

## THE FERRERI.\*

*Circuit Court, E. D. New York.* December 14, 1882.

## CONVERSION—JURISDICTION—CASE AFFIRMED.

Decision of the district court in the same case *affirmed*. See *The Ferreri*, 9 FED. REP. 468.

In Admiralty.

*W. W. Goodrich*, for libelants.

*Lorenzo Ullo* for claimant.

BLATCHFORD, Justice. I have carefully examined the proofs in these cases, and considered the questions argued at the bar. The opinion of the district judge: sets forth the facts correctly; and I don-cur in his conclusions, that the; proofs show a right in the libelants to maintain a suit in rem against the vessel to recover the value of the resin; that, under, the averments in the libel, and its prayer a decree for damages for conversion cans be sustained; and that the libelants had a right to require the signing of the; bill of lading which they demanded from the master. Whether; they had a right to claim, the benefit of the, contract of shipment made by Michel with the. vessel is 590 a question not necessary to be decided. The discussion of the facts and the law applicable to the case by the district judge is so full and thorough that nothing can be added to its force.

Decrees must be entered for the amounts of damages awarded below, with interest from September 27, 1881, with the costs taxed in favor of the libelants below, and their costs in this court, the bills of lading deposited to be returned on payment.

\* Reported by R. D. & Wyllys, Benedict.

This volume of American Law was transcribed for use  
on the Internet  
through a contribution from [Mark A. Siesel](#).