

NORTHERN PAC. R. CO. v. BOYLE. (Circuit Court of Appeals, Seventh Circuit. January 12, 1897.) No. 322. In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Western District of Wisconsin. Thomas H. Gill, for plaintiff in error. W. H. Stafford and T. F. Frawley, for defendant in error. Dismissed, per stipulation.

PEOPLE ex rel. DEIMEL v. ARNOLD, United States Marshal. (Circuit Court of Appeals, Seventh Circuit. April 7, 1896.) No. 222. Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Illinois. H. T. Gilbert, for appellant. Moran, Kraus & Mayer, for appellee. Stipulation filed in this cause awaiting the determination of No. 221 (Deimel v. Arnold, 16 C. C. A. 575, 69 Fed. 987). Judgment entered in accordance with stipulation. See 73 Fed. 430; 23 C. C. A. 467, 77 Fed. 802.

THE PHILADELPHIA. (Circuit Court of Appeals, First Circuit. December 8, 1896.) No. 155. Appeal from the District Court of the United States for the District of Massachusetts. Eugene P. Carver and Edward E. Blodgett, for appellant The Philadelphia. Frederic Dodge and Edward S. Dodge, for appellee James Baker. No opinion. Affirmed, on agreement that the same decree be entered as in The Philadelphia, 21 C. C. A. 501, 75 Fed. 684.

PITTSBURGH PLATE-GLASS CO. v. KIDD. (Circuit Court of Appeals, Eighth Circuit. December 13, 1897.) No. 901. In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Missouri. John F. Shepley, for plaintiff in error. F. R. Dearing, for defendant in error. Dismissed, with costs, on motion of the plaintiff in error.

RICE et al. v. INGALLS. (Circuit Court of Appeals, Seventh Circuit. October 5, 1896.) No. 343. Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Illinois. George H. Wilbur, for appellant. Robert A. Childs, for appellee. Dismissed, for failure to file record.

THE SATURNINA. THOMAS v. LARRINAGA et al. (Circuit Court of Appeals, Fifth Circuit. January 17, 1898.) No. 651. Appeal from the District Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Louisiana. John D. Grace, for appellant. E. B. Kruttschnitt, for appellee. Dismissed, per stipulation of counsel.

SHAPLEIGH v. CITY OF SAN ANGELO. (Circuit Court of Appeals, Fifth Circuit. January 3, 1898.) No. 392. In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Western District of Texas. T. K. Skinker, for plaintiff in error. W. M. Stanton, for defendant in error. Dismissed, on motion of plaintiff in error.

SHAW v. KELLOGG. (Circuit Court of Appeals, Eighth Circuit. December 30, 1897.) No. 664. In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Colorado. Removed to supreme court on writ of certiorari.

SHORES LUMBER CO. v. THE JOHNSON. (Circuit Court of Appeals, Seventh Circuit. November 9, 1896.) No. 327. Appeal from the District Court of the United States for the Northern District of Illinois. C. E. Kremer, for appellant. W. H. Condon, for appellee. Dismissed, for failure to print record.

SIOUX CITY TERMINAL RAILROAD & WAREHOUSE CO. et al. v. TRUST CO. OF NORTH AMERICA. (Circuit Court of Appeals, Eighth Circuit. December 17, 1897.) No. 801. Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Iowa. Removed to supreme court on writ of certiorari. See 82 Fed. 124.

SMEETH et al. v. BEST et al. (Circuit Court of Appeals, Seventh Circuit. October 7, 1896.) No. 294. Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Illinois. Ephraim Banning and Thomas A. Banning, for appellants. James I. Kay and R. D. Totten, for appellees. Dismissed, by consent.

SMILEY v. BARKER. (Circuit Court of Appeals, Eighth Circuit.) No. 913. In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Wyoming. Application to the supreme court for writ of certiorari. See 83 Fed. 684.

SOUTHERN RY. CO. v. PARKER. (Circuit Court of Appeals, Fourth Circuit. February 5, 1898.) No. 242. In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Western District of North Carolina. Geo. F. Bason and Chas. Price, for plaintiff in error. Carter & Weaver, for defendant in error. Before GOFF, Circuit Judge, and PAUL and JACKSON, District Judges. Dismissed, for failure to file record.

STANLEY v. HOLCOMBE et al. (Circuit Court of Appeals, Fifth Circuit. December 24, 1897.) No. 660. Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Georgia. Alex. C. King, for appellee. Appeal docketed and dismissed, pursuant to the sixteenth rule.

SYMONDS v. UNITED STATES. (Circuit Court of Appeals, First Circuit. January 27, 1898.) No. 215. In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Massachusetts. "And now comes the United States, by Boyd B. Jones, United States attorney for the district of Massachusetts, and says: First. That this is a writ of error to review a judgment of the circuit court, recovered on the fourteenth day of October, A. D. 1896, for the sum of one thousand and twenty-one and seventy one-hundredths dollars (\$1,021.70) damages, and costs of suit, taxed at sixty-nine dollars and twenty-five cents (\$69.25), in favor of the United States of America, against said Charles E. Symonds, in an action brought under section 3 of the act of congress approved February 26, 1885 (23 Stat. 332), and commonly known as the 'Allen Contract Labor Act.' Second. That the aforesaid plaintiff in error has heretofore, to wit, on the seventeenth day of December, 1897, made an offer in writing, in duplicate, to pay five hundred dollars in full compromise and settlement of the above-entitled suit, and heretofore, to wit, on the seventeenth day of December, 1897, deposited five hundred dollars with the assistant treasurer of the United States at Boston, to the credit of special account No. 5, as required by the treasury regulations, on account of and for the purposes of the aforesaid offer and settlement. Third.