ph.D. 1986 University of Illinois at Urbana, Psychology.
      Educational Measurement
      Cognate: Industrial and Organizational Psychology
C.A.G.S. 1982 Rhode Island College (School Psychology), Providence, R.I.
M.A. 1980 Rhode Island College (Educational Measurement),
      Providence, R.I.
B.A. 1978 University of Massachusetts (Psychology/Education), N. Dartmouth, MA

PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE:

ACT, Iowa City, IA
Senior Vice President, Research (September 2013 - )

Oversees research departments across education and workforce assessments and services related to
research, psychometrics, data reporting, statistical analysis, policy research, survey development, and
industrial psychology services (e.g., job profiling). Manage a staff of over 125 professional staff. Serves on
ACT’s strategic leadership team and is responsible for shaping and guiding organizational direction and
planning, as well as representing the organization with external audiences and stakeholders in areas
including accountability, research, admissions testing, etc. Member of the Executive Leadership Team and
business sponsor on a range of technology and development projects.

The College Board, New York, NY
Vice President, Research and Development (July, 2000 – September 2013)
Executive Director, Office of Research and Development (March, 1997 - Present)
Research Scientist (Sept. 1994 - Feb., 1997)

Was responsible for all research, standards and alignment services, psychometric and assessment
development activities at the College Board, including design and implementation of R&D activities that
support College Board assessment programs (SAT, PSAT/NMSAT, AP, CLEP, Subject Tests, Accuplacer,
SpringBoard, etc.). Managed a staff of approximately 75 professionals across several units and locations:
Research, Statistics and Psychometrics, Test Development, Analysis and Reporting, and Standards
Alignment. Responsible for policy research, outreach with state assessment directors, higher educational
institutions, state Boards of Education and other policy and governance bodies. Coordinate product
planning and business planning for new assessments and enhancements to current assessments.
Responsible for several external advisory panels and test development committees. Responsible for
reporting SAT aggregate results to institutions, reviewing all items and final forms of the SAT, and other
operational work related to assessment development and delivery. Serves as a spokesperson for the College Board on technical and assessment policy discussions with the media, policymakers (e.g., testimony), institutions and other key stakeholders. Works with states, districts, policy makers and higher educational systems, to provide data, analyses and information concerning student achievement and college readiness. Directed data release process, guidelines and approvals.

Project manager for development of the New SAT and represents the College Board on issues of test development and research with universities, higher educational associations, states and districts, academic associations and other groups. Responsible for hiring / management of vendors and academicians to implement research, review test forms and items, and prototype development.

Specific areas of research include validity of admissions measures, evaluation of educational programs, include effects of accommodations and extended time for examinees with disabilities, meta-analysis of SAT validity, grade inflation trends, and development of new constructs and measures relevant to an expanded predictor - criterion space.

**Selected development efforts:** (1) Conceived, developed and conducted research resulting in AP Potential which is increased access to AP courses by identifying students with potential for success; (2) 2005 SAT redesign with writing; (3) Implementation of ECD and AP Redesign work in selected courses; (4) Research and transition plan to move AP, SAT and PSAT from formula scoring to rights only scoring; (5) Design on AP Portfolio and through course pilot; (6) Accuplacer diagnostic tests and replatforming; (7) Plan to migrate most research and selected psychometric operational work to the CB from vendors; and (8) Design of CLEP-testlet assessment.

**AMERICAN PSYCHOLOGICAL ASSOCIATION, Washington, D.C.**

Assistant Executive Director for Scientific Affairs and Executive Director of Science (1992 -1994.) Director, Scientific Affairs (February 1989 - Aug., 1992)


Project Director for the Revision of the Standards for Educational and Psychological Testing and Assessment. Managed the technical committee, various technical panels, a financial management committee and an executive committee comprised of the Presidents of APA, AERA, and NCME.

