

ERHARDT v. HAHN. (Circuit Court of Appeals, Second Circuit. February 13, 1897.) No. 8. In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York. Wallace Macfarlane, U. S. Atty. Comstock & Brown, for defendant in error. Dismissed on consent, pursuant to the twentieth rule. See 5 C. C. A. 99, 55 Fed. 273; 24 C. C. A. 265, 78 Fed. 620.

FASSETT v. VANDERBILT. (Circuit Court of Appeals, Second Circuit. May 19, 1897.) No. 125. Appeal from the District Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York. Edward Mitchell, U. S. Atty. Root & Clarke, for appellee. No opinion. Reversed, pursuant to the opinion of the supreme court on certiorari. The Conqueror, 166 U. S. 110, 17 Sup. Ct. 510.

FINK v. UNITED STATES. (Circuit Court of Appeals, Second Circuit.) Questions of law certified to the supreme court of the United States. See 18 Sup. Ct. 770.

THE FLORIDA. (Circuit Court of Appeals, Second Circuit. January 20, 1897.) No. 87. Appeal from the District Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York. Wilcox, Adams & Green, for appellant Berwind-White Coal-Min. Co. Stimson & Williams, for appellee the Florida. Dismissed on consent, pursuant to the twentieth rule. See 56 Fed. 614.

FOSTER v. WERTHEIMER. (Circuit Court of Appeals, Second Circuit. October 21, 1896.) Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York. Cowen & Dickerson and Nicoll & Brown, for appellant. Gifford & Bull, for appellee. Dismissed by consent, without costs.

GOLDSMITH et al. v. NATIONAL S. S. CO. (Circuit Court of Appeals, Second Circuit. April 29, 1895.) No. 103. Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of New York. Wilhelmus Mynderse, for appellants. John Chetwood, for appellee. No opinion. Affirmed.

GOLD SOVEREIGN MIN. & TUNNEL CO. et al. v. STRATTON. (Circuit Court of Appeals, Eighth Circuit. May 16, 1898.) No. 1,042. Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Colorado. C. S. Thomas, W. H. Bryant, and H. H. Lee, for appellants. Charles J. Hughes, Jr., for appellee. Dismissed, with costs, per stipulation of the parties.

GOODENOUGH v. CARY. (Circuit Court of Appeals, Second Circuit. May 3, 1897.) No. 113. Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York. Brieson & Knauth, for appellant. Henry A. Prince and Edward S. Beach, for appellee. No opinion. Affirmed on the opinion of the circuit court. 77 Fed. 827.

GOODWIN v. UNITED STATES. (Circuit Court of Appeals, Sixth Circuit. November 11, 1898.) No. 585. In Error to the District Court of the United

States for the District of Kentucky. James A. Scott and John B. O'Neal, for plaintiff in error. W. M. Smith, U. S. Atty. Dismissed for failure to print record.

THE G. R. BOOTH. (Circuit Court of Appeals, Second Circuit.) Questions of law certified to the supreme court of the United States. See 19 Sup. Ct. 9.

GRIFFIN v. KEENAN et al. (Circuit Court of Appeals, Eighth Circuit. October 3, 1898.) No. 1,153. Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Minnesota. James McHale and E. Southworth, for appellees. Docketed and dismissed, with costs, pursuant to the sixteenth rule, on motion of counsel for appellees.

HAGUE et al. v. UNITED STATES. (Circuit Court of Appeals, Second Circuit. January 25, 1897.) No. 61. Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York. Comstock & Brown, for appellants. Wallace Macfarlane, U. S. Atty. No opinion. Affirmed. See 73 Fed. 810.

HARVEY PEAK TIN MIN., MILL. & MFG. CO. v. FLETCHER. (Circuit Court of Appeals, Second Circuit. January 25, 1897.) Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York. Guggenheimer, Untermyer & Marshall, for appellant. David Wilcox, for appellee. Dismissed, pursuant to the sixteenth rule, for failure to docket.

HOLLAND et al. v. SONSMITH et al. (Circuit Court of Appeals, Sixth Circuit. October 8, 1898.) No. 19. Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Michigan. Harvey D. Goulder, for appellants. F. H. Canfield, for appellees. No opinion. Decree of circuit court reversed, and that of district court affirmed.

HOLMES, BOOTH & HAYDENS v. MCGILL. (Circuit Court of Appeals, Second Circuit. May 6, 1897.) No. 139. In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York. Ward, Hayden & Satterlee, for plaintiff in error. Atwater, Cruikshank, for defendant in error. No opinion. Affirmed.

H. W. JOHNS MFG. CO. v. ROBERTSON. (Circuit Court of Appeals, Second Circuit. November 16, 1896.) No. 20. Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York. Wetmore & Jenner, for appellant. Gallagher & Richards, for appellee. Dismissed on consent, pursuant to the twentieth rule. See 64 Fed. 234.

H. W. JOHNS MFG. CO. v. ROBERTSON. (Circuit Court of Appeals, Second Circuit. November 16, 1896.) No. 22. Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York. Wetmore & Jenner, for appellant. Gallagher & Richards, for appellee. Dismissed on consent, pursuant to the twentieth rule. See 64 Fed. 234.