## 79 FEDERAL REPORTER.

EDISON ELECTRIC LIGHT CO. v. STAFFORD et al. (Circuit Court of Appeals, Second Circuit, July 26, 1895.) No. 466. Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York. E. H. Lewis and Dyer & Seely, for appellant. Hobbs & Gifford, for appellees. Dismissed by consent.

EXCELSIOR PEBBLE PHOSPHATE CO. et al. v. BROWN et al. (Circuit Court of Appeals, Fourth Circuit. November 11, 1896.) No. 169. Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of West Virginia. W. E. Chilton, for appellants. J. S. Sanderson, for appellees. No opinion. Cause dismissed, pursuant to twenty-third rule, for failure to file printed records.

FARMERS' LOAN & TRUST CO. et al. v. FARMERS' LOAN & TRUST CO. (BILL, Intervener). (Circuit Court of Appeals, Eighth Circuit. November 9, 1896.) No. 776. Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of North Dakota. D. A. Lindsay and F. W. M. Cutcheon, for appellants. Samuel L. Glaspell, for Bill, intervener. Dismissed on motion of appellants.

FARMERS' LOAN & TRUST CO. et al. v. FARMERS' LOAN & TRUST CO. (COMASKY, Intervener). (Circuit Court of Appeals, Eighth Circuit. November 9, 1896.) No. 775. Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of North Dakota. D. A. Lindsay and F. W. M. Cutcheon, for appellants. Taylor Crum, for Comasky, intervener. Dismissed on motion of appellants.

FARMERS' LOAN & TRUST CO. et al. v. FARMERS' LOAN & TRUST CO. (CONATY et al., Interveners). (Circuit Court of Appeals, Eighth Circuit. November 16, 1896.) No. 777. Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of North Dakota. D. A. Lindsay and F. W. M. Cutcheon, for appellants. Samuel L. Glaspell, for Conaty and others, interveners. Dismissed on motion of appellants.

FIDELITY INSURANCE, TRUST & SAFE-DEPOSIT CO. et al. v. VIR-GINIA & T. COAL & IRON CO. (Circuit Court of Appeals, Fourth Circuit. February 13, 1897.) No. 177. Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Virginia. Wm. W. Old and Richard C. Dale, for appellants. Daniel Trigg, for appellee. No opinion. Cause settled and dismissed, pursuant to the twentieth rule.

FOPPES et al. v. UNITED STATES. (Circuit Court of Appeals, Second Circuit. December 2, 1895.) No. 680. Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York. Stanley, Clark & Smith, for appellants. Wallace Macfarlane, U. S. Atty. No opinion. Appeal dismissed.

.

9**9**4

## MEMORANDUM DECISIONS.

FOPPES et al. v. UNITED STATES. (Circuit Court of Appeals, Second Circuit. May 27, 1896.) No. 725. Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York. Stanley, Clark & Smith, for appellants. Wallace Macfarlane, U. S. Atty. Dismissed on consent.

FOPPES et al. v. UNITED STATES. (Circuit Court of Appeals, Second Circuit. January 23, 1895.) No. 397. Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York. Edwin V. Smith, for appellants. Henry C. Platt, Asst. U. S. Atty. No opinion. Decree affirmed.

FOWLER MANUF'G CO. v. PIERPONT BOILER CO. (Circuit Court of Appeals, Sixth Circuit, July 8, 1896.) No. 436. Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Ohio, Eastern Division. Lawrence Maxwell, Jr., and Ephraim Banning, for appellant. Thomas W. Bakewell, for appellee. No opinion. Judgment affirmed.

FRANKEL et al. v. UNITED STATES. (Circuit Court of Appeals, Second Circuit. March 9, 1896.) No. 588. Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York. Currie, Smith & Mackie, for appellants. Wallace Macfarlane, U. S. Atty. No opinion. Affirmed in open court.

\_\_\_\_

GARNER v. SECOND NAT. BANK OF PROVIDENCE, R. I. (Circuit Court of Appeals, Second Circuit. March 2, 1896.) No. 681. In error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York. Aleck Thain, for plaintiff in error. J. Langdon Ward, for defendant in error. No opinion. Dismissed, pursuant to the sixteenth rule.

THE GEORGE S. HOMER. HARRIS v. THE GEORGE S. HOMER et al. (Circuit Court of Appeals, Second Circuit. December 19, 1894.) No. 404. Appeal from the District Court of the United States for the Eastern District of New York. J. A. Hyland, for appellants. E. L. Owen, for appellee. No opinion. Affirmed in open court.

GOUGAR v. MORSE. (Circuit Court of Appeals, First Circuit. November 10, 1896.) No. 178. Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Massachusetts. Harvey N. Shepard, for plaintiff in error. Henry F. Bushnell, for defendant in error. No opinion. Dismissed for failure to print record.

GRAHAM v. MACDONELD. (Circuit Court of Appeals, Fifth Circuit. November 24, 1896.) No. 513. Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Western District of Texas. Before PARDEE and MCOORMICK, Circuit Judges, and NEWMAN, District Judge.

MCCORMICK, Circuit Judge. In this case a jury was waived by stipulation in writing. The record does not show that any exception was taken to