## WOOSTER V. HOWE MACHINE CO.

Circuit Court, S. D. New York. July 22, 1884.

## PATENTS FOR INVENTIONS.

Wooster v. Handy, ante, 51, followed. Bill dismissed.

In Equity.

67

BLATCHFORD, Justice. The decision herewith made, in *Wooster v. Handy, ante*, 51, requires that the bill in this case should be dismissed as to both of the reissued patents sued on, because of their invalidity as respects claims 1, 7, 8, and 10 of the Pipo reissue, and claims 8 and 9 of the Robjohn reissue; the dismissal to be with costs.

The same decision is made in the suits against the following defendants: The Singer Manufacturing Company, a New York corporation; the Wilcox & Gibbs Sewing-machine Company; the Domestic Sewing-machine Company, impleaded, etc.; Allen Schenck, impleaded, etc.; the Singer Manufacturing Company, a New Jersey corporation; and Charles B. Barker.

This volume of American Law was transcribed for use on the Internet

through a contribution from Maura L. Rees.