INDEX.

[The references are to pages The asterisk (*) indicates that the case has been reversed.]

27FED.CAS. 27FED.CAS.—88 27FED.CAS.—89

ADMIRALTY.

Page

ADMINALTI.	
See, also, "Courts" "Shipping."	
Admiralty has no jurisdiction of the offense of obstruction a navigable	91
stream by a bridge.	91
Adoption.	

See "Parent and Child."

ALIENS.

The city court of Yonkers is a court having common-law jurisdiction, within Rev. St. § 2165, and may naturalize and alien.	607
The words, "if any person shall make, forge," etc., a certificate of natu-	
ralization (Act March 3, 1813, § 13), are intended to be general in their	709
operation, and are not confined to seamen.	
ALTERATION OF INSTRUMENTS.	
An oblige who has torn off the seal and canceled a bond, in consequence	
of fraud and imposition practiced by the obligor, may maintain an action	1278
on such bond, and set forth the special facts in the profert.	
APPEAL AND ERROR.	
The power to issue "all other writs not specially provided for by statue"	561
(Judiciary Act, § 14) only applies where jurisdiction already exists.	561
The nature of a writ of error, and when it lies, discussed in an opinion by	561
Clifford, J.	501
A finding of fact by the district court is not a subject of review by a writ	
of error when the record does not show that any rules of law were violat-	1114
ed, or that any erroneous construction of statute was applied to the facts	1114
proved.	
Where the record is actually returned and filed in due time, and the copy	
of the writ of error is correct, a mere clerical error in the return day in the	1097
original writ is immaterial, and is cured.	
On reversal of a judgment in an action brought by a writ of error from	
the district court, the circuit court may, if justice require, award a venire	967
facias de novo, triable at the bar of the bar of the circuit court.	

	Page
APPRENTICE.	
Where the indenture is void by the law of the state where made if the	
apprentice is carried out of the state, the master cannot hold him in an- other jurisdiction.	975
ARMY AND NAVY.	
See, also, "Perjury."	
Minors may be enlisted in the navy, but not in the army, without the con-	
sent of their parents of guardians.	1336
ARREST.	
See, also, "Criminal Law."	
A certified copy of an information filed for an offense against the laws	
of the United States, without copies of some oath or affirmation to facts	
showing probable cause to believe defendant guilty, does not authorize	1056
issuing a warrant of arrest.	
A marshal who receives a warrant to be served upon a circuit court com-	1000
missioner is bound to make return of his doings there-under.	1000
A United States attorney has no authority to take from the hands of the	
marshal warrants regularly issued to him by a circuit court commissioner,	1000
for the propose of deciding whether or not such warrants shall be execut-	1000
ed.	
An officer may hold a person upon a warrant without informing him that	236
he is arrested upon it.	4,50
ASSAULT AND BATTERY.	
Striking at a person, though no blow be inflicted, or raising the arm to	
strike, or holding up one's fist, if done in anger or a menacing manner,	359
constitutes an assault.	
The mere taking hold of another's coat, if done in anger, or a ruled and	250
insolent manner, or with a view to hostility constitutes an assault and bat-	359
tery.	
It is an assault to double the fist and run it at another, saying, "If you say	43
so again, I will knock you down.". It is an assault to threaten to strike a woman with an uplifted club if she	
open her mouth.	798
A person who flourishes or points a deadly weapon at another, intending	
personal harm, is guilty of an assault, within Act March 3, 1825 § 22,	930
although no battery has been committed.	,,,,,,

	Page
A person is guilty of the offense if he could have reached the person	
intended by extending his arm, so as to inflict a blow, although no blow	930
was actually given.	
If a man be present, and encourage an assault and battery, he is a princi-	806
pal.	000
Whether an assault was with a dangerous weapon or not may depend	
upon matter of fact, as upon the manner of the assault; and the court	1128
cannot declare, as a matter of law, that an assault, if committed with a	1120
belaying pin, was with a dangerous weapon.	

	Page
ASSIGNMENT.	
An assignee of a mortgage, or other chose in action, takes it subject to the same equity that it is subject to in the hands of the assignor.	1358
An assignee who might have obtained notice, and ought to have sought it, stands in no better situation than one having notice. ATTACHMENT.	1358
In the district court of the Southern district of New York, an attachment may be issued in aid of a common-law information prosecuted by the United States.	1326
ATTORNEY AND CLIENT.	
The court will strike an attorney from the roll for malpractice, though it be not indictable.	595
Upon an indictment for barratry, no evidence can be given of specific acts	595
without notice given Before the trial.	3,3
BAIL.	
See, also, "Criminal Law"	
The announcement of readiness to proceed to trial at the close of the term before adjournment will not entitle the prisoner to be released on bail, where he had previously obtained a postponement on the ground of	1338
absence of witnesses.	
A certificate in the usual form, by the treasury officials, that a certain bal-	
ance is due by the defendant to the United States, is not sufficient cause for bail.	1158
Where the indictment does not describe an indictable offense, the magis- trate has a discretion as to the amount of bail, and is not criminally liable for taking insufficient bail.	1157
An acknowledgment without the signatures of the parties certified by a	
justice of the peace is all that is required to make a recognizance valid and bligatory.	528
A recognizance of bail is not objectionable because embracing the	746
amounts required upon two separate indictments.	
Where the accused is admitted to bail pending consideration of objec- tions to the indictment, the recognizance is a binding obligation, though the indictment be eventually adjudged void.	746
A person under recognizance to appear at a certain place at a certain hour	
is not in default before the expiration of that hour, and he is not bound to appear elsewhere.	915

	Page
The cognizor must be called at the time and place when and where he was bound to answer, and an entry made of his default on the minutes of the commissioner, which he returns to the court, to show a breach of	915
the condition of the recognizance.	
It is not sufficient to aver and prove aliunde that the cognizor had in fact	
absconded, and did not intend to appear, and could not have appeared if	915
he had been called.	
Where defendant absconded, and the trial proceeded in his absence, and	
he was acquitted, that the estreat would be set aside on application of the	954
bail.	
A motion to set aside a forfeiture of a recognizance given for appearance	
to answer an indictment, on the ground of irregularities, will be denied,	1344
where defendant is a fugitive from justice.	
A motion to remit the forfeiture of a recognizance on the ground that	
the party, when called, was in the custody of a state officer, under war-	
rant issued out of the state court, will be denied on the ground that the	1356
question can be best determined on the trial of the action on the forfeited	
recognizance.	
A bond signed by a third person, whose name did not appear in the body	
thereof after its execution by defendant and another, does not import a	528
joint liability, and a joint action cannot be sustained thereon.	
BANKRUPTCY.	
A discharge in bankruptcy does not discharge debts due the United States.	873
Act 1867, § 44, punishing the fraudulent disposition of the goods of a	
debtor, obtained on credit, and remaining unpaid for within three months	
next before the commencement of proceedings in bankruptcy, is within	631
the power of congress, as a law "necessary and proper" for carrying the	
bankrupt law into effect.	
A deputy clerk, being authorized to act the same as the principal, has the	
right to administer oaths in bankruptcy; and such oaths are presumed to	101
be administered in the presence of the court, and by virtue of its authori-	131
ty.	
The repeal of the bankrupt law of 1800 by Act Dec 19, 1803, bars a	12.9
criminal prosecution thereunder.	438
An indictment under Rev St § 5132, will lie before an order of adjudica-	40
tion in bankruptcy.	49

	Page
In an indictment under Act 1867, § 44, all matters necessary to constitute	614
the offense as defined therein must be pleaded.	014
The description of the goods should be as definite as in a declaration in	614
trover.	014
The word "feloniously" should be omitted, the offenses being indictable	614
as misdemeanors.	014
An indictment for obtaining goods under false pretenses (Rev St § 5132,	49
cl. 9) need not charge an intent to defraud creditors generally.	77
The negative averment that the accused was in fact not carrying on busi-	
ness and dealing in the regular course of trade when he obtained credit	49
for goods on false pretenses is not necessary.	
Under an indictment based upon Rev St § 5132, cl 9, it must be shown	
that defendant falsely represented that he was carrying on business in the	490
ordinary course of trade, and that such representations induced the seller	470
to part with his goods.	
Under clause 10, it must be shown that the intent to defraud existed in	
the mind of the bankrupt against his creditors generally, and not against	490
the particular creditor from whom the goods were obtained.	
To sustain a conviction for concealing assets from the assignee, it is not	1170
necessary to prove a demand by the assignee.	1170
To sustain a conviction for a fraudulent disposal of goods, it is not neces-	
sary that such goods shall have been obtained within three months prior	1170
to the commencement of the proceedings in bankruptcy.	
The compulsory examination of a bankrupt under oath cannot be given	616
in evidence against him on a criminal proceeding.	010
BANKS AND BANKING.	
See, also, "Internal Revenue."	
Customs bonds left with a bank for collection, and paid with the proceeds	
of notes made by the principal, and bearing forged indorsements, will be	905
<i>held</i> discharged, and the bank cannot enforce the priority of payment of	903

of notes made by the principal, and bearing forged indorsements, will be *held* discharged, and the bank cannot enforce the priority of payment of the United States out of the assets of the principal.

	Page
BILLS, NOTES, AND CHECKS.	
The payee of a bill drawn by a public officer upon a general agent of the	
United States must show that value was given therefor, where a strong	623
ground is made out to show a want of consideration.	
Stolen bank notes, received in good faith in the course of business, will	716
not be ordered to be restored to the person from whom stolen.	,
BONDS.	
See, also, "Bail"; "Customs Duties"; "Internal Revenue"; "Office and Offic fice"; "Shipping."	er"; "Post Of-
A printed form of bond was signed by persons who had consented to	
become sureties. The blanks were subsequently filled out without ex-	82
press authority from them, and the same was subsequently accepted by	82
the United States <i>Held</i> not a valid bond, as to the sureties.	
Conditions inserted in a statutory bond in excess of the statute require-	
ment may be rejected as surplusage, and the bond sustained as to the	53, 64
others.	
A bond taken under an act of congress is not governed by the local law,	
but is, in contemplation of law, given at the seat of the federal govern-	1305
ment.	
It is no defense to a bond that it was executed on the agreement that it	
should be signed by another, and that such other was falsely personated,	635
when defendant procured such personation.	
The assignment of the breach of a condition by a direct negative of its	1278
words is good.	12,0
The question whether nil debet is properly pleaded to a declaration on a	1281
penal bond assigning breaches can only be raised by demurrer.	1201
A plea seeking to avoid a bond for being illegally taken colore officii	967
should specially state all the facts which show such irregularity.	907
If a plea of performance be too narrow, or contain a flat negative pregnant,	967
it is bad.)01
If defendant on over does not set out the whole of the bond, plaintiff may	967
relieve himself by praying it to be enrolled.)01
Separate profert need not be made of the condition of the bond, where	1278
the declaration states the condition in assigning the breach.	
Bountion	

Bounties.

See "Fisheries."

Bridges.

Page

See "Navigable Waters."

CITIZEN.

See, also, "Aliens"; "Civil Rights."	
Free persons of color born within the allegiance of the United States have	785
always been regarded as citizens.	/03
The emancipation of a native-born slave by the thirteenth amendment re-	
moved the disability of slavery, and made him a citizen of the United	785
States, subject, however, to any lawful restriction imposed upon his right	/03
to vote, or other powers or privileges.	
CIVIL RIGHTS.	
See, also, "Citizens."	
The civil rights bill is not a penal statute, but a remedial one, and is to be	705
liberally construed.	785
A prosecution for burglary is "a cause affecting" the owner of the building	
entered, within the meaning of Act April 9, 1866, § 3, giving the federal	705
courts jurisdiction where the rights secured thereby cannot be enforced	785
in the state courts.	
The circuit court has jurisdiction of a prosecution for burglary, where the	
owner of the building entered is, on account of color, incompetent by the	785
law of the state to testify in support of the indictment as a white person	/03
might, though the indictment does not aver the statute denying tie right.	
Whether an indictment for unlawfully preventing certain citizens of	
African descent from registering or voting at a municipal election should	506
charge that the acts were done on account of race, color or previous con-	300
dition of servitude, quære.	
A clerk in charge of the reception of travelers at a hotel is liable under	
Act March 1, 1875, for refusing accommodations to a negro traveler by	127
reason of his color.	
COMMON LAW.	
In the absence of statutory provisions, the federal courts resort to the	390
common law for guidance in the construction of legal terms and phrases.	570
COMMON SCOLD.	
An indictment charging defendant with being a common slanderer or	
common brawler is not sufficient. It should charge defendant as a com-	906
mon scold or common barrator, in technical language.	
I have the trial on an indictment for being a common goald neuticular in	

Upon the trial on an indictment for being a common scold, particular instances of scolding may be given in evidence. 907

	Page
The offense of being a common scold is punishable as a nuisance at com-	
mon law, by fine and imprisonment, the punishment by ducking being obsolete.	907
CONSPIRACY.	
See, also, "Internal Revenue."	
A conspiracy is where two or more persons confederate or combine to do	
an unlawful act, and it may be proved by direct and positive evidence, or	1144
by facts showing that there was a concert of action and a unity of purpose	1144
in effecting an unlawful object.	
Both the agreement or combination to do an unlawful act, and an act	
done by one or more in pursuance thereof, are necessary to constitute the	197
offense.	
An agreement whereby a commissioner taking evidence in support of a	
claim against the United States is to have a contingent fee of \$5,000 is	181
not necessarily criminal.	
Employés, if acting without any illegal purpose, may quietly and peaceably	
leave the service of their employer by concerted action at a given time, so	1312
long as they do not violate any contract to remain longer.	
It is unlawful for employes whose employment is at an end to combine to	
induce others to quit the same service at the same time, but before their	1312
employment has expired.	
A conspiracy to obstruct the mail in violation of Rev St § 3995, is a vi-	
olation of section 5440, as a conspiracy to commit an offense against the	1312
United States.	
Where the existence of an unlawful conspiracy is proved, an overt act by	
one of the parties thereto becomes the act of all, and they are all alike	181
guilty.	

