

CONSOLIDATED ELEVATOR CO. v. NICHOLS et al. (Circuit Court of Appeals, Seventh Circuit. October 3, 1898.) No. 523. Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of Illinois. S. P. Wheeler, for appellant. Joseph S. Laurie, for appellees. Dismissed on motion of appellant.

---

---

DANIELS et al. v. LEFAVOUR et al. (Circuit Court of Appeals, Second Circuit. November 6, 1896.) No. 3. Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York. Abram Kling, for appellants. Holmes & Adams, for appellees. Dismissed upon settlement of the case.

---

---

DAVIDSON v. MEXICAN NAT. R. CO. (Circuit Court of Appeals, Second Circuit. April 30, 1895.) No. 41. In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of New York. Parsons, Shepard & Ogden, for plaintiff in error. Evarts, Choate & Beaman, for defendant in error. No opinion. Reversed, pursuant to answer of the supreme court on certificate. 157 U. S. 201, 15 Sup. Ct. 563.

---

---

DE LIMA v. UNITED STATES. (Circuit Court of Appeals, Second Circuit. March 15, 1897.) Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York. Robert Weil, for appellant. Wallace Macfarlane, U. S. Atty. Dismissed, pursuant to the sixteenth rule, for failure to docket.

---

---

DIECKERHOFF v. UNITED STATES. (Circuit Court of Appeals, Second Circuit. May 22, 1893.) Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York. Curie, Smith & Mackie, for appellant. Edward Mitchell, U. S. Atty. Dismissed by consent, pursuant to the twentieth rule.

---

---

DIXON-WOODS CO. v. UNITED GLASS CO. (Circuit Court of Appeals, Second Circuit. March 18, 1897.) Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of New York. Charles A. Talcott, for appellant. Cookingham & Sherman, for appellee. Dismissed on consent, pursuant to the twentieth rule.

---

---

DULUTH TRUST CO. v. GREAT WESTERN MFG. CO. et al. (Circuit Court of Appeals, Eighth Circuit. May 2, 1898.) No. 1,078. Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Minnesota. Jed L. Washburn, for appellees. Docketed and dismissed, with costs, pursuant to the sixteenth rule, on motion of appellees.

---

---

THE ELDORADO. THE FLORENCE. (Circuit Court of Appeals. Second Circuit. April 20, 1896.) No. 159. Appeal from the District Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York. Chas. H. Tweed, for appellant claimant of the Eldorado. Robinson, Biddle & Ward, for appellee Clyde S. S. Co. Carpenter & Park, for appellee claimant of the Florence. No opinion. Affirmed. See 68 Fed. 940.

**ERHARDT v. HAHN.** (Circuit Court of Appeals, Second Circuit. February 13, 1897.) No. 8. In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York. Wallace Macfarlane, U. S. Atty. Comstock & Brown, for defendant in error. Dismissed on consent, pursuant to the twentieth rule. See 5 C. C. A. 99, 55 Fed. 273; 24 C. C. A. 265, 78 Fed. 620.

---

---

**FASSETT v. VANDERBILT.** (Circuit Court of Appeals, Second Circuit. May 19, 1897.) No. 125. Appeal from the District Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York. Edward Mitchell, U. S. Atty. Root & Clarke, for appellee. No opinion. Reversed, pursuant to the opinion of the supreme court on certiorari. The Conqueror, 166 U. S. 110, 17 Sup. Ct. 510.

---

---

**FINK v. UNITED STATES.** (Circuit Court of Appeals, Second Circuit.) Questions of law certified to the supreme court of the United States. See 18 Sup. Ct. 770.

---

---

**THE FLORIDA.** (Circuit Court of Appeals, Second Circuit. January 20, 1897.) No. 87. Appeal from the District Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York. Wilcox, Adams & Green, for appellant Berwind-White Coal-Min. Co. Stimson & Williams, for appellee the Florida. Dismissed on consent, pursuant to the twentieth rule. See 56 Fed. 614.

---

---

**FOSTER v. WERTHEIMER.** (Circuit Court of Appeals, Second Circuit. October 21, 1896.) Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York. Cowen & Dickerson and Nicoll & Brown, for appellant. Gifford & Bull, for appellee. Dismissed by consent, without costs.

---

---

**GOLDSMITH et al. v. NATIONAL S. S. CO.** (Circuit Court of Appeals, Second Circuit. April 29, 1895.) No. 103. Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of New York. Wilhelmus Mynderse, for appellants. John Chetwood, for appellee. No opinion. Affirmed.

---

---

**GOLD SOVEREIGN MIN. & TUNNEL CO. et al. v. STRATTON.** (Circuit Court of Appeals, Eighth Circuit. May 16, 1898.) No. 1,042. Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Colorado. C. S. Thomas, W. H. Bryant, and H. H. Lee, for appellants. Charles J. Hughes, Jr., for appellee. Dismissed, with costs, per stipulation of the parties.

---

---

**GOODENOUGH v. CARY.** (Circuit Court of Appeals, Second Circuit. May 3, 1897.) No. 113. Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York. Brieson & Knauth, for appellant. Henry A. Prince and Edward S. Beach, for appellee. No opinion. Affirmed on the opinion of the circuit court. 77 Fed. 827.

---

---

**GOODWIN v. UNITED STATES.** (Circuit Court of Appeals, Sixth Circuit. November 11, 1898.) No. 585. In Error to the District Court of the United