duty than that imposed by the collector, but that it must be decided on the protest of the importers, the only question being whether the importers were right in claiming, as they did, on the grounds they did by their protest. Although they claimed a lower rate of duty, and the third clause imposed the same rate, still the court held that that was the question to be decided; and that is the question to be decided here. I must follow that case, and decide here that the importers were wrong, and the collector right, upon the questions made by the protest, and that he assessed the proper rate of duty; and that reverses the decision of the board of general appraisers. I follow that case as an authority, and because $I$ think it is right. I think that is the meaning of the statute. The decision of the board of general appraisers is therefore reversed, and the decision of the collector affirmed.

## In re Blumenthal et al.

## (Ctroutt Court, S. D. New York January 7, 1892.)

Cubtoms Dutyeb-Clabsification-Colored Pencile-School Crayonso
Penoils of wood from four to seven inches in length, flled with material of various colors, and known in trade and commerce as "colored pencils," and often, especially since March 8, 1838, as "school crayons," are dutiable under Schedule \& of the tariff act of March 3, 1883, (Tariff Ind., New, 473,) as "pencils of wood flled with lead or other material, ${ }^{n}$ at 50 cents per gross, and 30 per cent. ad valorem, and not, under the same schedule; (Tariff Ind., New, 423,) as "crayons of all hlinds," at 20 per cent ad valorem. 1

At Law. Application by the importers, Blumenthal \& Boas, under the provisions of section 15 of the act of June 10, 1890, entitled "An act to simplify the laws in relation to the collection of the revenues," for a review by the United States circuit court of the decision of the board of United States general appraisers at the port of New York, affirming the decision of the collector of said port in the classification for duty of certain merchandise entered by the said importers in July, 1890, which was classified by the collector as "lead-pencils;" and duty assessed thereon at the rate of 50 cents per gross and 30 per cent. ad valorem, under the provisions of Schedule N; tariff act of March 3,1883, (Tariff Ind., New, 473.) The importers duly protested, claiming that the merchandise was "crayons," and dutiable only at 20 per cent. ad valorem, under Schedule N of said tariff act, (Tariff Ind., New, 423.) The board of United States general appraisers affirmed the decision of the collector, finding, among other things, that "the articles in question are small sticks of colored composition incased in wood. They are commonly calied 'colored pencils, "and are not known by the commercial designation of "crayons.'" The importers procured an order from the circuit court under the provisions of said act of congress, requiring the board of United States general appraisess to file their return in said court, and, after filing of the
same; procured an order for the taking of further evidence in the case before one of said board of general appraisers as an officer of the court. Under this order testimony was taken on behalf of the importers, and also in behalf of the government. It was shown that the merchandise in question consisted of small pencils of wood, coming in different lengths from four to seven inches; and contained in paper boxes holding half a dozen of the little pencils each. 'The importers' main contention was that, at the time of the passage of the tariff act of March 3. 1883, these articles were generally known in trade and commerce in the United States as "crayons," or "school crayons," and, to sustain this proposition, they offered the testimony of numerous trade witnesses. They also proved that the articles were used chiefly by children in school for drawing or coloring of maps and engravings, and that they were also used and sold as a sort of toy. The importers also proved that several of the leading domestic manufacturers of this class of goods had made the same article to a limited extent before 1883, and very largely during the succeeding years, and described it in their catalogues and upou the paper boxes as "school crayons." On behalf of the government, testimony was introduced showing that the articles were manufactured, as were all other colored pencils, from wood which was cut into the proper lengths, rounded and smoothed, divided in halves, grooved and filled with a colored composition composed of coloring matter, kaolin, and some fatty substance; that the halves were then glued together, and the article was polished and put up for the market; that all colored pencils were made in this way, with differences in the grade of the coloring matter which was used and in the finish of the goods. A number of trade witnesses were also produced by the government, who testified that they had known the article in question in March, 1883, and prior to that date, by the name of "colored pencils," and that they were bought and sold in the trade at that time and since by that designation. Several of the witnesses admitted that during the past four or five years these articles were sometimes called for and known in trade as "school crayons," but that their general designation was "colored pencils." Testimony was also produced by the government showing that at the time of the passage of the tariff act of March 3,1883 , there was a well-known article in trade which went by the name of "crayons," and that it was composed of chalky material, coming in different sizes, shapes, and colors, generally without covering, sometimes covered with paper, and occasionally enameled, and that such an article was the one commonly known in trade at that time as "crayons," and not the article in suit.