	Page
The fact that the overt acts charged and proven were severally criminal is no answer in an indictment for conspiracy, and such overt acts may be proven to show the existence of the conspiracy charged.	813
Identity of conspiracy is not destroyed by the connection at a subsequent time of new parties therewith.	197
A conspiracy to do an unlawful act, formed in one district, and in part executed there, is punishable in that district, though it was consummated in other parts of the United States.	181
The defendants may be tried in any district where the overt acts were committed.	813
An indictment will lie for a conspiracy to cheat by selling a free negro as a slave.	1277
The time and place of conspiracy must be alleged in the indictment.	1260
On a joint indictment against several, the acquittal of some of defendants does not prevent the conviction of two shown to have conspired.	813
It is not necessary to set forth the county in which the alleged conspiracy was formed, and it may be rejected as surplusage.	1144
Where the syndic of a firm is charged with conspiracy to defraud the United States in preferring a false claim, there is no conclusive presump- tion that he had acquired all the knowledge from the books of the firm which a proper execution of his trust would have required him to gain.	181
Upon prima facie proof of a conspiracy, the acts and declarations of each conspirator are admissible upon the trial of any one of them.	1312
The jury must be first satisfied of a common, design, confederation, and purpose, before the acts and declarations of one participating are compe- tent evidence against a confederate to prove the scope and purpose of the conspiracy.	1312
CONSTITUTIONAL LAW.	
While the power to regulate commerce among the states is vested in con- gress, the judicial power cannot act until congress prescribes the rule in regard to commerce.	686
Congress has power to pass a taw imposing a license duty on those who are engaged in a business which is a subject of a police regulation by the states.	810
Act May 8, 1830, providing a new remedy, is not invalid as affecting prior vested rights.	932

	Page
A state law cannot take away rights and privileges secured by the consti- tution and laws of the United States.	711
In the absence of an express prohibition, a grant of power to congress does not prevent the states from continuing to act on subjects within the grant until congress legislates fully concerning it, and so as to conflict with the acts of the state.	91
Defendant is not put in jeopardy where the jury are impaneled and sworn before he has been arraigned or has pleaded to the indictment, and after he has been arraigned and has pleaded a new jury may be impaneled and sworn.	810
After the jury is sworn the court will not quash the indictment for a fatal variance, the prisoner having a right to a verdict. CONSULS.	479
A foreign consul is indictable and triable in the federal courts for the common-law offense of sending anonymous letters and threats, with intent to extort money.	714
CONTEMPT.	
The authority to punish for contempt follows as a necessary incident in establishing a tribunal of justice.	91
CONTINUANCE.	
It is no cause for a continuance that defendant has not been furnished with a copy of the indictment and a list of the jurors, if he has not applied for them.	1065
In the case of felony the prisoner is entitled, after being furnished with the names of the witnesses against him, to a reasonable time in which to bring testimony from the counties in which such witnesses live.	1338
The court will not postpone a criminal trial on the ground of the absence of witnesses, unless the evidence to be given by such witnesses is perti- nent to the issue, and the witnesses are material.	1192
A criminal trial will not be postponed to enable defendant to obtain evi- dence which would have an influence upon the mind of the court in mit- igation of punishment, but which is not legally admissible before a jury.	1192
The affidavit for the continuance on the ground of the absence of witness- es residing out of the district must particularly set forth in what matters their testimony is material.	1192
Proper practice where a postponement is desired by plaintiff for the purpose of obtaining testimony of new witnesses.	1333

	Page
COSTS.	
No costs can be allowed, other than those specifically enumerated in the	310
act of February 26, 1853.	910
COUNTERFEITING.	
Where an original paper executed with printed signatures is not good un-	
der the statute, yet, where it purports to be a genuine certificate, it is a	976
felony, under Act March 3, 1825, § 19, to counterfeit it.	
The law presumes the intention in passing counterfeit paper to be to de-	1051
fraud any person who may suffer a loss by receiving it as genuine.	1091
An indictment will lie under Act June 30, 1864, § 11, for aiding and as-	
sisting in the making of a counterfeit plate from which national counterfeit	901
banknotes could be printed.	
Counterfeiting an indorsement on a post note of the Bank of the United	1343
States <i>held</i> not an offense under section 18 of its charter.	IJ-IJ
Intoxication is no defense to an indictment for passing counterfeit notes,	
if defendant was possessed of his reason, and was capable of knowing	902
whether the note he passed was good or bad.	
An indictment for counterfeiting, not found within two year subsequent	
to the commission of the acts charged, is barred by the statute of limita-	1070
tions.	
The offenses of passing counterfeit coin at different times and on different	216
occasions may be joined in the same indictment.	210
An indictment for counterfeiting United States coin, under section 20 of	
the crimes act of 1825, need no* aver an intent to pass the coin as true,	506
nor an intent to defraud.	
Contents and sufficiency of an indictment for uttering as true a forged	
note of the Bank of the United States after the expiration of the term for	179
which the corporation was created.	

	Page
On an indictment for counterfeiting notes of the Bank of the United States, an intent to defraud some corporation or person must be shown.	5
The notes stated in the indictment and siren in evidence as counterfeited, and those alleged to be counterfeited, must be shown to be the same. Defendant may be convicted of uttering or passing spurious notes upon	5
proof that he sold and delivered them as spurious, with intent that they	80
should be passed upon the public as genuine (Act June 30, 1864, § 10.). On trial of an indictment for passing counterfeit notes of a certain bank,	
evidence of passing a counterfeit note of another bank at another time is not admissible.	902
But evidence is admissible of the passing of similar counterfeit notes, or	
of passing notes of a different bank, at the same time, or of having them in possession.	902
COURTS.	
See, also, "Admiralty"; "Appeal"; "Criminal Law"; "Habeas Corpus"; "Manda	mus."
Comparative anthority of federal and state courts: Process	
The execution of writs of mandamus issued by the federal circuit court	
cannot be interfered with by the process or judgments of the state courts,	1077
and such interference is illegal and void.	
A county judge who has levied a tax to pay a judgment against the county,	
in obedience to a writ of mandamus issued by a federal circuit court, is	1077
guilty of a contempt where he annuls the levy in obedience to a subse-	10//
quent order of the state court.	
Federal courts—Jurisdiction in general.	
The grant of powers to congress over certain subjects does not invest any	
particular courts with that authority until expressly conferred by congress,	91
except in the case of jurisdiction expressly given to the supreme court.	
Where the jurisdiction of the federal courts has once attached, a change	
in the relation or condition of the parties in the progress of the cause, will	38
not oust such jurisdiction.	
Congress has power to confer jurisdiction on the federal courts of suits	
brought against defendants nonresident in the districts where the suits are	387
brought.	
Such jurisdiction has been conferred as to suits against nonresidents com-	
menced in the state courts by attachment, and removed to the federal	387
courts, by Act March 3, 1875, §§ 2, 4.	

	Page
Previous to the passage of Act June 17, 1844, the federal courts had	
no jurisdiction to hear, try, and punish offenses committed in the Indian	1296
country west of Arkansas, and such act was not retrospective.	
The federal courts have no jurisdiction to punish the crime of murder	
committed within a fort of the United States existing in the state of	1288
Kansas at the time such state was admitted into the Union.	
-Grounds of jurisdiction.	
Where jurisdiction depends upon a party, it is the party on the record.	38
-Supreme court.	
The constitutional provision vesting in the supreme court "original juris-	
diction" in all eases affecting ambassadors, other public ministers, and	713
consuls, does not make that jurisdiction exclusive.	
-Circuit courts	
Under section 11, Judiciary Act, giving the circuit court jurisdiction of all	
crimes and offenses cognizable under the authority of the United States,	-10
such courts have jurisdiction to try an indictment against a foreign consul	713
for offenses committed in this country.	
Where there is an obstruction to commerce which operates to the ir-	
reparable injury of an individual, or of the United States, the federal cir-	686
cuit court may act to prevent the injury.	
The circuit court has jurisdiction over a revolt on a vessel which has not	
cleared, but is lying at anchor in a navigable stream where the tide ebbs	1290
and flows.	
The circuit court has jurisdiction of a creditor's bill brought by the United	
States, where the matter in dispute exceeds, exclusive of costs, the sum	1344
or value of \$500 (Act Sept 24, 1789, § 11.).	
-District courts.	
The jurisdiction of the district court for the district of Oregon over offens-	
es committed in Alaska does not extend to the crime of distilling spirits	1021
therein without paying a tax therefor (Act July 27, 1868, § 7.).	
A case will not be remitted to the circuit, court from the district court ex-	
cept when it appears that the questions of law are, in the judgment of the	265
district court, of so grave a character that it must judicially declare them	365
both difficult and important.	
The fact that the judge had given strong and decided views of the law in	
a charge to the grand jury is no ground for remitting the case to the circuit	365
court.	

	Page
-Procedure.	
The practice of the court may be established without the existence of a	1006
positive, written rule.	1326
The forms of process and procedure of the federal courts in actions at	
common law within the 13 original states are the same as those employed	1006
therein May 8, 1792, except so far as the federal courts may have pre-	1326
scribed alterations.	
Act May 19, 1828, § 1, does not apply within states which were members	1326
of the Union before September 29, 1789.	1320
The practice and jurisdiction of the federal circuit court as a court of eq-	111
uity cannot be controlled by the practice of the state courts.	444
Criminal proceedings in the federal courts are not governed by the laws	1056
of the several states, except as provided by act of congress.	1050
The state regulations for the procurement of grand and petit jurors to	
serve in the federal courts, as well as their Qualifications and exemptions,	727
are adopted by Acts Sept 24, 1789, § 29; May 13, 1800; July 20, 1840.	
Peremptory challenges in criminal cases in the federal courts are regulated	707
by the common law.	727

CRIMINAL LAW.

See, also, "Arrest"; "Assault and Battery"; "Bail"; "Bankruptcy"; "Common Scold"; "Conspiracy"; "Constitutional Law"; "Continuance"; "Counterfeiting"; "Courts"; "Disorderly House"; "Dueling"; "Elections and Voters"; "Extradition"; "False Pretenses"; "Forgery"; "Fraud": "Gaming"; "Grand Jury"; "Habeas Corpus"; "Highways"; "Homicide"; "Indians"; "Indictment and Information"; "Internal Revenue"; "Jury"; "Larceny"; "Literary Property"; "Mayhem"; "Neutrality Laws"; "New Trial"; "Obstructing Justice"; "Pardon"; "Perjury"; "Piracy"; "Postoffice"; "Receiving Stolen Goods"; "Riot"; "Robbery"; "Treason"; "Witness."

	Page
In general.	
A doubt whether an act charged in an indictment is embraced in the	742
criminal prohibition must be resolved In favor of the accused.	
Where a penal statute is complete without certain words, and giving them	1210
effect will render the whole act meaningless, they should be rejected as	1310
surplusage. Criminal liability.	
Insanity, to constitute a defense, need only to have existed at the moment	
when the act was charged to have been committed.	1074
A person who can discriminate a right from a wrong act is liable to pun-	
ishment, and his acts are the best test of his sanity.	1072
The concealment of the offense, as well as flight from justice, and a ju-	
dicious use of the money stolen, by a thief, show a knowledge of the	1072
offense.	
Where there is conflicting testimony on the question of the sanity of the	1054
prisoner, he is entitled to the benefit of a reasonable doubt.	1074
Principal and accessory.	
An accessory after the fact cannot be tried as a principal unless the indict-	
ment show either that the principal has been convicted, or has fled from	90
justice, or cannot be found.	
Jurisdiction.	
Extent of the criminal jurisdiction of the United States stated by Field C.	1132
J.	
The federal courts have no common-law jurisdiction to try and punish	
crimes, but only such jurisdiction as is expressly authorized by the consti-	91, 694
tution or by congress.	
The federal courts have jurisdiction of all criminal cases arising under the federal laws.	1147
The circuit court has jurisdiction to inquire into and pass upon all acts charged by competent authority to be public offenses, and presented to it	746
by such authority for its consideration.	/40
The jurisdiction of the United States to punish crimes extends to vessels	
sailing under their flag, wherever they may be.	1132
Except offenses committed on the high seas, or in places in the states	
which are under the exclusive jurisdiction of the United States, there are	
no felonies against the United States cognizable by courts of the United	1060
States, except those which are expressly made such by act of congress.	

