

Circuit Court of the United States for the Western District of Tennessee. Frank P. Posten and Walter Biggs, for plaintiff in error. Hill & Jones, for defendant in error. Dismissed on motion of plaintiff in error.

---

---

UNITED STATES v. JOINT TRAFFIC ASS'N. (Circuit Court of Appeals, Second Circuit. March 19, 1897.) No. 92. Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York. Wallace Macfarlane, U. S. Atty. Charles Howland Russell, Allen McCulloh, Ashbel Green, Frank Loomis, and Carter & Ledyard, for appellee. No opinion. Affirmed. See 76 Fed. 895.

---

---

UNITED STATES v. LAHEY et al. (Circuit Court of Appeals, Second Circuit. February 26, 1897.) Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York. Wallace Macfarlane, U. S. Atty. Comstock & Brown, for appellees. Dismissed, pursuant to the sixteenth rule, for failure to docket. See 18 C. C. A. 341, 71 Fed. 870.

---

---

UNITED STATES v. MADDOCK et al. (Circuit Court of Appeals, Second Circuit. January 13, 1893.) No. 26. Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York. Edward Mitchell, U. S. Atty. Hartley & Coleman, for appellees. No opinion. Affirmed.

---

---

UNITED STATES v. RHEIMS. (Circuit Court of Appeals, Second Circuit. December 18, 1894.) No. 60. Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York. Wallace Macfarlane, U. S. Atty. Curie, Smith & Mackie, for appellee. No opinion. Affirmed.

---

---

UNITED STATES v. SCHMIDT. (Circuit Court of Appeals, Second Circuit. April 26, 1893.) No. 21. Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York. Edward Mitchell, U. S. Atty. Stanley, Clark & Smith, for appellee. No opinion. Affirmed.

---

---

UNITED STATES v. SCHWARTZ. (Circuit Court of Appeals, Second Circuit. January 27, 1897.) No. 65. Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York. Wallace Macfarlane, U. S. Atty. Comstock & Brown, for appellee. No opinion. Affirmed. See 76 Fed. 452.

---

---

UNITED STATES v. SUSSFELD et al. (Circuit Court of Appeals, Second Circuit. May 3, 1893.) No. 42. Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York. Edward Mitchell, U. S. Atty. Curie, Smith & Mackie, for appellees. No opinion. Affirmed.

---

---

UNITED STATES v. VEITH. (Circuit Court of Appeals, Second Circuit. April 28, 1893.) No. 41. Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York. Edward Mitchell, U. S. Atty. Stanley, Clark & Smith, for appellee. No opinion. Affirmed.

UNITED STATES ex rel. SMITH v. WILLIAMS, Judge pro tem. (Circuit Court of Appeals, Eighth Circuit, May 23, 1898.) No. 8, Original. Thomas G. Frost, for relator. Dismissed, with costs, on motion of relator.

---

---

VERITY v. R. W. BELL MFG. CO. (Circuit Court of Appeals, Second Circuit, February 23, 1897.) No. 26. In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York. Martin J. Keogh, for plaintiff in error. Page & Taft, for defendant in error. No opinion. Affirmed.

---

---

THE WADENA. (Circuit Court of Appeals, Second Circuit, March 18, 1897.) No. 111. Appeal from the District Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York. Goodrich, Deady & Goodrich, for appellant. Alexander Tison, for appellee Barney McCann. No opinion. Affirmed.

---

---

WALSH v. 382,000 FEET OF YELLOW PINE SAWN LUMBER. (Circuit Court of Appeals, Second Circuit, November 5, 1896.) No. 1. Appeal from the District Court of the United States for the Eastern District of New York. Goodrich, Deady & Goodrich, for appellant. Parsons, Shepard & Ogden, for appellees. Dismissed.

---

---

WALWORTH MFG. CO. v. LYONS. (Circuit Court of Appeals, Second Circuit, May 27, 1897.) In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York. Eugene L. Richards, Jr., for plaintiff in error. Hutchinson & Newhouse, for defendant in error. Dismissed on consent.

---

---

WHEELING BRIDGE & TERMINAL RY. CO. v. FRANZHEIM. (Circuit Court of Appeals, Fourth Circuit, June 28, 1898.) No. 277. In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of West Virginia. Henry M. Russell, for defendant in error. Dismissed, pursuant to the sixteenth rule, on motion of defendant in error.

---

---

WINTER v. KNOTT. (Circuit Court of Appeals, Second Circuit, May 27, 1897.) No. 129. Appeal from the District Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York. Convers & Kirlin, for appellant. Butler, Notman, Joline & Mynderse, for appellee. No opinion. Affirmed.

---

---

WOODRUFF et al. v. UNITED STATES. (Circuit Court of Appeals, Second Circuit, April 1, 1897.) Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York. Stephen G. Clarke, for appellants. Wallace Macfarlane, U. S. Atty. Dismissed, pursuant to the sixteenth rule, for failure to docket.

---

---

ALDER et al. v. GUGGENHEIM et al. (Circuit Court, S. D. New York, October 11, 1898.) George C. Lay and Thomas P. Wickes, for complainants. John P. Bennett and Joseph H. Choate, for defendants.

LACOMBE, Circuit Judge. This is a suit closely similar to Huber v. Guggenheim, in which an opinion was filed yesterday. 89 Fed. 598. The con-