

NATIONAL HARROW CO. v. NORTH MOLITOR MFG. CO. (Circuit Court of Appeals, Sixth Circuit. November 9, 1898.) No. 633. Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Michigan. Howard & Roos, for appellant. Cahill & Ostrander, for appellee. Dismissed on appellant's motion.

---

NEW YORK, N. H. & H. R. CO. v. GROSS. (Circuit Court of Appeals, Second Circuit. April 20, 1897.) No. 103. In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York. Henry W. Taft, for plaintiff in error. Mark D. Wilber and De Forest & Klein, for defendant in error. No opinion. Affirmed.

---

NORTH AMERICAN TRUST CO. v. FOWLER et al. (Circuit Court of Appeals, Second Circuit. June 30, 1897.) Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York. W. J. Patterson, for appellant. Hiram R. Steele, for appellees. Dismissed on consent, pursuant to twentieth rule.

---

OHIO & I. TORPEDO CO. v. CAMPBELL. (Circuit Court of Appeals, Sixth Circuit. October 4, 1898.) No. 635. In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Western Division of the Northern District of Ohio. Gilbert Harmon and Jesse Stephen, for plaintiff in error. Nearing & Painter and James Beverstock, for defendant in error. Dismissed per stipulation.

---

RICHARDSON v. AMERICAN PIN CO. (Circuit Court of Appeals, Second Circuit. December 18, 1896.) No. 45. Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Connecticut. J. Bonsall Taylor and William Strawbridge, for appellant. George E. Terry, for appellee. Dismissed by consent, pursuant to the twentieth rule.

---

SCHNABEL et al. v. UNITED STATES. (Circuit Court of Appeals, Second Circuit. March 8, 1897.) No. 95. Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York. Hatch & Wickes, for appellants. Wallace Macfarlane, U. S. Atty. No opinion. Affirmed.

---

SLEVIN v. McINTYRE. (Circuit Court of Appeals, Second Circuit. May 12, 1897.) In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York. Evarts, Choate & Beaman, for plaintiff in error. Bowers & Sands, for defendant in error. Dismissed.

---

SMITH v. CHICAGO & A. R. CO. (Circuit Court of Appeals, Eighth Circuit. May 9, 1898.) No. 1,019. In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Western District of Missouri. P. H. Cullen and W. A. Edmiston, for plaintiff in error. George Robertson, for defendant in error. Dismissed, with costs, pursuant to the twenty-third rule, on motion of the defendant in error.

---

SUPREME LODGE KNIGHTS OF PYTHIAS OF THE WORLD v. FREEMAN. (Circuit Court of Appeals, Sixth Circuit.) No. 638. In Error to the

Circuit Court of the United States for the Western District of Tennessee. Frank P. Posten and Walter Biggs, for plaintiff in error. Hill & Jones, for defendant in error. Dismissed on motion of plaintiff in error.

---

---

UNITED STATES v. JOINT TRAFFIC ASS'N. (Circuit Court of Appeals, Second Circuit. March 19, 1897.) No. 92. Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York. Wallace Macfarlane, U. S. Atty. Charles Howland Russell, Allen McCulloh, Ashbel Green, Frank Loomis, and Carter & Ledyard, for appellee. No opinion. Affirmed. See 76 Fed. 895.

---

---

UNITED STATES v. LAHEY et al. (Circuit Court of Appeals, Second Circuit. February 26, 1897.) Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York. Wallace Macfarlane, U. S. Atty. Comstock & Brown, for appellees. Dismissed, pursuant to the sixteenth rule, for failure to docket. See 18 C. C. A. 341, 71 Fed. 870.

---

---

UNITED STATES v. MADDOCK et al. (Circuit Court of Appeals, Second Circuit. January 13, 1893.) No. 26. Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York. Edward Mitchell, U. S. Atty. Hartley & Coleman, for appellees. No opinion. Affirmed.

---

---

UNITED STATES v. RHEIMS. (Circuit Court of Appeals, Second Circuit. December 18, 1894.) No. 60. Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York. Wallace Macfarlane, U. S. Atty. Curie, Smith & Mackie, for appellee. No opinion. Affirmed.

---

---

UNITED STATES v. SCHMIDT. (Circuit Court of Appeals, Second Circuit. April 26, 1893.) No. 21. Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York. Edward Mitchell, U. S. Atty. Stanley, Clark & Smith, for appellee. No opinion. Affirmed.

---

---

UNITED STATES v. SCHWARTZ. (Circuit Court of Appeals, Second Circuit. January 27, 1897.) No. 65. Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York. Wallace Macfarlane, U. S. Atty. Comstock & Brown, for appellee. No opinion. Affirmed. See 76 Fed. 452.

---

---

UNITED STATES v. SUSSFELD et al. (Circuit Court of Appeals, Second Circuit. May 3, 1893.) No. 42. Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York. Edward Mitchell, U. S. Atty. Curie, Smith & Mackie, for appellees. No opinion. Affirmed.

---

---

UNITED STATES v. VEITH. (Circuit Court of Appeals, Second Circuit. April 28, 1893.) No. 41. Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York. Edward Mitchell, U. S. Atty. Stanley, Clark & Smith, for appellee. No opinion. Affirmed.