	Page
"High seas" (Act April 30, 1790, c. 9, § 8) mean any waters on the sea coast which are without the boundaries of low-water mark.	899
An offense committed in a bay which is entirely landlocked and inclosed	
by reefs is not committed on the high seas within Act March 26, 1804, c. 40.	871
The federal courts have jurisdiction, under the act of 1825, over their own citizens, in foreign countries, for offenses committed on tide waters.	822
An American vessel was wrecked within 150 feet of the Mexican shore, and completely broken up and destroyed Defendant recovered a part of her treasure, buried in the sand under water, and converted the same <i>Held</i> , that the United States courts had no jurisdiction either over the	1132
place or property.	
The court, in a capital case, arrested judgment on its own motion, for	000
want of jurisdiction, and directed that the prisoner be turned over to the	923
state authorities.	
Preliminary hearing: Arrest, commitment, custody, and discharge of accused.	
No instruction or official authorization is required for the institution of a	1100
criminal prosecution, and it is the duty of a judge to issue a warrant on	1123
the complaint of any citizen.	
A United States commissioner has power to let to bail one brought be-	015
fore him on a criminal complaint, pending the proceeding, in those states where justices of the peace have a similar power.	915
The commissioner has power to adjourn to another time and place, as incident to the power to hear and determine, but he cannot adjourn in	015
	915
the absence of the accused. Pending the hearing of a motion for new trial on conviction as a common	
scold, defendant was required to give bail for appearance and for good	907
behavior.	907
In order to justify a committing magistrate in holding the accused for trial,	
it is only necessary that the evidence should show probable cause to be-	1303
lieve that the prisoner committed the offense charged.	1303
A person arrested in one district cannot be removed to another until after	
examination and commitment, but is entitled to examination in the district	1056
in which arrested.	£0 <u>0</u> 0
A warrant for the removal of a prisoner to another district, in which he	
was indicted, will not be granted, in the absence of the original affidavit	128

	Page
or information or indictment, or duly-certified copies thereof, or proof of	
the commission of the offense.	
Limitation of prosecution.	
Act April 30, 1790, § 32, limiting the prosecution of offenses not capital	
to two years, is applicable to common-law misdemeanors, in the District	595, 1124
of Columbia.	
The finding of an informal presentment is not the finding or instituting of	1124
the indictment, so as to take the case out of the statute.	1124
There must be a leaving of one's home, residence, or place of abode with-	
in the district, or a concealing of one's self therein, to avoid detection or	212
punishment for some offense against the United States, to constitute a	414
"fleeing from justice," under 1 St 117, § 32.	
Control of prosecution.	
The United States district attorney has no absolute power to dismiss a	
criminal charge while an examination of the accused is proceeding before	984
a commissioner, or the grand jury.	
After indictment found, and before trial commenced, the district attorney	984
has absolute power to enter a nolle prosequi.	904
The district attorney has power, under control of the court, at any time	1350
before a jury is impaneled, to enter a nolle prosequi.	
Arraignment and plea.	
In cases of felony the prisoner must be arraigned in the criminal bar, or	521, 543
dock.	J 41 , J-J
The prisoner charged with a felony need not hold up his hand when	543
called, if he admits himself to be the person indicted.	JTJ
In misdemeanors, as well as in felonies, two or more pleas in abatement,	750
not repugnant to each other, may be pleaded together.	190
On demurrer to an indictment, where it is not suggested that defendant	
has any defense thereto, judgment absolute will he rendered against him	673
on overruling the demurrer.	
Pleas of the general issue and the statute of limitations, being double	1070
pleading, are not allowable, and the special plea will be stricken out.	20,0

	Page
Time and place of trial. A motion to bring on the trial will take precedence of a motion for an	
attachment against absent witnesses.	1192
Conduct of trial.	
Practice of abusing witnesses and attacking absent persons by counsel, in	
arguments to the jury, commented on.	819
When several persons are charged in one indictment with the same of-	
fense, each defendant has a right to be tried separately.	1041
Trials for misdemeanors may be had, after service of summons upon the	
accused, without his actual presence in court, especially if he be repre-	1030
sented by counsel.	
The accused is not entitled to the minutes of the proceedings before the	
grand jury, nor, in the absence of strong reasons to the contrary, should	1275
they be furnished him.	
Where there has been no preliminary examination, it is within the discre-	
tion of the court to order a list of the witnesses sworn before the grand	1275
jury to be furnished the accused.	
Upon an indictment for a nuisance in keeping a public gaming house, the	1357
question, "who dealt the cards?" is objectionable as too general.	
Counsel will not be permitted to argue a question of law to the jury, in	1347
contradiction of the previously expressed opinion of the court.	1317
Evidence.	
The court Will take judicial notice of the public statutes of the state re-	673
ferred to in the indictment.	013
The examination of a witness before an examining court, where the wit-	
ness has since died, is competent evidence upon the trial of the party for	490
the same offense.	
The rule that the testimony of a deceased witness may be given in ev-	
idence on a second trial between the same parties does not apply to a	1307
criminal case.	
Words accompanying acts are admissible to show the intent.	236
The statements of a party charged with absconding, made on his way	
from the place of his residence, as to his intention of returning, is compe-	490
tent evidence to disprove the charge.	
Where the evidence shows that defendant made a false statement about	696
the circumstances of the commission of the crime charged, he may show	

	Page
that he had good reason to believe at the time that the statement was	
true. False and contradictory statements, and falsification of records by defen-	
dant, and special circumstances not consistent with his innocence, may all	696
be considered in determining his guilt.	(01
Confessions extorted from the prisoner cannot be used against him.	631
Confessions to be excluded from the jury must have been made by the	190
prisoner under some hope of advantage, or extorted by some apprehen- sion of danger.	189
Confessions made to an examining magistrate under hopes excited by	
statements that his punishment might be mitigated are not admissible.	580
While a confession made upon promise of favor is not admissible, the	
fact that the prisoner went to the place where the property was secreted,	798
and identified it, is admissible.	
The whole confession must be given in evidence if any part is given, but	(0.1. 1000
the jury is not bound to accept it all as true.	624, 1233
Good general character avails a defendant only in a doubtful case.	902
Proof of good character may afford good ground for a presumption of	
innocence if there is doubt of guilt, but it will not overcome satisfactory	1144
proof of guilt.	
A reasonable doubt is a doubt for which a reason may be assigned, not	
necessarily sufficient to convince another, but such as may properly influ-	1312
ence a juror honestly endeavoring to perform his duty.	
Jury.	
The jury are not the judges of the law in the federal courts.	810
The question whether one fact can be inferred from another is a question of law, to be decided by the court.	1347
The right of the jury to decide the law as well as the facts results only	
from their power to find a general verdict.	1347
Where the jurisdiction depends upon facts to be found by the jury, the	
latter may, under the direction of the court as to matter of law, affirm,	0.70
through the medium of a general verdict, whether there is or is not juris-	950
diction.	
The court may require that the constitutionality of the act on which the	810
indictment is founded shall be argued to the court, instead of the jury.	010

	Page
After the jury is sworn in a capital case, and the cause has been opened,	
the court, without the prisoner's consent, cannot discharge a juror at his own request.	696
A jury sworn, even in a capital case, may be discharged by the court un- der any sudden and uncontrollable emergency, and such discharge is no bar to another trial.	1067
The prosecuting attorney, after the jury are impaneled and witnesses sworn, has no right to enter a nolle prosequi, because the evidence is not sufficient to convict, which will not have the effect of a verdict of acquit- tal.	1067
The jury having been discharged after being out only about four hours and reporting that they were equally divided and could not agree, the court was divided on the question whether the discharge was justifiable	504
under the circumstances.	
Verdict: Judgment: Sentence.	
One good count in an indictment will sustain a general verdict although the others are bad.	604
Where a sealed verdict is brought in by consent of the parties, the court	
will not permit the jury to be questioned as to their finding, but will order	604
them to be polled.	004
The court will not delay judgment merely to give defendant time to dis- cover new evidence on which to ask a new trial.	696
In misdemeanor cases in the federal courts, the court, and not the jury,	22
should assess the fine.	23
Where a statute merely alters the punishment of a common-law offense,	
the statutory punishment may be inflicted, although the indictment does	187
not conclude against the form of the statute.	
In cases of statutory crimes punishable by fine, or by fine and imprison-	
ment, the federal courts may sentence defendant to confinement in jail until the fine is paid.	822
A prisoner confined in a New York jail under a sentence which did not	
fix the place of confinement is not entitled to the deduction of five days	977
during every month, under Act March 3, 1875, but to the deduction al-	9//
lowed by Rev St § 5543.	
Review.	
A writ of error dots not lie from the supreme court to the circuit court.	551

	Page
If the alleged error be in the judgment itself, and not in the process, a	561
writ of error does not lie in the same court to correct it.	5
A writ of error coram vobis does not lie in the circuit court, either from	561
its own judgment, or the judgment of the district court. CUSTOMS DUTIES.	
See, also, "Forfeiture"; "Seizure."	
Customs laws.	
The revenue acts of 1799 and 1863 apply to commercial intercourse be-	100.0
tween Canada and the united States as well as to other foreign countries.	1030
The contemporaneous exposition by the government of an act prohibiting	
certain importations, followed by the concurrent practical construction for	710
30 years, will govern, as against the claim of the government to a different	718
construction.	
Rates of duty.	
To authorize the entry of small pieces of bolt iron under the name of	
"chain links," it must be proved that they have been previously known in	958
commerce by that name.	
Where bar or bolt iron has been changed by subsequent manufacture, it	
ceases to be subject to duty as such, although it may not have become a	958
new and distinct manufacture, having a new name or use.	
A vessel sailing in ballast from Boston, by way of New York, to San Fran-	
cisco, for a cargo to Europe, is not engaged in "coastwise" trade, within	460
Act June 1, 1872, § 10; and articles withdrawn from bond, and used in	
repairing her, are exempt from duty. Trade between the Atlantic and Pacific ports of the United States is "for-	
eign trade," and not "coastwise trade," within Act June 1, 1872, § 10.	460
Invoice: Entry: Appraisal.	
An oath taken under Act March 1, 1823, not so modified as to conform	
to the provisions of Act March 3, 1863, is not sufficient to support an	1036
entry, and will work a forfeiture of the goods.	
Under Act Aug 30, 1842, the price or value of the goods must be stated	
in the invoice according to the actual market value or wholesale price at	2.40
the time of purchase in the principal markets of the country from which	240
the imports are made.	
Goods imported by the manufacturer must be invoiced at the actual mar-	1099
ket value at the time and place of manufacture (Act March 3, 1863).	1099

	Page
"Market value" is the price at which the manufacturer holds the goods for sale, and which purchasers are willing to pay in the ordinary course of trade.	1099
Evidence is not admissible to show that there was not in fact such an actual market value.	1099
The cost of production, with the addition of a manufacturer's profit, is admissible as tending to show market value, when no evidence of sales can be given.	1099
The cost of the raw materials is to be taken at the market price of such material at the time when the manufacture of the goods was completed. The sum at which an agent who purchased goods for his principal sur-	1099
rendered them to the latter, because he was unable to pay more, is not the proper invoice price; otherwise where the agent violated his authority in purchasing the goods.	1115
The government is not bound by the acts of its consul in certifying to the correctness of an invoice, when based upon false and fraudulent statements.	1099
In assessing ad valorem duties on given weights, the actual weight when landed governs.	75
The merchant appraisers in whose presence the packages must be examined by the customhouse officer under Act 1799, c. 22, § 67, must be in a situation to be able to witness the examination, and to see and testify to a part, at least, of the contents of each package.	340
Under Rev. St. §§ 2927, 2928, there can be an appraisement for an abate- ment of duties for damage sustained to the goods during the voyage after entry and payment of the estimated amount.	523
Within a year from the entry a collector may make a reliquidation, notwithstanding a previous liquidation and payment of the duties, which will be binding upon the importer in the absence of an appeal.	521
Neither accident, mistake, nor innocence of fraudulent intention is a suf- ficient defense to an information for forfeiture of a package of goods con- taining an article not described in the invoice (Act May 28, 1830.).	401
But evidence of accident or mistake may be given to rebut the inference of fraudulent intention.	405
The decision of the collector as to the rate and amount of duties is final and conclusive, under Rev St § 31, unless the owner, within 10 days af-	1276

ter the ascertainment and liquidation, appeal therefrom to the secretary of	Page
the treasury.	
In the absence of such appeal, the courts cannot grant relief.	1276
Manifest.	
Articles purchased for the ship's equipment to replace articles lost or de-	
teriorated by use are not subject to duty, and need not be entered in the manifest.	264
Hempen cables and hawsers are not "vessel and cabin stores" (1 Stat 644, § 23), nor are they "sea stores" (Id § 45).	264
Importations from Canada <i>held</i> governed by Act March 2, 1821, and not	
by Act August 30, 1842, § 19.	187
A horse brought in from adjacent foreign territory as a mere instrument	
of conveyance in the prosecution of a temporary journey, is not within	315
Act 1821, § 1, and no manifest thereof is necessary.	5-5
The master is not liable for not entering on a manifest goods brought	
on board a foreign vessel, and concealed by the steward (Act March 2,	1283
1799.).	
An information will lie directly against a vessel for the recovery of the	
penalty for importing goods not included in the manifest without a previ-	669, 672
ous prosecution of the master.	
In such suit proof that the master had no actual knowledge that the goods	
were on board will not exempt the vessel from liability.	672
The district court has jurisdiction on an information against a vessel and	
her master alleging importation of merchandise not included in the mani-	669
fest to enforce the penalty against the vessel without a trial by jury.	
A suit to recover the penalty against the master of the vessel for the im-	
portation of merchandise not included in the manifest is a suit at common	669
law, and he is entitled to a trial by jury.	
An information against a vessel and her master charging the importation	
of merchandise not included in the manifest may be amended without	669
terms in respect to allegations of ownership of the vessel.	
On an information against a vessel and her master for the penalty for the	
importation of merchandise not included in the manifest tried as a civ-	
il cause of admiralty and maritime jurisdiction where the answer of the	669, 672
vessel does not except to such joinder but that of the master does, the	-, , ,
information will be dismissed as to him and a decree entered against the	
vessel.	

