

UNITED STATES v. LAWS. (Circuit Court of Appeals, Sixth Circuit. June 22, 1896.) No. 10. In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Western Division of the Southern District of Ohio. John W. Herron and Harlan Cleveland, for the United States. Lawrence Maxwell, Jr., for defendant in error. No opinion. Judgment of circuit court affirmed.

UNITED STATES v. McCANN. (Circuit Court of Appeals, Second Circuit. December 13, 1895.) No. 525. Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York. Wallace Macfarlane, U. S. Atty. Hartley & Coleman, for appellee. Reversed on stipulation that this cause abide event of U. S. v. Burr, 79 Fed. 1004.

UNITED STATES v. NORDLINGER. (Circuit Court of Appeals, Second Circuit. October 21, 1895.) No. 580. Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York. Wallace Macfarlane, U. S. Atty. Comstock & Brown, for appellee. No opinion. Appeal dismissed.

UNITED STATES v. PASSAVANT et al. (Circuit Court of Appeals, Second Circuit. December 11, 1895.) No. 545. Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York. Wallace Macfarlane, U. S. Atty. S. G. Clarke, for appellees. Reversed on stipulation that this cause abide event of U. S. v. Burr, 79 Fed. 1004.

UNITED STATES v. VAUTINE et al. (Circuit Court of Appeals, Second Circuit. December 17, 1896.) No. 524. Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York. Wallace Macfarlane, U. S. Atty. W. B. Coughtry, for appellees. No opinion. Affirmed in open court.

UNITED STATES v. ZEIMER et al. (Circuit Court of Appeals, Second Circuit. January 11, 1896.) No. 565. Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York. Wallace Macfarlane, U. S. Atty. Comstock & Brown, for appellees. Dismissed on consent.

THE VALENCIA et al. v. ZEIGLER et al. (Circuit Court of Appeals, Second Circuit.) Appeal from the District Court of the United States. F. R. Coudert and Jos. Kling, for appellants. W. W. Goodrich and J. A. Deady, for appellees. Certified to supreme court. See 17 Sup. Ct. 323.

WAPLES-PLATTER CO. et al. v. TURNER. (Circuit Court of Appeals, Eighth Circuit. September 15, 1896.) No. 643. No opinion. Judgment of dismissal vacated and set aside, and cause restored to the docket.

WEATHERBY v. ST. LOUIS & S. F. RY. CO. (Circuit Court of Appeals, Eighth Circuit. May 23, 1896.) No. 805. Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Kansas. L. F. Parker, for defendant in error. No opinion. Docketed and dismissed, pursuant to sixteenth rule, on motion of counsel for defendant in error.

WILLIAMS et al. v. AMERICAN NAT. BANK OF KANSAS CITY, MO. (Circuit Court of Appeals, Eighth Circuit. May 25, 1896.) No. 726. Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Western District of Missouri. John R. Walker, James R. Vaughan, and W. M. Williams, for plaintiffs in error. O. H. Dean, R. L. Goode, and J. C. Cravens, for defendant in error. Dismissed, without costs to either party in this court, per stipulation of counsel.

WILLIAMS v. GLENN. (Circuit Court of Appeals, Second Circuit.) No. 322. Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York. George Zabriskie, for appellant. B. N. Harrison, Charles Marshall, and A. H. Masten, for appellee. No opinion. Decree affirmed, with costs, on opinion in *Furnald v. Glenn*, 12 C. C. A. 27, 64 Fed. 49.

WOERISHOEFFER et al. v. SMITH et al. (Circuit Court of Appeals, Fifth Circuit. January 6, 1897.) No. 514. Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Texas. S. R. Jones, for appellants. J. V. Lea, for appellees. Dismissed on stipulation.

WOODFIN v. HAMPTON & O. P. RY. CO. et al. (Circuit Court of Appeals, Fourth Circuit. February 8, 1897.) No. 207. Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Virginia. Robert M. Hughes, for appellant. Arthur S. Segar and Thomas Tabb, for appellees. No opinion. Upon suggestion of the appellant that the case involves the question whether an act of the legislature of Virginia is in conflict with the constitution of the United States, and that this court has no jurisdiction, appeal is dismissed, without prejudice.

ZIMMERMAN v. UNITED STATES. (Circuit Court of Appeals, Second Circuit. November 11, 1895.) No. 679. Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York. Hess, Townsend & McClelland, for appellant. Wallace Macfarlane, U. S. Atty. Dismissed on consent.