

**STABERG v. OLIVER MIN. CO.** (Circuit Court of Appeals, Eighth Circuit. December 23, 1896.) No. 815. In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Minnesota. John Rustgard, for plaintiff in error. William W. Billson, Chester A. Congdon, and Daniel A. Dickinson, for defendant in error. No opinion. Affirmed, with costs.

---

---

**STAHL v. WILLIAMS.** (Circuit Court of Appeals, Second Circuit. December 3, 1895.) No. 567. Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Connecticut. Mitchell, Hungerford & Bartlett, for appellant. Newell & Jennings, for appellee. Dismissed by consent, without costs.

---

---

**STEINER et al. v. UNITED STATES.** (Circuit Court of Appeals, Second Circuit. January 23, 1895.) No. 398. Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York. E. B. Smith, for appellants. Henry C. Platt, Asst. U. S. Atty. No opinion. Decree affirmed in open court.

---

---

**TOD et al. v. HUBBARD.** (Circuit Court of Appeals, Eighth Circuit. April 13, 1896.) No. 704. Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Iowa. George W. Wickersham, Daniel B. Henderson, Louis G. Hurd, Francis B. Daniels, and George W. Kiesel, for appellants. John C. Coombs and Henry J. Taylor, for appellee. No opinion. Affirmed by a divided court, and costs equally divided.

---

---

**TOWN OF CRIPPLE CREEK et al. v. MICHIGAN PIPE CO.** (Circuit Court of Appeals, Eighth Circuit. January 4, 1897.) No. 890. Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Colorado. Charles M. Brown, for appellee. No opinion. Docketed and dismissed, with costs, pursuant to the sixteenth rule, on motion of counsel for appellee.

---

---

**TOWN OF PHELPS v. BRIGGS.** (Circuit Court of Appeals, Second Circuit. July 29, 1896.) No. 748. In Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of New York. S. D. Bentley, for plaintiff in error. No opinion. Dismissed, pursuant to the sixteenth rule.

---

---

**UNION SWITCH & SIGNAL CO. et al. v. PHILADELPHIA & R. R. CO. et al. SAME v. ATLANTIC CITY R. CO. et al.** (Circuit Court of Appeals, Third Circuit. January 27, 1897.) Nos. 37, 38. Appeals from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania. George H. Christy, for appellants. Wm. Houston Kenyon, for appellees. Dismissed per stipulation of parties. See 75 Fed. 1004.

**UNITED STATES v. BURR et al.** (Circuit Court of Appeals, Second Circuit. November 12, 1895.) No. 490. Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York. Wallace Macfarlane, U. S. Atty. Currie, Smith & Mackie, for appellees. No opinion. Reversed. See 66 Fed. 742.

---

---

**UNITED STATES v. ELEVEN HUNDRED HEAD OF CATTLE.** (Circuit Court of Appeals, Fifth Circuit. January 6, 1896.) Error to the District Court of the United States for the Western District of Texas. F. B. Earhart, for the United States. A. J. Evans, for defendant in error. Dismissed on motion of plaintiff in error.

---

---

**UNITED STATES v. GODWIN et al.** (Circuit Court of Appeals, Second Circuit. December 19, 1895.) No. 551. Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York. Wallace Macfarlane, U. S. Atty. S. G. Clarke, for appellees. No opinion. Affirmed in open court.

---

---

**UNITED STATES v. GODWIN et al.** (Circuit Court of Appeals, Second Circuit. December 20, 1895.) No. 558. Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York. Wallace Macfarlane, U. S. Atty. S. G. Clarke, for appellees. No opinion. Affirmed in open court.

---

---

**UNITED STATES v. HILL.** (Circuit Court of Appeals, Eighth Circuit. December 7, 1896.) No. 751. Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Colorado. Henry V. Johnson, for plaintiff in error. H. W. Hobson, for defendant in error. Dismissed pursuant to stipulation of the parties, without costs to either party.

---

---

**UNITED STATES v. HUNTINGTON.** (Circuit Court of Appeals, Second Circuit. December 30, 1892.) No. 115. Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York. Application of collector of customs for review of decision of board of appraisers as to rate of duty on 17 packages of theatrical effects. Edward Mitchell, U. S. Atty. Dittenhoefer & Gerber, for appellee. No opinion. Order affirmed.

---

---

**UNITED STATES v. LANDNER.** (Circuit Court of Appeals, Second Circuit. December 13, 1894.) No. 384. Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York. Henry C. Platt, Asst. U. S. Atty. S. G. Clarke, for appellee. No opinion. Decree affirmed in open court.