	Page
If the master make report of arrival he is not liable to the penalty (Act	
March 2, 1799, § 30), though he do not repair to the office of the princi-	779
pal officer of customs for that purpose.	
Unlading.	
Goods free of duty cannot be lawfully unladen and delivered without a	
written permit from the collector and naval officer (Act March 2, 1799, §	954
50.).	
Mackerel, the property of an American citizen, caught in an American	
vessel by an American seaman, and shipped in an American vessel from	954
a foreign port of transshipment, cannot be landed under such section	
without a written permit.	
Innocence of intention cannot, any more than ignorance of law, afford a	
defense to the master or owner of a vessel for a violation of Act March	954
2, 1799, § 50. The number of the second test is the second test in the second	
The removal of dutiable goods from the vessel to the wharf is an un-	1(1
lading, within Act July 18, 1866, and, where no permit was granted, will	161
subject them to a forfeiture.	
Goods were taken at night in a boat from the vessel, and when partly un-	1046
loaded on the wharf the witness discovered himself, and the goods were	1246
all returned to the vessel <i>Held</i> not a landing, within Act March 2, 1709. Act March 2, 1700, $\$$ 27 which we have the well-adims on offense employed.	
Act March 2, 1799, § 27, which makes the unlading an offense, applies	1246
only to the captain and mate.	
Liability for duties: Lien: Actions.	
Although included in the invoice, goods lost on the voyage are not subject	75
to duty.	
Payment of duties into the state treasury or to the Confederate govern-	40.4 1020
ment, on goods imported at a place entirely in control of the rebel forces during the war of the Rebellion, will not discharge the duties.	494, 1029
A deputy collector has no authority to waive a tender proposed to be	75
made by importers, by acknowledging a tender when none is made. The lien on imported goods for duties is relinquished by the taking of	
bond and security therefor, and delivery to the consignee.	34
Violations of law: Forfeiture.	
A bona fide purchaser of goods imported at a fraudulent undervaluation	
before the government has instituted proceedings obtains a good title un-	1363
affected by such fraud, and the goods are not liable for the deficiency.	1)0)
uncered sy such mutua, and are goods are not music for the denerency.	

	Page
To subject goods to forfeiture for fraudulent undervaluation, it must be shown that the goods were invoiced below their value, with an intent to	1033
defraud the United States. To entail a forfeiture for undervaluation, under Act March 2, 1799, § 66, and Act May 28, 1830, § 4, there must be a concurrence of undervalua- tion, and intent to evade payment of duties.	269
An intent to evade the payment of duties is essential to the maintenance of a prosecution for the personal penalty for fraudulent entries, and the declaration must aver a scienter (Act March 2, 1799, § 66).	546
The government may proceed in the first instance either for the forfeiture, or for the personal penalty.	546
To authorize a recovery against a consignee of the value of goods fraud- ulently invoiced, where he is not the owner, but merely an agent to sell, knowledge on his part of the fraudulent undervaluation is necessary to establish the "actual intention to defraud." (Act June 22, 1874, § 16.).	129
Goods invoiced at their actual market price cannot be forfeited for under- valuation, although in fact the price stated was below the market value at the place of exportation.	268, 269
Goods invoiced at the price paid on a bona fide sale are not subject to forfeiture, though it be below the ordinary market price (Act 1799, c. 128, § 66.).	1111
But the terms "actual cost" do not apply to the case of a voluntary gift or conveyance, where the substantial consideration is not money, or its equivalent estimated at a money price, nor where the consideration is partly money, and partly love and affection.	1111
An information alleging fraud in the importation of merchandise at an un- dervaluation (Act March 3, 1799, § 66) must aver that the valuation was under cost at the place of exportation.	1030
A direct averment that the person making the fraudulent entry was either owner, consignee, or agent of the property (Act March 3, 1863, § 1) is not necessary where it appears from the statements of the information that the person was either the owner, or acted as agent of the owner.	1030
An averment that the requirements of the statute, which are merely direc- tory to the revenue officers and the importer, have been complied with, is not necessary (Act March 3, 1863).	1030
Where probable cause of seizure is shown, claimants have the burden of showing the absence of fraudulent intent.	1099

	Page
Where it appears that the goods were entered at only about one-half of	
the invoice price of like goods purchased by other importers at the same time, and imported by the same vessel, the claimants have the burden of	1115
substantiating the invoice by clear proofs.	
Evidence of prior undervaluation is admissible only to show the intent	1099
with which the present undervaluation was made.	10/)
On a libel to forfeit goods for false valuation, proof of correct entries	074
made about the same time of the same kind of goods by the claimant is admissible to show that he knew their real value.	274
In a case of false invoice valuation, evidence of other fraudulent acts, of	
a similar nature, committed at about the same time, is admissible on the	274
question of intent.	
Advances made in good faith, by auctioneers in possession, upon goods	
entered at a fraudulent undervaluation, before the government had elect-	1035
ed to proceed for a forfeiture, or to sue for the value, are a lien upon the	
proceeds.	
Fraud will not be imputed to an importer in invoicing goods at the weight	
as given by the customary method of weighing, but the appraisers are not	75
bound thereby.	
An indictment for an offense against Act Aug 30, 1842, is not barred, if found within five years subsequent to the act charged.	1071
A false and fraudulent valuation in an invoice not demanded by the	
statutes, and which can be of no avail at the customhouse, is not of itself	240
sufficient to justify condemnation of the goods.	
The limitation of five years for prosecutions for crimes under the revenue	
laws (Act 1804, § 3) is applicable to an action of debt to recover the value	546
of imported goods for fraudulent entries.	
Act March 2, 1821, § 1, as re-enacted March 3, 1823, is not repealed by	1136
Act Aug 30 1842 § 19.	
The latter act is aimed at frauds on the revenue in cases where an entry	1136
of goods and an invoice are required as prescribed by the act of 1823.	

	Page
An attempt to make an entry by means of any false or fraudulent invoice, affidavit, etc., with intent to defraud, completes the ground of forfeiture, though the fraudulent entry is not carried out (Act June 22, 1874, § 12.).	1092
The making of a false affidavit of damage, with intent to defraud the rev- enue, is sufficient to incur the forfeiture.	1092
Where an affidavit of damage is in fact false, there is a prima facie pre- sumption of an intent to defraud the revenue.	1092
Evidence of other fraudulent transactions is admissible to show the intent with which the act was committed.	1092
The illegal act of one partner without the knowledge or consent of the others is sufficient to forfeit the partnership goods.	1092
Dutiable goods, imported as passenger's baggage, where no attempt was made to have them passed as such, and the owner, without knowledge of their seizure, offered them with correct bills of lading and moneys for entry at the customhouse, <i>held</i> not forfeitable, either under Act March 2, 1799, § 50, Act March 3, 1863, § 1, or Act July 18, 1866, § 4.	171
Where the claimant sets up mistake as an excuse for disagreement with the entries, the fact that probable cause of seizure has been made out does not impose upon him the necessity of making out an unusually clear case of mistake.	154
The hurried entry of goods in the absence of the owner, where pillage by the enemies' soldiers was threatened, <i>held</i> a sufficient excuse for a mistake.	154
The question whether the difference between the entry and the invoice arose from accident or mistake is one exclusively for the secretary of the treasury, and cannot he raised at the trial on a seizure as forfeited under Act April 20, 1818.	244
Where an article not described in the invoice is found in a package, the whole package, and not the article alone, is forfeited (Act May 28, 1830.).	405
To justify the forfeiture of a package of goods under section 4, Act May 28, 1830, either the package must contain an article not described in the invoice, or the package or invoice must be made up with intent to evade or defraud the revenue.	401
Under Act March 2, 1857, c. 63 if an invoice or package of imported goods contains some articles which are indecent or obscene, and others which are not so, the whole are liable to forfeiture; the unlawful ones being destroyed, and the others sold.	255

	Page
Under a count alleging that certain articles imported were indecent and obscene, and asking that they be condemned and destroyed, the govern-	255
ment cannot ask for a forfeiture and sale of other articles in the same case, which the verdict finds to be lawful.	-55
A bond for the delivery of goods seized must be for the market value of the goods at the place of seizure, without any deduction for the regular amount of duties 343, 1015; contra,	340
The amount of duties payable on giving bond for the value of goods seized is only that demandable if the fairness of the importation had not been impeached.	1015
Where the goods are afterwards condemned, the claimant loses the amount of the duties thus assessed, as well as the value of the goods for- feited.	1015
Where a bond has been given with the addition of penal duties under protest, the excess will be directed to be paid to the claimant.	1015
A certificate of probable cause of seizure will be granted where it appears that the seizure was made in good faith, and after consultation with the surveyor, naval officer, and district attorney.	264
Proof that the fact of an intended illegal importation was previously known to the revenue officers, and that they acted thereon in making the seizure, is admissible.	164
A violation of an act requiring that certain goods shall be packed in a certain way does not subject goods not so packed to forfeiture, in the absence of a statute declaring such forfeiture.	167
Upon information filed against goods alleged to be forfeited, the goods pass out of the hands of the collector who seized them into the hands of the marshal, whose custody is thenceforth that of the court.	1015
After information filed against goods alleged to be forfeited, no penal in- crease of duties can be exacted by the collector, and such an exaction will not affect the prosecution.	1015
Where the claimant knew that his method of importation was contrary to law, the burden is upon him to show affirmatively that he did not adopt	164
such method with intent to evade the payment of duties. Where the duty of the master of a vessel arriving from a foreign port to repair to the office of the chief officer of the customs, and there make re- port (Act 1799, c. 22, § 36), cannot be performed, by reason of the neglect of the officer to do that which is prerequisite, the statute is not violated.	708

Families distilled activity	Page
Foreign distilled spirits.	
It is unlawful to fill with domestic tax-paid spirits any casks in which	0(0
foreign spirits have been imported, even where the brands, stamps, and	263
marks required by law have been removed (Act March 1, 1879, § 12.).	
The certificate required to be given on the importation of distilled spirits	_
(Act March 2, 1799, § 41) is an "official document granted by the collec-	976
tor," within Act 1825, § 19, relating to forging and counterfeiting.	
The provision requiring the certificate to be signed and countersigned is	976
complied with where the signature is printed.	770
Where the certificate which the supervisor of the revenue was authorized	
to issue (Act March 2, 1799, § 41) was issued by the collector, an in-	
dictment under Act March 3, 1825, § 19, for altering it, must allege that	075
the collector was designated by the president to fulfill the duties of the	975
supervisor under Act March 3, 1803, and that the certificate was issued	
in such capacity.	
Bonding: Warehousing.	
An instrument not in the form of a bond with a penal sum and condition,	
but containing the requirements of the statute (1854, c. 30), where the	TO O
obligors were not prejudiced by the form, <i>held</i> a sufficient bond under	539
the statute.	
A surety who has discharged a duty bond to the United States is entitled	
to he subrogated only to the preference and priority of the United States	
to be first paid out of the estate of the principal (Act March 2, 1799, §	616
65.).	
A surety in such case cannot maintain assumpsit in the name of the Unit-	
ed States against the assignees of the principal.	616
Where a surety pays the bond, he cannot maintain an action on it in the	
name of the obligee against his co-obligors, nor an action for money laid	616
out and advanced, except in his own name.	010
The "additional duty of 100 per cent." secured by transportation bonds	
under Act 1854, c. 30, § 6, is 100 per cent, on the original duty, and not	539
on the invoice value of the goods.	757
on the involce value of the goods.	