Coordinated and developed all association policies and guidelines in areas of scientific affairs, scientific misconduct, research funding, and testing and assessment. Major area of responsibilities in measurement and assessment included: (a) monitoring scientific and technical advances; (b) educating policy makers, the public, the media, and other professionals (e.g., employers, educators) of the relevance and appropriate applications of assessment; (c) developing technical guidance and policy statements that address new and emerging areas, reflecting both the scientific and professional consensus in assessment; (d) working collaboratively with other professional associations, advocacy groups, and governmental agencies; and (e) testimony and advocacy on the efficacy of behavioral science.

Directed APA involvement in numerous assessment issues at the national level: SCANS, Americans with Disabilities Act, national education standards, industry-based skills standards, Civil Rights Act of 1991, efficacy of clinical assessment, integrity testing, and test-based accountability initiatives. Assisted in developing amicus briefs for Supreme Court, informing policymakers, media, and the public of technical advances in assessment (e.g., validation strategies, computer-based and interactive assessments, implications of fairness and utility analyses, etc.) and behavioral science research more broadly.
GEORGE WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY, Washington DC  
Adjunct Professor of Administrative Sciences and College of Business (1988 - 1994)  
Taught graduate seminars in training, performance evaluation, personnel selection, and organizational behavior. Served on several doctoral dissertations in I-O psychology.

HUMAN RESOURCES RESEARCH ORGANIZATION, Alexandria, VA,  
Conducted research and managed grants and proposal development in areas of job analysis, competency modeling, military testing, training, and personnel selection. Projects including:

- Investigated the utility of algorithms used in computer-based job classification systems employed by each branch of the military service. Developed a crosswalk between military occupations in each service branch and civilian occupations.

- Project Director and Principal Investigator for contracts funded by the Assistant Secretary of Defense and the Navy Personnel Research and Development Center to conduct a longitudinal evaluation of the impact of military training and service on subsequent employment/social success of low aptitude youth enlisted in the military.

- Developed training and career development system for first-line civilian supervisors in the U.S. Army. Provided recommendations for the career development and training of future and incumbent Army civilian first-line supervisors.

- Developed training evaluation instruments and conducted evaluation of counselor training in the use and interpretation of the ASVAB.

- Managed and conducted several job analysis projects for military and civilian occupations with the Department of Defense.

PERSONNEL SERVICES OFFICE, UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS, Champaign, IL  
Human Resources Consultant (1983-85), Illinois State Civil Service  
Designed and managed R&D projects including the development of a computerized adaptive screening measure to optimize the matching of jobs and applicants of Civil Service positions. Conducted a large-scale job analysis of 70 professional and technical job classifications. Used multiple-rater, multiple-method job analyses and applied generalizability theory to interpret findings. Performed validation studies of existing civil service exams.

DEPARTMENT OF PSYCHOLOGY, UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS AT URBANA-CHAMPAIGN  

COLLEGE OF EDUCATION, UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS  
Psychological Evaluator (1983-84). Administered, scored, and interpreted a variety of psychological and cognitive measures.

BRISTOL COMMUNITY COLLEGE, Fall River, MA  
Lecturer (Spring 1980 -1982), Psychology and Education
WEST BRIDGEWATER PUBLIC SCHOOLS, West Bridgewater, MA
School Psychologist (1979-82)

Chairperson on team evaluations and reviews. Representative for the school district in out-of-district placements, conferences, and regional and state planning meetings.

Psychological testing – psychodiagnostic and learning assessment - individual IQ tests, projective testing, special abilities testing, including two years of clinical supervision. Developed detailed assessment and remediation plans for over 150 students.

PROFESSIONAL AFFILIATIONS:
American Psychological Association - Elected Fellow, 1994
Division of Educational Psychology
Division of Evaluation, Measurement, and Statistics – Elected Fellow, 2002
Division of General Psychology – Elected Fellow, 1994
Division of Military Psychology
Division of International Psychology – Elected Fellow, 2009
American Psychological Society, Elected Fellow 2007
International Association of Applied Psychology
International Test Commission 1997
National Association of Collegiate Admissions Counselors
National Council for Measurement in Education
New York Academy of Sciences – Elected, 2002
Personnel Testing Council of Metropolitan Washington
Society for Industrial/Organizational Psychology – Elected Fellow, 1999

RELATED PROFESSIONAL ACTIVITIES AND AWARDS:
American Educational Research Association, Division D (Measurement and Research Methodology); Co-Chair, Annual Convention Program, 1998.


