States for the Northern District of Illinois. J. N. Jewett and J. J. Herrick, for appellant Smith. J. P. Wilson and A. M. Pence, for appellees. Dismissed on consent of counsel.

GRAVER v. FAUROT. (Circuit Court of Appeals, Seventh Circuit.) Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Illinois. Questions of law certified to the supreme court. For decision of the supreme court, see 16 Sup. Ct. 799.

THE HORACE B. PARKER. (Circuit Court of Appeals, First Circuit. April 23, 1896.) No. 140. On application for rehearing. For former report, see 18 C. C. A. 406, 71 Fed. 989. Before COLT and PUTNAM, Circuit Judges, and NELSON, District Judge.

PER CURIAM. Ordered, that whereas no judge who concurred in the judgment desires that the petition for a rehearing be granted, except as to the matter of costs, the same is denied except as to costs; and whereas both parties have, by leave of court, been heard on briefs on the matter of costs, it is further ordered that the judgment heretofore entered be rescinded, and judgment be now entered as follows: The decree of the district court is reversed, and the case is remanded to that court, with directions to enter a decree dividing equally the damages and the costs in that court, with costs for the appellants in this court.

NEW YORK, N. H. & H. R. CO. v. ROBERTS. (Circuit Court of Appeals, Second Circuit. May 12, 1896.) Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York. Henry W. Taft, for appellant. Lamb, Osborne & Petty, for appellee. No opinion. Judgment affirmed.

OFFICE SPECIALTY MANUF'G CO. v. ELBERT COUNTY. (Circuit Court of Appeals, Fifth Circuit. May 5, 1896.) No. 455. Error to the United States Circuit Court for the Northern District of Georgia. For former report, see 73 Fed. 324. Daniel W. Rountree and W. C. Glenn, for plaintiff in error. Alex. C. King and Jack J. Spalding, for defendant in error. Before PARDEE and McCORMICK, Circuit Judges, and SPEER, District Judge.

PER CURIAM. The demurrer to the plaintiff's declaration was properly sustained. The argument in this court suggests that the plaintiff in error may, notwithstanding, have a valid claim against the county of Elbert, and that the judgment of the circuit court should be without prejudice to the prosecution of such claim. The judgment is amended by adding the words "without prejudice," and, as so amended, is affirmed.

ST. LOUIS & S. F. RY. CO. v. JAMES. (Circuit Court of Appeals, Eighth Circuit.) Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Western District of Arkansas. Questions of law certified to supreme court. For decision of the supreme court, see 16 Sup. Ct. 621.

SMITH v. TEXAS W. RY. CO. (Circuit Court of Appeals, Fifth Circuit. April 13, 1896.) No. 487. Dismissed. pursuant to sixteenth rule.

MEMORANDUM DECISIONS.

SOUTHWESTERN R. CO. v. CENTRAL RAILROAD & BANKING CO. OF GEORGIA et al. (Circuit Court of Appeals, Fifth Circuit. April 7, 1896.) No. 222. Dismissed per stipulation, the matters involved having been compromised and settled between the parties.

UNITED STATES v. LAWS. (Circuit Court of Appeals, Sixth Circuit.) Error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of Ohio, Western Division. Questions of law certified to the supreme court. For decision of the supreme court, see 16 Sup. Ct. 998.

WINDETT v. UNION MUT. LIFE INS. CO. et al. (Circuit Court of Appeals, Seventh Circuit. November 7, 1895.) No. 235. Appeal from Circuit Court of the United States for the Northern District of Illinois. W. P. Black, for appellant. Frank L. Wean, George W. Smith, and George L. Pollock, for appellees. Dismissed for failure to print the record.

END OF CASES IN VOL. 73.