	Page
The transportation bond, under such section, properly includes the origi- nal duty as well as the additional duty, the bond first given for the original	539
duty being canceled. Customs officers.	
Inspectors are, in law, officers appointed by the head of the treasury de-	
partment.	1
An inspector is an officer of the customs, the obstruction of whom is an offense, under Act March 1, 1799, c. 128, § 71 1006.	1
Proof that an inspector was commissioned and sworn, and in the actual	
execution of the duties of the office, with the knowledge of the treasury department, is sufficient proof of his appointment to support an indict-	1006
ment for obstructing him in the performance of his duties.	
It is not necessary to produce the commission of appointment to prove such appointment.	1006
The offices of deputy collector and of inspector may be held by the same	1
person (Act 1822 c. 107.).	
A deputy collector acting also as inspector may receive additional com-	1
pensation therefor.	
A collector removed from office before the expiration of the year is enti- tled to the maximum amount of \$3,000 out of the fees, etc., collected at	
such time, under Act 1822, c. 107, providing that the excess of emolu-	482
ments over \$3,000 in any one year shall be paid into the treasury.	
DEED.	
Where the description in a deed is not impossible or repugnant, the court	
will not reform it, as against third persons who purchased the remaining interest of the grantor at sheriff's sale without knowledge of the error in the description.	474
An application to reform a deed, <i>held,</i> should not be entertained after the	
lapse of 11 years, where all persons had dealt with the land on the theory	474
that the description was correct.	-7-
DEPOSITION.	
A motion for the appointment of commissioners to take testimony abroad	
is not grantable of course, and previous notice to the adverse party is nec- essary.	444
On an application for a dedimus potestatem, the court will consider the	
materiality of the testimony, and the purposes for which it is invoked.	444

	Page	
A commission opened before it came into the hands of the clerk will be set aside.	623	
A false certificate of magistrate as to the time and place when and the person by whom the deposition was reduced to writing will render it in- admissible.	1158	
A party attending on the taking of depositions will be presumed to have waived objections to the competency of witnesses not raised at the time But objections not known at the time may be raised on the reading of the deposition.	244	
DISORDERLY HOUSE.		
The sale of spirituous liquors to negroes assembled in considerable num- bers in and about a house on Sunday constitutes a disorderly house.	625	
The name of a prosecutor must be indorsed on an indictment for keeping a bawdyhouse.	715	
On a prosecution for keeping a bawdy-house, the United States cannot give evidence of the general reputation of the house.	894	
Upon an indictment for keeping a house of ill fame, evidence of the ill fame of defendant cannot be given.	69	
Under an indictment for keeping a bawdy-house, evidence is admissible of the general reputation of the people who frequent it.	1312	
Under an indictment for keeping a disorderly house, charging that defen- dant suffered persons of ill fame to come together, etc., evidence is ad- missible of the general reputation of such persons.	1312	
DUELING.		
Upon an indictment for unlawfully carrying a challenge to fight a duel, a scienter must be proved.	1037	
EJECTMENT.		
Defendant cannot rule the marshal to return a hab. fac. poss. although plaintiff may do so.	1127	
If, after plaintiff is put into possession under a hab fac poss, if he is turned out by the defendant, he may, upon suggesting vice comitatus non misit breve, obtain an attachment or an alias hab fac Aliter, if he is turned out	1127	
by a stranger. If the first writ be returned executed, plaintiff cannot issue out an alias If the writ, though executed, has not been returned, and an alias issues on the suggestion of the plaintiff, resistance to such writ is an offense Aliter, if the first writ had been returned.	1127	

	Page
The hab. fac. poss. cannot be executed after the return day, and, if it be attempted, resistance to it is no offense against the act of congress. ELECTIONS AND VOTERS.	1127
The offense of preventing the free exercise of the right of suffrage (Act	
May 31, 1870, § 19) is complete by the forcible expulsion from the polls of voters waiting in line to cast their ballots, though they afterwards returned and voted.	1267
Act May 31, 1870, § 20, providing for the punishment of persons illegally	
registering under the state law at an election for a representative in con- gress, is not unconstitutional.	673
The offense is sufficiently described in the words of the statute adapted to the particular circumstances involved therein.	673
Act La. April 11, 1877, does not authorize the use of separate ballot box- es for state and federal offices.	143
Rev. St. § 5515, does not apply to a violation of the state laws regulating	
elections, when such violation does not affect the election, or the result of the election, of a delegate or representative in congress.	143
An allegation that defendant knowingly offered to give O. a bribe to vote,	
the said O. being then under 21 years of age, sufficiently charges knowl-	310
edge of such nonage.	
The mayor of Washington, D. C., has no power to enforce a demand that	1339
the polls should be kept open as required by law.	-007
A copy of a township election return filed with the county clerk, accom-	
panied by his certificate that it is a full and correct return of such election	1267
as filed, sent to the office of the secretary of state, is not an official paper	,
under the election law of New Jersey.	

	Page
EMBARGO AND NONINTERCOURSE. Construction and effect of Acts March 1, 1809, and March 2, 1811, in	
relation to the place of lading of prohibited articles.	69
Imported cargo taken in at a port with which intercourse is prohibited is	
prima facie presumed to have been laden with an intention to import the	467
same into the United States.	
The value of \$400, in determining the liability to forfeiture for taking on	
goods without permit and inspection (Act Jan 9, 1808), may be made up	587
by the aggregate of different shipments at different times and places.	
Sea stores and provisions are not to be considered as a part of the cargo,	
so as to be forfeitable under such act, along with goods which the vessel	587
was prohibited from taking on board.	
An inspector may go on board of any vessel to discover if any goods,	1004
etc., were illegally laden on hoard contrary to the embargo acts; and, if obstructed, an indictment will lie, under Act March 1, 1799, c. 128 § 71.	1006
A British subject living in Bermuda, who came to the United States in	
his own vessel to take his children home from school, returning after two	
weeks with a cargo, <i>held</i> not a resident within the United States, under	486
2 Stat. 351.	
Vessels and cargoes liable for forfeiture under Act April 18, 1818, may	
be delivered to the claimants upon giving a bond for value,	541
Validity of bond given under Act Dec. 22, 1807.	1161
An action of debt upon a bond given under the embargo laws is a penal	608
action, and the jury are judges both of the law and the facts.	000
Sufficiency of bond given under Act March 1, 1809, c. 91, § 13.	967
An open boat is not a ship or vessel, within Acts 1820, c. 122, and 1823,	346, 354
c. 150, which prohibit commercial intercourse from the British colonies.	310,351
British ships or vessels excluded from our ports by such statutes are such	
as are owned by British subjects having a British domicile, and sailing	346
under a British flag, and not ships or vessels owned by British subjects	
domiciled in the United States. British surged used in the mahibition although not region	
British-owned vessels are included in the prohibition, although not regis- tered or navigated according to the British navigation and registry acts.	354
The forfeiture attaches to the cargo on board at the time the vessel enters	
or attempts to enter our ports, and not to any cargo subsequently taken	354
on board, though on board at the time of the seizure.	
, 0	

	Page
The government has the burden of showing that the goods seized were	354
part of the cargo on board at the time of the offense. EQUITY.	
See, also, "Injunction."	
In the exercise of its equity jurisdiction, the federal circuit court, as to	
persons and matters within its jurisdiction, can afford relief where it can	416
be afforded by the principles of the high court of chancery in England.	
The right of the United States to retain moneys due their debtors by an award under a treaty with a foreign power will not affect their right to	38
proceed in a court of equity.	50
Where the party has a legal remedy, but a trust is created, equity has con-	20
current jurisdiction.	38
The aid of equity will not be given to enforce a judgment at law against a	
chattel, unless it appear that the creditor has tried to enforce the same by	1358
execution at law; otherwise as to land upon which the judgment is a lien.	
A written instrument will not be reformed upon the ground of mistake	22
unless the same be made out by the clearest and most unequivocal evi- dence.	32
A bill of review lies either for error in law appearing on the face of the	
decree, or for new material matter which has, come to light afterwards,	932
and which could not have been used at the time the decree was made.	/34
Act May 26, 1824, confers on the superior court of the territory of	
Arkansas the powers of a court of chancery for the purpose of trying the	022
validity of claims mentioned therein, and a bill of review may be main-	932
tained therein.	
A bill of review must be founded on new matter to prove what was be-	932
fore in issue.	/34
It rests in the sound discretion of a chancellor to grant or refuse a feigned	022
issue, and it will not be awarded where there is sufficient proof to enable him to decide.	932
The verdict of the jury on a feigned issue is not conclusive.	932
Where the allegations of the bill are so defective or vague that a precise	954
decree cannot be rendered upon them, proof must be adduced before a	932
decree can be made.	
Where the ease is submitted upon the bill and answer, the answer must	
be taken as true, and where it denies the case made by the bill the bill	999
must be dismissed.	

Error.

See	"Appeal	and	Error."
-----	---------	-----	---------

ESCAPE.

After a prisoner has been released upon a limit bond, the sheriff can con-			
fine him again only on the bail's becoming insufficient He cannot accept	176		
a surrender.			
Under Act Jan 6, 1800, the sheriff is hound to take a bond for the limits,			
as provided by the state laws, from a prisoner confined on process from	176		
a federal court.			
The assignment of such a bond discharges the sheriff from liability for a			
subsequent escape.	176		
An assignment of such a bond to the United States, when they are plain-			
tiffs, is valid, and its acceptance by the secretary of the treasury will be	176		
presumed to have been authorized.			
EVIDENCE.			
See, also, "Criminal Law," "Names of Particular Crimes."			
The federal courts take judicial notice of the acts of congress, and they			
need not be set forth or specially referred to in the proceedings before	696		
them.			
A waiver or right of a privilege must plainly and clearly appear, and every	711		
reasonable presumption may be made against it.	/11		
After notice given to produce the original policy of insurance, and proof			
of the existence thereof, the register in the hands of the company should	467		
he introduced in evidence, and not a copy therefrom.			
Where a contract alleged by a party appears to have been in writing, he			
must either produce it, or show that it is not in his power to produce it,	598		
to prove its execution or contents.			
	Page		
---	------		
Rev. St. § 880, applies only to suits against persons accountable for public moneys as such, and is inapplicable to an action for moneys alleged to have been paid to defendant by the mistake of a government disbursing officer.	684		
A certified statement of a balance due, and a report thereof to the comptroller, is not such a transcript from the books and proceedings of the treasury as may be given in evidence under Act March 3, 1797 § 2.	462		
A certified copy made evidence by statute must show that the statutory requirements have been complied with.	873		
A journal kept by the master of a ship alleged to be insane <i>held</i> admissible to prove his sanity, by the style in which it was kept.	1041		
When the intent or guilty knowledge of a party is material to the issue, collateral facts tending to establish such intent or knowledge are properly admissible in evidence.	274		
EXECUTION.			
An alias cap. ad resp. must be tested at the return of the original writ, and made returnable at the next ensuing term.	411		
An extent under Act Mass March 17, 1784, upon real estate, is not good unless it appear by the return that all the appraisers are sworn, nor unless all the appraisers concur in the appraisement.	1125		
But it is not necessary that the levy should be recorded in the registry of deeds within the time prescribed by the statute, as between the parties to the execution, nor that the certificate of appraisement should be made and signed by the appraisers.	1125		
A debtor of the United States, discharged, by order of the president, un- der Act March 3, 1817, cannot be arrested again on a new ca sa by the marshal for his fees, irrespective of an agreement to that effect. EXECUTIVE DEPARTMENTS.	1149		
Neither the war department nor the treasury department has authority to			
sell public property put under its management or superintendence, and the bringing of an action for the price or value of such property is not an affirmance of a sale made without authority.	149		
The head of a department is authorized, in the administration of the du- ties of his office, to employ agents, and to determine when an exigency arises demanding their employment.	603		

EXECUTORS AND ADMINISTRATORS.

	Page
A probate court has no jurisdiction to make an appointment of an admin-	470
istrator of the goods of a person who at the time is alive.	
Where an administrator is appointed of a person who at the time is alive,	470
money paid to him in that capacity may be recovered back, where it has not been paid over.	470
Right of action upon an administration bond by a creditor of the intestate	10.49
in the District of Columbia.	1048
An action cannot be maintained upon an administration bond of an ex-	
ecutor for not giving in a claim against himself, until the claim has been	895
established under Act Md 1798, c. 101, § 20, cl. 8.	
In Washington county, D. C, there must be a return of non est, or of fi.	
fa., against the executor or administrator, before suit upon his bond (Act	673
Md 1720, c. 24.).	
An executor indebted to the estate cannot, in an action upon the adminis-	
tration bond brought by creditors or legatees, discharge himself by show-	895
ing payments to his co-executors.	
The administrator of the surety in a collector's bond, who pays away the	
assets on the intestate's debts before notice of the government's claim, is	806
not guilty of a devastavit.	
In a suit against the administratrix of a surety in a revenue bond, brought	
13 years after the breach, a plea of want of assets, and fully administered	624
before notice of the bond, <i>held</i> good.	
In an action upon an administrator's bond to recover a distributive share	
of the estate, defendants may retain for necessaries furnished the distrib-	819
utee.	
EXTRADITION.	
Article 27 of the treaty of 1794 with Great Britain, which provides for the	
reciprocal extradition of fugitives charged with the crimes of murder and	825
forgery, is not in contravention of the constitution of the United States, as	04)
violating the right of trial by jury.	
Article 27 of the treaty of 1794 with Great Britain applies to citizens of	
the United States who have committed the specified crimes within the	825
jurisdiction of Great Britain, and have fled to this country.	
A murder committed on hoard a British vessel of war on the high seas	
is committed within the jurisdiction of Great Britain, within the meaning	825
of the treaty of 1794.	