Division of Evaluation, Measurement and Statistics, Member-at-Large, 1997-2000; Chair; Professional Affairs, 1995-96, Member, Program Committee, 1992, 1994.
Division of Military Psychology: Chair, Program Committee, 1988.
Division of General Psychology: Chair, Membership Committee, 1996; Member-at-Large, 2002-2005.


Audit team, Psychometric and measurement graduate program, University of North Carolina at Greensboro, spring, 2006.

Author of numerous technical and policy statements approved by the American Psychological Association (e.g., Statement on the disclosure of test data, Statement on the Golden Rule, Resolution on a separate directorate for behavioral sciences at NSF).

Award for Distinguished Service Contributions, the Society of Industrial and Organizational Psychology, 2004.

Award for Professional Contributions and Service to Testing, Association of Test Publishers, 2014.


Board of Advisors, Center for Enrollment Research Policy and Practice, University of Southern California, 2008 –


Expert in judicial and regulatory proceedings involving cognitive ability testing, accommodations and score comparability in admissions testing, personality testing and disparate impact, job analysis and recruitment practices, affirmative action (Gratz v. Bollinger), and copyright infringement on the Standards for Educational and Psychological Testing.

Independent Consultant (selected list), American Council on Education, Goodyear Corporation, American Waterways, Federal Reserve Bank of New York, City University of New York, Maryland State Departments of Education, Army Research Institute, American Institute for Research, US DOE, Tennessee Department of Education, PSI, Wonderlic Inc., employment and labor attorneys and several other organizations in areas of employment testing, educational evaluation, college readiness and standard setting, performance appraisal systems, and survey research.


Media experience: Appeared on national and local television and radio (CNN, Good Morning America, BBC, PBS) to discuss the use Civil Rights Act, ADA, personality testing and admissions testing; Frequently quoted in major newspaper stories involving testing, 1992- Present.


National Council on Education in Measurement,
   Chair, Professional Responsibilities Committee, 1996 - 2000.
   Chair, Career Award Committees, 2015-2016.
   Fund Development Committee, 2013-2016


Society for Industrial and Organizational Psychology:  Member of Executive Committee, 1988- 2003; Chair, External Affairs Committee, 1993-95; Chair, Awards Committee, 1991-93; Chair, Membership Committee, 1988-91; Membership Committee, 1987-88; Program Committee 1986-87; 1998-99; Fellowship Committee 2007-10; and Distinguished Service Award 2011-13. Designed membership survey and developed first SIOP membership directory.

Standards for Educational and Psychological Testing, AERA, APA and NCME. Staff Director (1992-94); Chair, Management Committee (2005-2015).

Standard Setting Approaches and Policy Capturing for College and Career Readiness (Consultation to several states) (2010-current).

- NAEP linkages and alignment studies with SAT and Accuplacer (2011-12) and ACT, Explore, Compass (2014-15).
- STARR end-of-course examinations, Texas Educational Agency (2012)
- End-of-course tests, Tennessee Department of Education (2011)
- New York State (2012-13, through College Board contract).
- South Carolina, Department of Education (2015, ACT contract).

