of the mountaimbout the rock had been made during all:this time. No flood, stomm, orlother disturbance of the earth or of the elements occurred shortly before its fall, which might have eaused it. A state of things once proved torexist is presumed to continue. When the face of a mountaini is changed by grading, cutting, or filling, a duty of watchfulness and cave is imposed duwing the first few months thereafter in order to guard against the natural effects of such acts. But the longer a rock or mountain side remainsin the same position and condition, the less becomes the need; and hence the duty, of watchfulness, until finally the probability that they will not move or change in the absence of some warning, and of some actiwe iand apparent cause, becomes conclusive. This rock had remained embedded in the mountain side unmoved through the storms and changing seasons of eight years after the raikoad was built and the grading done about it, and I have been forced to the same conclusion as the trial judge that a man of ordinary prudence would not have anticipated that it would fall without apparent cause or warning, and would not have taken any steps to fasten it in its position, or to inspect it more carefully than the receiver did. An injury that could not have been foreseen or reasonably anticipated as the probable result of an act or omission lays no foundation for an action (Railway Co. v. Elliott, 12 U. S. App. 381, 386, 5 C. C. A. 347,350 , and 55 Fed. 949,952 ), and it seems to me that there was no human probability that this rock would slide from its mountain bed after it had remained, in the same situation for eight years, and that no man could have anticipated its fall as the natural or probable result of a failure to inspect or secure it.
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## (Oircuit Court of Appeals, Second Circuit. May 25, 1899.)

No. 23.

> Jodgment as Evidesce-A -
> A judgment of a federal court may be proved in another federal court by an exemplified copy of the record containing the judgment, under the seal of the court and authenticated by the certificate of the deputy clerk. Erery federal court is presumed to know the seal of every other federal court, and it will also be presumed to favor of the certificate of the deputy that the clerk was absent when it was made.

In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York.

This is a writ of error by the defendant in the court below to review a judgment for the plaintiff, the action having been brought upon a judgment in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendant rendered by the circuit court of the United States for the Eastern district of Tennessee.

Roger A. Pryor, for plaintiff in error.
Hamilton Wallis, for defendant in error.
Before WALLAOE and SHIPMAN, Circuit Judges, and THOMAS, District Judge.

PER CURIAM. The only question which has been argued at the bar is as to the validity of the objection made to the admission in evidence of the record of the judgment of the circuit court of the United States for the Eastern district of Tennessee. The record purports to be an exemplified copy of the original proceedings in the cause, including the judgment itself, is attested by the seal of the court, and is authenticated by the certificate of the deputy clerk of the court. Whether the record is sufficiently authenticated, pursuant to the provisions of section 905 of the Revised Statutes of the United States, is a question which need not be considered. The statute provides the mode of proof of the records and judicial proceedings of the courts of any state or territory, and has no application to those of the courts of the United States. Records may be proved by exemplifications (copies under seal), by office copies, and by sworn copies. Greenleaf states that "copies of records in judicial proceedings, under seal, are deemed of higher credit than sworn copies, as having passed under a more exact critical examination." 1 Greenl. Ev. § 503. The rule is that every country recognizes the seals of its own tribunals without any further proof accompanying them. Delafield v. Hand, 3 Johns. 313. Each circuit and district court of the United States is presumed to know the seals of every other circuit and district court of the United States, as each state court within a state is presumed to know and recognize the seal of every other court of record within the same state. In Turnbull v. Payson, 95 U: S. 424 , it was held that the record of a district or circuit court of the United States may be proved in any other circuit or district court of the United States by a certificate of the clerk, under the seal of the court, without the certificate of the judge that the attestation is in due form.

Although the certificate here was made by the deputy clerk, that officer is by statute authorized, in the absence of the clerk, to do and perform all the duties pertaining to the office; and, in general, a deputy of a ministerial officer can do every act which his principal might do. The Confisoation Cases, 20. Wall: 111: We are at lib erty to presume, in favor of the proper discharge of official duty, that the clerk was absent at the time Rankin v. Hoyt, 4 How. 327; U. St v. Crusell, 14 Wall. 1; Doughty v. Hope, 3 Denio, 253, 1. N. Y. 79; Mosher v. Heydrick, 45 Barb. 549. The objections were correctly overruled, and the judgment is affirmed, with costs.

SUPREME LODGE KNIGHTS OF PYTHIAS OF THE WORLD V. BECK,
(Circuit Court of Appeals, Ninth Circuit. May 16, 1899.)
Evidence-Shifting Burden of Proof.
Where a defendant in an action on a policy of life insurance pleads as a defense that the insured commitfed suicide, by reason of which the policy became void, the buiden of establishing such defense rests upon the defendant throughout the trial The fact that the plaintiff introduces in evidence the proofs of death furnished the defendant, containing the statement that the insured committed suicide and the verdict of a coroner's jury to that effect, while such evidence is entitled to lts weight, and, standing