	Page
Where a treaty of extradition does not state which department of the gov- ernment shall execute its provisions, the judiciary will take jurisdiction.	825
Where a person is arrested, and brought up to be held to bail for trial	
in another district, a certified copy of the indictment found in such other	593
district, if it be consistent and set forth an offense, is competent evidence	575
against defendant.	
FALSE PRETENSES.	
An indictment cannot be sustained in one place for obtaining money by	578
false pretenses, made in another place.	570
FISHERIES.	
No registered ship or vessel, while she remains registered, can engage in	
the whale fisheries (Act 1793, c. 52); but she must surrender her register,	890
and be enrolled and licensed for the fisheries.	
A fishing vessel licensed to catch codfish cannot catch mackerel, except	151 156
as bait, or provisions for the crew.	454, 456
A vessel licensed for the codfishery will not be forfeited for catching	750
mackerel, which is incidental merely, and not the main object of pursuit.	758
On a libel for forfeiture for breach of a license to catch codfish, by catch-	
ing mackerel at a certain time and place, parol evidence is admissible of	45 4
catching mackerel at other times and places during the trip, as showing	454
the real business of the voyage.	
Act July 29, 1813, c. 35, § 7, in relation to bounties, does not require any	(
oath to the agreement referred to therein.	146

	Page
FORFEITURE.	
See, also, "Customs Duties"; "Informers"; "internal Revenue"; "Shipping." Where a party, <i>for</i> fraudulent purposes, mixes up goods prohibited by a revenue act with those not prohibited, the whole will be forfeited. A forfeiture denounced in direct terms in a statute as a penalty takes place	284
at the time the offense is committed, and operates as a statutory transfer	1329
of the right of property to the government 256, 753, 1118. In such case, possession of the property by a sheriff under civil process	
will not prevent the federal courts from taking jurisdiction on a libel of	753
information, claiming forfeiture. But where there is more than one remedy provided, and the government may elect to proceed for the forfeiture, or in some other way not involving a forfeiture, the title does not vest in the government until the perfor- mance of some act amounting to an election.	1118
Act June 22, 1874, § 16, which makes the finding of an intent to defraud a prerequisite to a forfeiture of goods, though in terms restricted to cases in which issue of fact is joined, is applicable to suits in rem in admiralty, even where there is no answer or appearance.	167
On a libel to forfeit goods for alleged violation of the revenue laws, where the goods had been seized by, and were in the possession of, a collector of customs, the marshal will be required to attach the property by leaving a copy of the monition with the collector, and a notice requiring him to retain the property until the further order of the court.	248
Jurisdiction to proceed by information for the condemnation of property forfeited under the revenue laws depends upon the possession of the property, actual or constructive.	174
On an information of forfeiture, the goods themselves are regarded as the defendant, and it is no objection to the admission of proof of communications made to the revenue officer that they were made in the absence of the claimant.	164
In a suit on a bond for the redelivery of property seized, the amount of the judgment is the highest price for the property between the date of the bond and the date of the judgment.	873
Where property seized as forfeited before information filed is bonded as for a seizure made under Act July 13, 1866, § 9, a verdict of condemna- tion on a count based upon section 26 cannot be sustained.	174

	Page
The difficulty is not remedied by the fact that after the information was filed the property was reseized, and then taken possession of by the mar- shal on a monition founded on the information, and then re-bonded by its owner.	174
In a suit to forfeit manufactured tobacco, raw materials, and certain tools, the words "condemning the goods," in a verdict "in favor of the United States," may be rejected as surplusage.	665
Claimants contesting a forfeiture under Act 1864, § 48, are subject to costs on a judgment of forfeiture.	1026
In suits brought to enforce forfeitures, the clerk is entitled to tax 1 per cent, on the proceeds (Act Feb 26, 1853, § 1), and the district attorney 2 per cent (Act March 3, 1863, § 11), notwithstanding the repeal by Act June 22, 1874, § 2, in relation to payments of commissions, etc., to federal officers.	266
FORGERY.	
Any addition to a genuine paper, or any alteration of it in an essential particular, so as to give it a different meaning, is a forgery.	362
To forge the name of a magistrate to the jurat of an affidavit is a forgery of the affidavit.	362
A note of an unincorporated hank, "payable out of the joint funds thereof, and no other," is a promissory note, within Act Md 1799, c. 75 § 1.	1148
Aiding or assisting in forging papers with intent to defraud the govern- ment consists in the commission of any act having a tendency to forward or facilitate a forgery committed by another.	362
To trace a name with a pencil, afterwards filled up by another in ink, or to take measures to prevent surprise or detection while the forgery is being committed, would be such an act.	362
Act March 3, 1823 § 1, applies only to instruments altered or forged for the purpose of obtaining moneys from the United States, their officers or agents.	746
A forged paper, inclosed at B., directed to a person in W., and put into the post office at B., is not uttered in W.	578
The offense of attempting to pass a knowingly falsely altered national ban- knote (Rev. St. § 5145) is not a felony, and the indictment need not charge such offense to have been feloniously committed.	1060
In an indictment for forging a bill in the name of a fictitious drawer and indorser, the subsequent indorsements need not be stated.	479

	Page
In an indictment for forging a bill of exchange, the omission of the words	479
"account of" is fatal.	177
Defendant has no right to peremptory challenges.	1065
On an indictment for forging a bill in the name of a fictitious drawer and	
indorser, the prisoner's indorsement is admissible to prove his intent to	479
defraud, although such indorsement be not set forth in the indictment.	
Evidence is admissible that a parcel of counterfeit checks and drafts on	
other banks than that alleged in the indictment, and others printed on	179
bank paper not filled up, were found in defendant's possession.	
Witnesses skilled in handwriting will not be permitted to give their opin-	
ion, upon inspection of the papers, whether the forgery was done by de-	625
fendant.	
FRAUD.	
Fraud is not indictable unless it concerns the public, or be committed by	505
false tokens or false pretenses.	595
An indictment for defrauding the United States of a land warrant will not	50
lie, under Act 1823, c. 38.	30
FRAUDULENT CONVEYANCES.	
An intent to defraud subsequent creditors is sufficient to avoid a volun-	
tary conveyance, or one not made in good faith, at the suit of such credi-	1344
tors.	
GAMING.	
Sections 1 12 Act March 2 1821 so far as they relate to the offense of	

Sections 1, 12, Act March 2, 1831, so far as they relate to the offense of keeping a faro bank, or other common gaming table, are to be construed together, and when so construed they contain a complete description of the offense and its punishment.

	Page
A single day's use of a gaming table, called a "sweat cloth," at a race track,	
is not the keeping of a common gaming table, within Act March 2, 1831,	1155
§§ 1, 12. The game called "equality" <i>held</i> within the meaning of the words "other	
device," as used in the Maryland gaming act (1797, c. 110).	1280
An indictment for keeping "a gaming table" is bad.	817
An indictment for keeping "a faro bank" is bad, unless it aver the faro	
bank to be a common gaming table.	817
An indictment for keeping "a certain public gaming table, called 'faro	Q17
bank,' is bad,	817
GRAND JURY.	
Witnesses cannot be sent to the grand jury on the part of the accused.	410
The absence of a venire for the summoning of a grand jury, in a case	727
where it is required, is a ground of challenge to the array.	
Challenges to the array of grand jurors, being abolished by the laws of	
New York, are abolished in the federal courts of New York; but in the	727
case of improper conduct in designating, summoning, and returning them, the accused has a remedy by motion.	
The court will not interpose for technical irregularities for which there is	
no right of challenge, unless it appear that the accused is prejudiced.	727
Under Act Aug 8, 1846, providing that no grand jury shall be summoned	
except upon an order for a venire to be made by a judge, a verbal order	
given by the judge to the clerk is sufficient, though no order be filed or	727
entered of record.	
The omission to issue a venire in such case is ground of challenge to the	727
array.	141
Such omission, however, is not good ground for a motion to set aside the	727
panel for cause.	
A challenge to the array on the ground that the jurors have been selected,	
summoned, and returned by a person unfit to summon an indifferent jury	727
touches the qualification of the panel. A challenge to a grand juror for favor, on the ground that he is the pros-	
ecutor, or a witness for the prosecution, duly subpoenaed or recognized,	727
goes to his qualification.	141
The fact that a grand juror had on a previous summons attended the	
court as a juror, within two years, does not constitute such a disqualifica-	750
· · · · ·	

	Page
tion (Rev. St. § 812) as will render bad an indictment found by the grand	
jury of which he is a member.	
Where a period of two full years has elapsed between the beginnings of	
two terms at which a juror was summoned, he is not liable to challenge	750
under Rev. St. § 812, irrespective of the term of service.	
Under 2 Rev. St. N. Y. p. 724, §§ 27, 28, persons "held to answer" are	
the only persons who can challenge either the array of grand jurors, or	727
the individual grand jurors, for favor.	
A grand juror cannot be withdrawn after he is sworn, for a cause which	410
existed before he was sworn.	410
Defendants who have not had any earlier chance to object to the compo-	
sition of the grand jury by which they have been indicted may do so by	750
plea in abatement.	
The federal officers in New York have no right to change or alter the	707
state boxes and ballots as furnished to them by the state officer.	727
Evidence before a grand jury must be competent legal evidence, such as	707
is legitimate and proper before a petit jury;.	727
Any abuse or improper conduct on the part of any person admitted to	707
the grand jury will be investigated by the court.	727
Witnesses before the grand jury may consult their previous affidavit to	707
refresh their recollection, and affirm facts previously stated on paper.	727
Sufficiency of oath of witnesses sworn to testify before a grand jury.	727
A general oath to give evidence touching criminal charges to be laid be-	
fore the grand jury without reference to any particular person is unobjec-	727
tionable.	
But where the oath names one or more persons, evidence cannot be given	727
under it in support of an accusation against others.	4
A grand juror may be required to testify as to the evidence given before	595
the grand jury.	640
GRANT.	
See, also, "Public Lands."	
Indians had a right to receive grants of land under the Mexican laws.	1367
The fact that the grantee himself was acting governor, and made out the	

papers to himself, according to a petition presented to a previous governor, *held* not sufficient to justify rejecting the claim, where the possession had been long continued, and all the papers were regular.

	Page
Prior and continued occupancy of a tract adjoining that granted will ex-	
tend to the latter, so as to rebut any presumption of abandonment of the	896
grant.	
Under a grant designating the quantity as two leagues, a little more or	502
less, the court will not confirm a claim to a tract of four or five leagues.	5-4
Where the conditions of a grant have been performed cy pres, though	
no approval has been given by the departmental assembly, the claim is	716
entitled to confirmation.	
In the absence of archive evidence of the grant, the fullest and most sat-	
isfactory proofs of possession and occupation during the existence of the	
former government, under a notorious and undisputed claim of title, and	580
clear and indubitable evidence of the genuineness of the grant produced,	
will be required.	
The record of the act of possession, based on depositions containing state-	
ments upon which the alcalde acted, cannot be contradicted by testimony	471
of aged, illiterate, and infirm witnesses-as to their recollection of what was	4/1
done or intended by the alcalde.	
Where land is granted with reference to a map which clearly indicated	
the quantity, it will be assumed that the intention was to grant all the land	205
included in the boundaries, though in a subsequent condition the quanti-	395
ty was erroneously stated.	
The claimants of a grant are estopped to object that parts of the land	
which they have sold and conveyed as part of their rancho are not within	202
its limits, for the purpose of completing their quantity by embracing in the	393
survey lands not conveyed by them.	
Land will not be excluded from the claimant's survey because included	
in the diseno of a neighboring rancho, where the latter has not been sur-	393
veyed, and the owners have not intervened.	
One claiming title to a confirmed grant in opposition to the confirmed,	
but under the same original grantee, is entitled, under Act 1851, § 13, to	0.11
enjoin the issuance of a patent to the confirmee pending a suit in the state	946
courts to determine the title as between the two.	

	Page
The recital in a grant of pueblo lands by the prefect as "within the de- markation" of the pueblo affords presumptive proof, in the absence of opposing evidence, that the land was so situated, and that the officer act- ed within the limits of his authority.	1062
A grantee will not be decreed an equivalent for a deficiency within his exterior boundaries out of a sobrante accidentally found to exist within the exterior boundaries of a neighboring grant.	881
The word "point" in the description, "a straight line drawn to the beach, and from that point" another line, <i>held</i> not to mean a mathematical point, but to refer to the beach as one of the boundaries.	1264
A junior grantee cannot insist on a survey which will overlap a prior grant for which a patent has been issued, where he will obtain the full quantity without such overlapping.	1261
When a certain quantity has been granted within limits which embrace a much larger tract, the quantity granted is to be located within the exterior limits at the election of the grantee.	1368
The building of a house and the making of improvements within such limits by the grantee may be considered as an election of location in favor of a subsequent purchaser thereof, and will he so treated by the court, to protect an earlier grantee, where there are conflicting claims.	1368
Where it appears that the governor intended to accede to the petition, and the land has been long occupied and enjoyed under the grant or promise to grant, and by everybody recognized as belonging to the grantee, the lat- ter has an equitable title, which the United States will respect.	1264
The failure to strictly comely, in regard to time, with a condition requiring a house to be built upon the land, will not prevent the confirmation of a grant otherwise valid, and confirmed by the departmental assembly.	1262
A case in which an order has been entered rejecting the original survey, and giving directions for a new and reformed survey, is still "pending," within Act June 14, 1860.	1019
In a proceeding to contest or reform a survey, no decree can be deemed final which does not adopt and approve some survey and plat fixing with precision every line of the land.	1019
A case is "pending," within the act of 1860, where a motion for rehearing remains unargued and undisposed of at the date of the passage of the act.	36

	Page
The extension of the line beyond the limits of the grant recognized by the government and adjoining proprietors for a number of years <i>held</i> sufficient to warrant confirmation of a survey adopting such boundary.	72
A grant of land, <i>held</i> , should be surveyed in accordance with the claimant's original survey and election, where large expenditures have been made, in reliance thereon, without objection by adjoining owners. In a proceeding to correct a survey under the act of 1860, the district	798
court has no jurisdiction to review and reverse the final decree whereby	806
the genuineness and validity of the claim is established.	
After affirmance of a decree of the district court, it may, upon objections to the survey, inquire whether the boundaries described therein are in accordance with its own decree, and with the title papers upon which the judgments of both courts, as shown by their opinions, were founded.	497
Claim confirmed on evidence from the archives supported by long-con- tinued possession, though the original title was lost.	883
Claim to a Mexican land grant confirmed on evidence of continued occu- pancy and proper proceedings leading up to its issue, and of its issue and loss.	1262
Mexican land grant confirmed where it appeared that all the preliminaries were in due form, the conditions complied with, and the grant confirmed by the departmental assembly.	1333
Mexican land grant confirmed on proof of due preliminary proceedings,	
approval by the departmental assembly, and a compliance with the condi- tions.	1367
Modification of the official survey of the Mexican grant directed on a re- view of the evidence.	1365
	37, 358, 365, 395, 409, 410,
Claims to Mexican land grants confirmed upon the evidence.	477, 502, 532,
	592, 752, 809, 870, 883, 890,
	948, 1051
	495, 531, 532,
Claims to Mexican land grants rejected upon the evidence.	891
An official survey of a Mexican land grant confirmed upon the evidence.	529, 877
Official survey of Mexican land grant rejected upon the evidence.	879
GUARDIAN AND WARD.	