Hartley \& Coleman, for importers.
Edward Mitchell, U. S. Atty., and James T. Van Rensselaer, Asst. U. S. Atty.

Wheeler, District Judge. The tariff act of 1883, Schedule N, "Sundries," 423, laid a duty on "crayons of all kinds," and 473 a higher duty on "pencils of wood filled with lead or other material." The articles in question are pencils of wood filled with crayon material, and are,
in the trade, now sometimes called "crayons. This higher duty is laid upon these specific things particularly described. The nature of them is not changed, and they none the less remain these specific things by being sometimes, or even generally, called something else. If these are wood pencils, filled with crayon material, they are none the less pencils of wood filled, and dutiable as such. This is in accordance with the cases of Arthur v. Lahey, 96 U. S. 112; De Forest v. Lawrence, 13 How. 274; Maillard v. Lawrence, 16 How. 261; Robertson v. Perkins, 129 U. S. 233, 9 Sup. Ct. Rep. 279; and Robertson v. Glendenaing, 132 U. S. 158, 10 Sup. Ct. Rep. 44. In each of these cases there was a specific description which left no room for trade names. They decide that where an act of congress lays right hold of a thing, and says that that particular thing shall have a duty upon it thus and so, when it is that thing the duty cannot be got rid of by calling it something else, or giving it some other name. Looking at this evidence carefully, it does not appear to me clear that they have got to calling these things so universally "crayons" that we can say, as matter of fact, that the trade name is "crayon," but generally they are known as "pencils." Much less were they known as "crayons" in 1883, at the passage of this act. As they are filled with crayon material, there is some propriety in using the name "crayon;" but if they are of wood, and filled with that or other material, they would still be pencils of wood, although the wood, without any material, would not be a pencil. The decision of the board of United States general appraisers is affirmed.

## In re Blumlein et al.

(Otrcuit Oowt, S. D. New York. January 5, 1892.)

Costoms Doties-Tarify of 1883-Classitication-Sumatra Liaf Tobicco.
Unstemmed Sumatra leaf tobacco consisted of 37 bales, composed, as to marks and numbers, of three lots; the tobacco being packed in the usual manner in which Sumatra tobacco is imported, welghed by the United States weigher upon arrival; one bale in ton being sent to the appraiser's stores for examination, and being there examined by the United States examiner by opening each of the sample baies in the usual manner employed in making such examinations in the tobacco trade, and ten hands being withdrawn from each sample bale duly examined by the examiner, and found to consist entirely of leaves suitable in size and fineness of texture for cigar wrappers; and the hands being thereupon weighed by the examiner and the leaves counted, and the proportion of hands containing leaves requiring more than100 to weigh a pound, and those cpntaining leaves less than 100 to the pound, being. ascertained and separated; and the same proportions beíng calculated upon the sample bale and upon the lot represented by such sample bale; such proportion consisting, in the case of the first lot, of 20 per cent. of the tobacco found to be of leaves requiring more than $100^{\circ}$ to weigh a pound, and 80 per cent. of leaves running less than 100 to the pound; in the second lot, of 18 bales, all of the hands being found to contain leaves requiring less than 100 to the pound; in the third lot, of 9 bales, 60 per cent. being found to contain leaves requiring more than 100 to the pound, and 40 per cent.containing leaves of less than 100 to the pound; and the duty being thereupon assessed by the collector upon the tobacco at the rate of 75 cents per pound upon the proportion containing leaves requiting more than 100 to the pound, and 35 cents per pound upon the proportion consisting of leaves runining less than 100 to the pound: held, that the proceedings of the collector in the