Technical or Scientific Advisory Committee Member:
- Advisory Panel and Steering Panel, Department of Labor-OERI effort to develop assessments to measure competencies from the Secretary's Commission on Achieving Necessary Skills (SCANS), ACT, Iowa City, IA, 1992-94.
- American Association of Medical Colleges, Blue Ribbon Technical Panel on additional measures for admission to medical colleges, 2012 - 2015.
- Army Research Institute for the Behavioral and Social Sciences, Chair Scientific Review Panel on Selection and Classification Program, 2003; Panel member of the technical advisory panel on ABLE, 2001-02.
- Delaware State Education Department, Chair TAC on Race to the Top 2011-2013.
• Law School Admissions Council (chair), Technical Audit Team 2009.
• National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), College freshmen technical panel, 2009 – 2010; Technical Advisory Committee on Standard Setting (Writing), 2010-2014; Advisory panel on survey of higher educational institutions on use of assessments for College Readiness and Placement, 2011-12.
• NCAA Data and Analysis Research Group, 2005-2008.
• Nebraska State Department of Education (TAC) 2008 – 2013.
• Pennsylvania State Department of Education (TAC) 2003 - current
• Psychological Services Inc., employment-certification testing, Scientific Advisory Board, 2011 - current
• Texas State Department of Education (TAC) 2011 - current
• Technical Advisor Reporting Jointly to Texas Educational Authority and Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board 2008.

U.S. Congress Office of Technology Assessment: Reviewer and panelist, Making the ADA work for people with psychiatric disabilities in the workplace, 1993.

Workshop presenter in areas of testing, employment selection and litigation, testing and public policy, ADA, work-based learning, testing standards, SIOP Principles, diversity in admissions, ethics in assessment, predictive validity, admissions testing, higher educational assessment, and research funding at regional applied I-O meetings and conferences.

ELECTED POSITIONS:


Division of General Psychology, American Psychological Association: Member-at-Large, 2002-2005.


Society for Industrial and Organizational Psychology: Member of Executive Committee, 1988- 2003; Council Representative, 1997-2003; Member-at-Large, 1995-97

TESTIMONY:
California Legislature on Test validity and consequences of subgroup differences in ability testing, 1997.

Invited testimony before the National Commission on Testing and Public Policy, 1989


Michigan Senate Education Committee, on the replacement of the MAEP and the use of admissions tests for accountability, April 22, 2004.

National Advisory Commission on Work-Based Learning, 1992 – 93.

National Assessment Governing Body, panel on testing persons with disabling conditions, October 14, 1998.

National Research Council’s Committee on National Research Service Awards, May 1993.

Nevada Legislative Hearing on College and Career Readiness, Reno, NV., May 2012.


New York Senate Committee, Proposed legislation to regulate admissions testing, 2006.


EXTERNAL GRANTS (PROJECT DIRECTOR):
National Assessment Governing Board (NAGB) (2008-10). Co-Project Director. Alignment and linkage of Twelfth grade NAEP and the SAT.


Maryland Department of Education (1996-97). Project Director. Contract to design Maryland’s High School Assessment System. Designing requirements and specifications for an end-of-course assessment system for high school graduation and higher education uses. Conducting public engagement with stakeholder groups and advising the state board.
National Institute of Occupational Health and Safety (1992-1994). Project Director. Cooperative agreement to develop a model interdisciplinary program to train doctoral level psychologists in occupational health psychology and disseminate research on preventive interventions to policymakers, psychologists and researchers.

Department of Labor, (July, 1992-93). Project Director. Grant to support a review of methodologies and strategies in cognitive psychology and job analysis appropriate for the next revision of the "Dictionary of Occupational Titles."

National Institute on Drug Abuse, (February 1992). Co-Project Director. Examination of awareness and knowledge of the mechanisms for receiving outside funding to support research by recent doctoral degree recipients in psychology.

National Science Foundation, Principle Investigator or Co-PI on several contracts related to AP Redesign and Instructional development.

PRODUCT DEVELOPMENT:

Led CB/ETS psychometric/research and redesign teams for the 2005 SAT with writing.

Prototype of Non-cognitive assessments for college admissions. Pilot testing in 2007-08 with applicants across 13 colleges.

Psychometric Research and Design of AP Potential Software. Product introduced by College Board in 2001 for expanding access in AP Courses and Examinations based on prior accomplishments and test performance.