	Page
A guardian will be held liable on his bond for money received in another jurisdiction for the use of his ward.	141, 142
A guardian is liable upon his bond to pay over the money in his hands to a person appointed as his successor, though the latter has not given bond as guardian.	142
In an action on a guardian's bond, for failure to comply with an order of court, it is not necessary to set forth the facts justifying the granting of the order.	141
HABEAS CORPUS.	
Neither the state nor the federal courts have power to take from the cus- tody of each other persons confined under their lawful authority.	726
A writ of habeas corpus was issued just prior to the suspension of such writ as a military necessity by the president, and the marshal was directed	599
by the president not to execute the same The court unanimously protest- ed against the action of the military authorities.	377
HIGHWAYS.	
There is no public road in Maryland, the obstruction of which may be punished by a criminal prosecution, unless it be properly laid out and recorded.	985
HOMICIDE.	
A particular malice against deceased is not necessary to constitute murder, if there be deliberate malignity and depravity in the conduct of the party.	899
The difference between murder and manslaughter consists in the exis- tence of malice, express or implied, in one case, and the absence of malice	390
in the other.	
Where it is shown that a person was intentionally killed, the law implies	
malice, and the party who caused the death has the burden of rebutting the implication.	390, 1074
To make a man a principal in murder, it is not necessary that he should inflict the mortal wound, if he be present, aiding and abetting the act.	899

]	Page
If a number of persons conspire together to do an unlawful act and death happen in the prosecution of the design, it is murder in all If the unlawful	
act was a trespass, the murder, to affect all, must be done in the prosecu-	899
tion of the design If the unlawful act be a felony it will be murder in all,	
although the death happen collaterally, or beside tie principal design.	
If several persons conspire to commit a felony, and, if necessary, to kill	
any person who shall oppose them in the execution of the design, and	000
death ensue in prosecution of the design, it is murder in all who are pre-	899
sent, aiding and abetting in executing the design.	
There are no degrees of murder, under the laws of the United States.	390
An indictment as accessory before the fact of murder will not lie in the	(
federal courts, there being no act of congress covering such offense.	694
Neither words nor gestures, however insulting or irritating, nor an assault,	
will justify the killing of the aggressor His killing is justifiable only when	200
there is an apparent intent by him to commit a felony, and the danger is	390
imminent, and the species of resistance used necessary to avert it.	
By "imminent danger" is meant immediate danger, such as must be in-	
stantly met, and cannot be guarded against by calling upon the assistance	390
of others, or the protection of the law.	
A man may repel force by force in the defense of his person, his family,	
or property against any one who manifestly endeavors, by violence or sur-	390
prise, to commit a felony.	
Mere threats against the person or life of another will not justify homicide,	
even when an attempt at execution is made, unless the danger be so im-	390
minent as not to admit of any delay in meeting it on the part of the as-	390
sailed.	
An offender having made public threats against the life of an officer hav-	
ing a warrant for his arrest, the latter is justified in taking his life, where	795
he so acts with a rifle when the attempt at arrest is made that the officer	195
has reason to believe that he intends to execute such threats.	
An indictment for murder will not lie in a federal court, except as autho-	997
rized by an act of congress.	777
Sufficiency of indictment for murder under the act of congress punishing	997
opposition to the enrollment of the national forces.	,,,
A person indicted for murder on the high seas is entitled to only 20	918
peremptory challenges.	

INDIANS.

	Page
Indians belonging to a tribe maintaining a tribal organization on a reserva- tion within the limits of a state are amenable to the state laws for offenses off the reservation, and within the limits of the state.	923
A white man may incorporate himself with an Indian tribe, be adopted by it, and become a member of it, so as to be included within the effect of a pardon of offenses committed by citizens of the tribe.	684
A white man, who, at a mature age, is adopted into an Indian tribe, is not an "Indian," within the exception of Act June 30, 1834, § 25, of jurisdic- tion of "crimes committed by one Indian against the person or property of another Indian".	886
Where land is set apart by the president for the use of Indians, whites who go upon the reservation to fish do so "contrary to law." within Rev. St. § 2147.	1357
The federal circuit court has no jurisdiction, under the act of 1834, to punish offenses committed by one Indian against the person or property of another Indian.	950
Congress has the power to prohibit the traffic of spirituous liquors be- tween the Indian tribes, or members thereof, within as well as without the limits of the state.	1049
An Indian may be punished for disposing of spirituous liquors to another	1049
Indian, under Act March 15, 1864. The "Indian country," within the meaning of Act June 30, 1834, declaring it a crime to introduce spirituous liquors therein, is only that portion of	1021
the United States which has been declared to be such by act of congress. Such act was not extended, propria vigore, over the territory of Alaska, upon its cession to the United States.	1021
Such act as amended March 15, 1864, was not extended over Alaska by Act July 27, 1868, extending the laws "relating to customs, commerce and navigation" over that territory.	1021
The title of the Cherokee tribe to their lands is a base, qualified, or de- terminable fee, without the right of reversion, but only a possibility of reversion, in the United States.	742
The Cherokee tribe derived the title to their lands by grant from the United States, and such lands cannot be held to be "lands of the United States," within Rev St § 5388.	742
The treaty-making power of the United States can make a sale or grant of land to an Indian tribe without an act of congress.	742

	Page
Without the assent of the general government, the state probate courts	
cannot administer upon the property or credits of Indians who were mem-	470
bers of a tribe which maintained towards the United States its tribal re-	470
lation.	
INDICTMENT AND INFORMATION.	
See, also, "Criminal Law"; "Internal Revenue."	
When lies.	
Where a statute has prescribed the mode of prosecution, no other can be sustained.	528, 904
An offense against the laws of the United States, which is of a character not capital or infamous, may be prosecuted in the federal courts by an information according to the course of the common law.	1056
The district attorney may proceed by information, though an indictment	
against the same person for the same offense has been quashed for insuf-	68
ficient averments.	
A preliminary examination, or an order to show cause, and a hearing	894
thereon, is not a necessary preliminary to a proceeding on information.	0,4
An information prosecuted in a district court must be regarded and treat-	1326
ed as a common-law proceeding.	1,540
Finding.	
On a motion to quash an indictment on the ground that no evidence of defendant's guilt was adduced in support of an application for a warrant for his arrest, the court cannot inquire into the sufficiency of the evidence, but may quash the indictment, where none was given.	1056
The court has no power to inquire into the mode in which the examina- tion of witnesses was conducted before the grand jury, for the purpose of	727
invalidating an indictment.	4
It will, however, inquire into the competency of evidence, whether oral or	
documentary, and into the manner of authenticating the latter.	727

	Page
The court cannot revise the judgment of the grand jury upon the evi- dence, for the purpose of determining whether or not the finding was founded upon sufficient proof, or whether there was a deficiency in re- spect to any part of the complaint.	727
It cannot be pleaded in abatement to an indictment that it was founded on illegal testimony introduced before the grand jury.	1186
It is discretionary with the court to quash an indictment, or to hold de- fendant to plead in abatement or demur.	1350
Form. A conclusion of an indictment founded on a statute, "contrary to the true intent and meaning of the act of congress of the United States in such case made and provided," is good.	1167
When a statute makes one or more distinct acts, connected with the same transaction, indictable, they may be charged as one act.	949
A motion to quash an indictment is a proper mode of taking objections to it for want of form or substance.	367
It is discretionary with the court whether it will quash an indictment for defect of form.	367
A defect pleadable only in abatement is not ground for quashing an in- dictment.	590
A defendant acquitted upon a flaw in the indictment will be remanded for trial, at the nest term.	1148
Indorsement. The designation "foreman" is sufficient to show that the person to whose name it was appended was foreman of the grand jury.	561
The sworn assistant of the district attorney may sign the latter's name of an information.	68
At common law, the name of the prosecutor need not be written at the foot of the indictment.	23
The name of a prosecutor must be written at the foot of an indictment for a misdemeanor under the Virginia law.	1037
It is not a good ground for arrest of judgment that the name of the pros- ecutor was not indorsed upon an indictment for a misdemeanor in Vir- ginia.	1085
It is no ground for general demurrer to an indictment for a misdemeanor under Acts Va. Nov. 13, 1792, and Act 1795, p. 346, that the name of a prosecutor is not written at the foot of the indictment.	952

	Page
Description of offense.	
An indictment need not aver the existence or provisions of a public statute upon which the prosecution is founded.	785
Where an exception in an act does not occur in the enacting clause, it is not necessary to set it out, or negative it in the indictment.	973
It is generally sufficient to describe a statutory offense in the words of the statute.	367
In indictments for misdemeanors it is sufficient to lay the charge in the words of the act describing the offense, unless it appear that those words include cases not intended to be embraced within the laws, in which event the indictment must show the case to be one not thus excluded.	590
Where a criminal statute does not so define the acts constituting the of- fense as to give the offender information of the nature and cause of the accusation, an indictment which does not go beyond the words of the statute is defective.	1300
The particulars constituting the offense are matters of evidence, and need not be pleaded.	367
It is not charging an offense in the alternative where the language de- scribes the same offense.	604
Time and place.	
An allegation that the crime was committed on board a vessel belonging to citizens of the United States, within the admiralty and maritime juris- diction of the United States, and within the jurisdiction of the court, and without the jurisdiction of any particular state, sufficiently shows the ju- risdiction.	561
An indictment for a statutory misdemeanor need not charge the offense with the particularity of time, place, and circumstance required for a felony or a common-law offense, as defendant has a remedy by application for a rule for specifications and particulars.	973
Joinder of parties and offenses.	
It is not a misjoinder of offenses in different counts unless they belong to different families, or the judgments and punishments are inconsistent with each other.	515
Offenses of the same class may be included in the same indictment.	216
A count for a misdemeanor and one for a felony cannot be joined in the	
same indictment.	1046
Counts for conspiracy cannot be joined with counts for murder.	997

Two or more counts for misdemeanor may be joined in one indictment. A count for stealing and a count for receiving stolen goods may be con- tained in the same indictment. Where an indictment describes in different counts different offenses, of different grades and punishments, implied from the same transaction, and the verdict is guilty of the last count only, judgment may properly be ren-	Page 595 624 1311
dered on the verdict.	
Variance.	
Where an indictment for resisting a customs officer improperly describes the office, the variance is fatal.	526
An allegation in an indictment, which is not impertinent or foreign to the	
cause, must be proved, though a prosecution for the same offense might	598
be supported without such allegation.	
An indictment for an assault committed on the high seas in the outer	
road off St Domingo, on an American vessel, is supported by proving the	1325
offense to have been committed in the inner road, and in port.	
Conviction of other offense than that charged.	
On an indictment for a felonious entry and taking of goods from a store-	716
house, <i>held,</i> that defendant might be found guilty of simple larceny. INFORMERS.	
The informer is he who first gives to some officer authorized to act upon	
it information which leads in fact to a seizure and forfeiture.	300
An officer who obtains information by the examination of witnesses com-	
pelled to testify before the grand jury is not the informer.	300
An officer who acts on information furnished him by another officer, in-	
tended to be given the government, and does not discover new facts by	300
his own diligence, or who merely makes certain what was suspected, is	300
not the informer.	
Under Act 1864, § 179, as amended July 13, 1866, officers of the internal	300
revenue may be informers.	

