YesWeScan: The FEDERAL CASES

WELLFORD V. MILLER.

Case No. 17,381. [1 Cranch, C. C. 514.]¹

Circuit Court, District of Columbia.

Nov. Term, 1808.

BONDS-PROFERT AND OYER.

A copy will not be received as over, when a profert has been made of the original. And if a copy is offered, the defendant may demur.

WELLFORD v. MILLER.

Debt on a bond, with profert of the original bond. The defendant demanded over, and the plaintiff produced a supposed copy only, it being said that the original was in the possession of the defendant; whereupon the defendant demurred because the profert was of the original, and the over was of a supposed copy.

C. Lee, for defendant, cited Thoresby v. Sparrow, 1 Wils. 16; Smith T. Woodward, 4 East, 587.

Mr. Youngs, contra. The plaintiff could not have declared upon it as a bond lost or mislaid, and when he drew the declaration he supposed that the defendant would be compelled to produce it, so as to enable him to give oyer. Read v. Brookman, 3 Term R. 151. In Darlington v. Groverman [Case No. 3,576], this court compelled the defendant to receive a copy as oyer.

FITZHUGH, Circuit Judge. That was under the act of assembly of Virginia, the bond being filed in another court.

THE COURT stopped Mr. Lee in reply, and adjudged the demurrer to be good. The fact that the bond was in the defendant's possession did not appear upon the record.

¹ [Reported by Hon. William Cranch, Chief Judge.]

This volume of American Law was transcribed for use on the Internet