SELECTED BIBLIOGRAPHY:


Camara, W. J. (2005). Broadening criteria of college success and the impact of cognitive predictors in admissions testing (pp. 81-107), In W. J. Camara & E. Kimmel (Eds.), *New tools for admissions to higher education*. Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum.


Camara, W. J. (2003). What educators need to know about professional testing standards. In J. Wall & G. Walz (Eds.), *Measuring up: Resources on testing for teachers, counselors, and administrators*. Greensboro, NC: ERIC/CASS.


SELECTED PRESENTATIONS:


Camara, W.J. (2015). "Evidentiary basis related to claims concerning college and career readiness." Colloquium, University of Massachusetts, Amherst, Graduate Program in Education.


Camara, W.J. (2014). How has our approach to test security evolved and where are we headed. Paper presented at the Conference on Test Security, Iowa City, IA.


Camara, W.J. and Shaw, D. (2014). Use of comment codes during performance scoring to provide formative feedback. Paper presented the National Conference on Student Assessment, New Orleans, LO.


Camara, W.J. (2013). College and career readiness: Criterion-related outcomes. Invited address at the Maryland Assessment Research Center for Educational Success, University of Maryland at College Park.

Camara, W.J. (2013). Implications of consortia assessments for Higher Education. Paper presented at the National Conference on Student Assessment at the National Harbor, MD.


Camara, W.J. (2012). College and career readiness: Establishing validation evidence to support the use of new assessments. Invited lecture at the Pearson Center for Applied Psychometric Research, University of Texas at Austin.


Camara, W.J. (2010). Validating claims and evidence related to student college and career readiness: Lessons learned from higher education. Invited presentation at the Annual CCSSO Policy Meeting, Louisville, KY.


Camara, W.J. (2009). You can get there from here: Innovation in Educational assessment and linking accountability tests. Invited address at the National Conference of State Legislators, Washington, DC.


Camara, W., Kobrin, J., Mattern, K., Patterson, B., and Shaw, E. (2008). The Long and Winding Road: Researching the Validity of the SAT. Invited paper at the 9th annual conference of the Maryland Assessment Research Center for Education Success (MARCES), College Park, MD.


Camara, W. J. (2008). College readiness vs college admissions: Will we ever resolve the chasm between the K-12 and Higher Education? Invited address at Invitational Conference on Defining Enrollment in the 21st Century, sponsored by the University of Southern California’s Center for Enrollment Research, Policy and Practice.


Camara, W. J. (2006). Using norm referenced tests for accountability under NCLB. Presenter at the Annual Meeting of the National Association of Collegiate Admissions Counselors, Pittsburgh, PA.


Camara, W. J. (2005). Update on the new SAT. Annual Meeting of the National Association of Collegiate Admissions Counselors, Tampa, FL.


Camara, W. (2004). The Use of Qualitative and Quantitative Data in Admissions. Annual Meeting of the National Association of Collegiate Admissions Counselors, Milwaukee, WI.


Camara, W. (2002). Prediction and testing. Invited address at the CRESST Conference on Assessment, Accountability and Improvement, Los Angeles, CA.

Camara, W. (2002). Admissions tests : Use and value in higher education. Invited address the Association of American Universities, Meeting of Presidents and Chancellors, Atlanta, GA.


Camara, W. (2002). Testing and admissions in higher education. Invited presentation at the Annual Meeting of the American Association for the Advancement of Science, Boston, MA.

Camara, W. (2001). The utility of the SAT I and SAT II for admission at the University of California and the nation. Paper presented at the Invitational Conference on Rethinking the SAT in university admissions, University of California at Santa Barbara.


Camara, W.J. (1991). Federal funding opportunities at ADAMHA, the Department of Energy and the Department of Agriculture (moderator). Thirty-sixty Institute on Federal Funding, National Graduate University, Washington, D.C.


*Additional presentations at national and regional meetings and university colloquium not normally cited.*

9/2015