THE MARY MCWILLIAMS AND THE CITY OF BROCKTON.

McWILLIAMS et al. v. DELAWARE & H. CANAL CO.

(Circuit Court of Appeals, Second Circuit. October 30, 1894.)

Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York.

Carpenter & Mosher, for claimants of the Mary McWilliams and appellants. S. Hanford, for claimants of the City of Brockton and appellee.

David Willcox, for libelants and appellees.

Stricken from calendar for failure to answer call of docket, pursuant to the seventeenth rule.

MAYOR, ETC., OF CITY OF NEW YORK et al. v. WORKMAN.

(Circuit Court of Appeals, Second Circuit, May 6, 1895.)

No. 118.

Appeal from the District Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York.

Application for certification to the supreme court of the United States. Denied.

NEW YORK & H. R. R. CO. v. ACCUMULATOR CO.

(Circuit Court of Appeals, Second Circuit. March 13, 1893.)

Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York.

W. H. Kenyon, for appellant.

Frederick H. Betts, for appellee.

Stricken from the calendar for failure to answer the call of the docket, pursuant to the seventeenth rule.

PRESS PUB. CO. v. FALK.

(Circuit Court of Appeals, Second Circuit. November 15, 1894.)

No. 27.

Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York.

Platt & Bowers, for complainant and appellant. Benno Lewinson, for defendant and appellee. Dismissed by consent.

PROVIDENT FUND SOC. v. WILLIAMS.

(Circuit Court of Appeals, Second Circuit. March 13, 1895.)

Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York.

A. M. Sanders, for appellant.

Carter, Hughes & Kellogg, for appellee.

No opinion. Dismissed, pursuant to the sixteenth rule.

In re SPOFFORD.

(Circuit Court of Appeals, Second Circuit. December 10, 1894.)

No. 47.

Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York.

Miller, Peckham & Dixon, for appellant. Root & Clarke, for petitioner. Appeal dismissed by consent.

STATE OF WASHINGTON v. MORRISON.

(Circuit Court of Appeals, Ninth Circuit. June 14, 1895.)

No. 236.

Appeal from the District Court of the United States for the Northern Division of the District of Washington.

Chas. Page, for appellees.

Case docketed and dismissed on motion of counsel for appellees.

TRAVERS V. AMERICAN CORDAGE CO.

(Circuit Court of Appeals, Second Circuit. December 7, 1894.)

Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York.

Briesen & Knauth, for appellant. Betts, Hyde & Betts, for appellee. Discontinued by consent.

THE VOLUNTEER.

MURRAY et al. v. NEW YORK, N. H. & H. R. CO.

(Circuit Court of Appeals, Second Circuit. November 14, 1894.)

No. 23.

Appeal from the District Court of the United States for the Eastern District of New York.

W. W. Goodrich, for claimants and appellants.

Henry W. Taft, for libelant and appellee.

Before WALLACE and LACOMBE, Circuit Judges, and TOWNSEND, District Judge. -----

No opinion. Affirmed.

YUCU v. McCARTHY, United States Marshal.

(Circuit Court of Appeals, Second Circuit. May 6, 1895.)

Appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New York.

G. M. Curtis, for appellant.

Wallace Macfarlane, for appellees.

No opinion. Appeal dismissed, pursuant to the sixteenth rule.