	Page
It is only when the amount of the penalty has been recovered by judg- ment of the court that an informer is entitled to a moiety thereof.	300
Where liquor is forfeited by consent in pursuance of an agreement with the commissioner of internal revenue, a person, to be entitled to a share in the proceeds, must make out a clear case.	168
The informer's share is to be calculated upon the gross proceeds of the forfeitures without deducting costs. (Act June 30, 1864, § 179, as amended July 13, 1866).	318
Where the proceeds of a condemnation do not exceed \$500, the informer is entitled to his percentage upon the gross amount after deducting costs of the proceedings.	1027
An informer may sue in his own name under Act Feb. 28, 1799, § 8.	544
Where the informer is not a government officer, the United States is not liable for costs.	544
The court may require an informer to give security for costs, and in case of refusal strike his name from the record.	544
An informer's share in the registry of the court should be decreed to be paid directly to the persons beneficially interested, and entitled to hold it.	1348
An agreement between informers to divide the informer's share equally is valid, and will be regarded by the court in distributing it. INJUNCTION.	1348
Injunction may issue to stay irreparable mischief or waste in cases of dis- pute title.	416
Where the amount in dispute is great, and the inability of the party to respond is greatly disproportioned to such amount, such insolvency is a proper subject for an allegation in the bill.	416
The institution of an action at common law is not an indispensable pre- requisite to a bill for an injunction.	416
On a motion to dissolve an injunction, matters set up by way of avoidance in the answer responsive to the bill should be deemed on such motion equivalent to an affidavit by defendant.	416
Where the answer denies directly and positively, upon personal knowl- edge, the allegations of the bill, the injunction will be dissolved, in the absence of extraordinary circumstances.	416
A denial on information and belief of facts distinctly alleged making out a case for injunction, cannot arrest the issue of the injunction, or authorize a dissolution of it, if one has been granted.	416, 444

	Page
Such denial will not be deemed sufficient because the allegations of the pleadings are not sworn to from personal knowledge.	444
A general denial of allegations by one uninformed as to their truth is not sufficient to dissolve an injunction.	932
INTEREST.	
Interest will run from the time of a statement of a balance of account	
against a person, though the amount was subsequently reduced on the	357
allowance of further credits claimed.	
INTERNAL REVENUE.	
See, also, "Forfeiture"; "Informers"; "Seizure."	
Assessments and collections.	
A provision for the collection of a tax in districts where the same is	
forcibly resisted so soon as such resistance is put down does not show a	810
want of uniformity in the tax.	
Visitorial powers are not conferred upon internal revenue officers under	
Rev St §§ 3177, 5241, authorizing them to examine the checks of the na-	414
tional bank.	
A national bank is not exempt from examination by the internal revenue	784
officers under Rev. St § 3177.	701
A clerk of a supervisor of internal revenue cannot make such examina-	784
tion.	,
A dealer in tobacco has no right to forcibly eject a customs officer from his premises after the "officer has fulfilled his errand.	4
The obligation or duty to pay taxes is one which may be enforced by suit,	
by an action at law, or a bill in equity, according to the nature of the relief	397
sought.	
A demand for the payment of taxes is necessary, under Rev. St. § 3188,	
to create and bring into operation a lien therefor, and such demand must	399
state the amount of the tax.	
A suit will not lie to recover a tax in a district other than that in which	
the tax accrues, or that in which the delinquent resides, although he may	139
be found and served with process therein (Rev. St, § 733.).	
In a suit for taxes defendant cannot plead a set-off, legal or equitable,	397
growing out of independent claims.	397
To a suit to recover the balance of a tax the defense that the amount al-	
ready paid was determined to be the true amount by the assessor cannot	133
be tried upon demurrer.	

	Page
The remedy against an illegal tax assessment pointed out.	397
An internal revenue collector is not responsible for more than ordinary	
care and diligence in the collection of bonds for duties placed in the	1257
hands of an attorney by his predecessor for suit by direction of the com-	1437
missioner of revenue.	
In such case the collector is not bound by a treasury statement charging	1257
him with the amount of such bonds.	1257
Special taxes.	
An association whose initiation fees were used to buy a stock of liquors	
which were dealt out to members only on payment by the drink, at cost	893
price, is a partnership for the sale of liquors at retail, and each member	093
thereof is guilty of violating the statute, where the special tax is not paid.	
Where a person has complied with section 24, Act July 13, 1866, as to	
giving notice, bond, etc., he is not liable to indictment for carrying on the	1050
business within the 10 days after the receipt by the collector of the as-	1030
sessment list without having paid the special tax.	
An intent to defraud forms no part of the offense of the omission to pay	724
the special tax imposed by Act June 20, 1868, § 44.	/ 2/4
Where the tax has been paid, and the barrels properly marked, branded,	
and stamped, the spirits cannot be seized under Act July 20, 1868, § 44,	303
while in the hands of an innocent purchaser, for frauds of the distiller.	
Section 45 (14 Stat. 163) is not rendered unconstitutional by the provision	
which requires affirmative proof on the part of the claimant of the pay-	1029
ment of the taxes due on the spirits seized.	
Act July 20, 1868, § 96, does not authorize a forfeiture of spirits or liquors	
there-under for a violation of section 45, as a specific penalty or punish-	332
ment is imposed by the latter section for its violation.	
The knowing and willful omission, neglect, or refusal of the wholesale	
liquor dealer to cause packages of distilled spirits to be gauged, inspected,	282
and stamped (Act July 20, 1868, § 25) will expose all distilled spirits and	404
liquors owned by him to forfeiture under section 96.	

	Page
A knowing and willful failure to comply with section 25 will cause a for- feiture by virtue of section 96 Otherwise with a neglect of the require- ments of section 47, which provides a penalty for a breach thereof (Act July 20, 1868.).	168
A retail dealer in liquors, who also purchases and sells malt liquors in quantities of more than five gallons at the same time, is not required to keep the book provided for in Act July 20, 1888, c. 186, § 45.	717
The book is to be kept only by wholesale dealers in domestic spirits, and a person does not become such dealer by taking out a wholesale license for selling ale, though he also sells domestic spirits at retail.	717
A rectifier and wholesale liquor dealer, who enters upon his books spirits bought by him, and the names of the manufacturers or rectifiers marked on the barrels as the names of the persons from whom purchased, instead of the names of the actual sellers, incurs the forfeiture denounced by Act July 13, 1866, § 26.	344
The forfeiture denounced by section 26 (Act July 13, 1866) applies only to the spirits, apparatus, and articles in the possession of the offender at the time of the act or neglect whereby forfeited, and not to those subse- quently acquired, and found in his possession.	344
Wholesale dealers are hound to "cause" their casks to be stamped and branded in the cases which come under Act July 20, 1868 §§ 25, 47.	168
The forfeiture by section 57 (Act July 20, 1868) is general, and that section imposes a penalty for a violation of section 25 in case the unstamped packages contain more than five gallons.	170
The intention of congress must be manifest and unmistakable to justify the courts in construing a law imposing a forfeiture as extending to prop- erty which, before seizure, had been sold to an innocent purchaser.	*303
The fact that barrels containing spirits purchased in the open market bear all the brands indicating payment of the taxes does not create a presump- tion that such taxes have been paid	1029
tion that such taxes have been paid. The ticket given by a pawnbroker under the California law is "an agree- ment or contract," within section 170, Act 1864.	1174
A person whose occupation is to sell agricultural produce in public market is liable to a tax as a "produce broker" (Act 1866, § 79), though the produce is raised by himself upon his farm.	1080

	Page
Liability of a peddler for carrying on business without payment of the spe- cial tax after application for a license, where he stopped business before the tax was assessed, and refused to pay it.	616
Persons supplying and sewing two small buckles and straps upon knap- sacks, under agreement with the government contractor, are not liable for the manufacturer's tax. (Act July 1, 1862, § 75.).	1321, 1323, 1323
A person who gave out to customers combinations of numbers, specifying them as being in certain lotteries, entering the same in his policy book, and paying the customers according to the drawings, though no certificate or ticket was given, <i>held</i> a policy holder, and liable for the special tax.	1291
Construction of Act July 24, 1813, in relation to duties on refined sugars. Wine made from grapes grown in the United States is not subject a tax	493
under Act June 6, 1872, § 12, because made inimitation of sparkling wine by injecting carbonic acid gas by a separate process of manufacture.	238
Distilled spirits.	
A person who makes alcoholic vapor in the process of making vinegar by machinery which is not adapted to the condensation of such vapor, <i>held</i> not a distiller. (Act July 13, 1866, §§ 21, 23; Act March 2, 1867, § 16.).	320
The manufacture of alcohol in the process of making vinegar, though the alcohol is not released from its impure state, is within the prohibition of Act July 20, 1868, § 4.	626
The premises and property thereon are forfeited where there is a wash and a still on the premises capable of distilling, and fermented liquors are there distilled without authority, though the product of the establishment is not distilled spirits, but only vinegar.	1303
A distiller is bound to pay taxes on 80 per cent, of the producing capacity of his distillery, although this may be more than the amount of spirits ac- tually produced. 175: contra,	*1082
The "deficiency" tax is based upon the quantity of spirits actually pro- duced, or on 80 per cent, of the capacity of the distillery (Act July 20, 1863.).	739
Where the producing capacity of a distillery is reduced by a direction of the government that the distillery be run only a certain number of hours, a pro rata tax only can be collected.	411
The words "in execution and pursuance of" are equivalent in meaning to the words "to effect the object of.".	202

	Page
A distiller's bond given under Act July 1, 1862, § 39, conditioned that the distiller should comply with the conditions of such act and of such	53
other acts as might thereafter be enacted, <i>held</i> valid 64; contra, In a suit on a distiller's bond for the amount of an assessment (Rev. St.	
§ 3182) defendant may impeach the assessment, though he has not first	43
appealed to the commissioner.	
It is no defense to a prosecution for executing and signing a false and	
fraudulent bond that defendant knew nothing of his sureties, but hired a man to obtain them, and that they swore to all that was required by law.	213
The testimony of a subscribing witness that the bond was taken away and	
returned with the signature of a person whom the witness had seen sign	213
another bond, <i>held</i> sufficient proof of execution by him.	
Under Act July 13, 1866, a removal by a distiller of spirits from the place of distillation to a bonded warehouse is a legal act.	*303
Spirits in bond may be forfeited for noncompliance of the provisions of	
the revenue laws.	636
Distilled spirits, purchased in good faith, while in a bonded warehouse	
of the United States, upon which the purchaser paid the taxes, cannot	
be afterwards seized and condemned as forfeited for the failure of the	303
distiller to keep proper hooks and to make proper reports. (Acts July 13,	
1866, and March 2, 1867.).	
The fact that distilled spirits are seized, condemned, and sold for violation	
of the internal revenue law while bonded, does not release the obligors on the warehouse bond.	1272
The fact that the purchaser at the sale paid the tax is immaterial.	1272
It is a good defense to a suit on a distiller's transportation bond that dur-	
ing the act of transportation the government officers seized them, and the	1005
collector of the district to which they were consigned refuses to grant a certificate of delivery.	1335
-	

	Page
It is immaterial that the seizure was made by reason of the wrongful act of the persons having the goods in charge.	1335
Under Act July 13, 1866, § 9, the raw material found, if intended to be	
used for a fraudulent purpose, may be seized, and is subject to forfeiture,	1110
without reference to the place where it is found.	1110
Under Rev. St. § 3453, it is not necessary, in order that other personal	
property found with the distilled spirits be forfeited, that raw materials in-	1098
tended to be used in the manufacture should be found in the same place.	10,0
Personal property found in buildings in the same inclosure with a build-	
ing in which an illicit distillery is carried on, and in such juxtaposition to	
it that the owners thereof could not be ignorant of the existence of the	638
still, is subject to forfeiture. (13 Stat. 240, § 48.).	
Personal property situated upon distillery premises and used in the busi-	
ness of illicit distilling is subject to forfeiture, irrespective of its ownership	256
and knowledge by the owner of its unlawful use.	
The interest of a mortgagee of personal property remaining in possession	
of the mortgagor may be condemned for unlawful acts of the mortgagor,	1025
though the mortgagee be innocent thereof.	-
The tools, implements, and other personal property must be found in the	
place or building or within the yard or inclosure where they were intend-	1110
ed to be used, and the information should aver such fact.	
Act June 30, 1864, § 68, confers no authority for the seizure of a distillery,	
and the lot on which it is situated, or for subjecting such real estate to	237
forfeiture.	
"Form 122" for the return of spirits emptied for rectification, <i>held</i> autho-	202
rized by law.	292
Fermented liquors.	
The penalty of \$300 (Act July 13, 1866, § 49) is imposed not for the	
omission to make the proper entries, but for the failure to keep any books	211
at all.	
An indictment for removing malt liquors without affixing or canceling the	
proper stamps (Act July 13, 1866) need not negative the cases where the	973
law authorizes a removal without affixing a stamp.	
Tobacco and cigars.	
Under Act July 13, 1866, § 9, a tobacco manufacturer is required to keep	639
a book showing the goods manufactured as well as those sold.	

	Page
An entry was made on the sales books of tobacco sold, and a cheek was taken for the amount, but subsequently the manufacturers gave their check to the purchaser for the same amount, and the tobacco did not pass from their possession, but was treated and disposed of as their own. <i>Held</i> , that the tobacco was illegally returned for tax. (Act June 30, 1864, \S 94.).	639, 650
Manufactured goods under Act 1864, § 90, means goods the manufacture of which is completed, so that the goods are in a condition to be sold. Under Act June 30, 1884, §§ 90, 94, as amended by Acts July 13, 1866,	639
and July 20, 1868, a completed sale or a completed removal of manufac- tured tobacco is a necessary preliminary to the accruing, assessment, and payment of the tax upon it.	650
Goods found in the possession of a person for the purpose of being sold or removed in fraud of the law are subject to forfeiture under Act June 30, 1864, § 48, as amended July 30, 1866, though there has not been a completed sale or removal thereof.	650
It is illegal for a tobacco manufacturer to remove from the wholesale to the retail department a quantity of tobacco, and to make a return and pay tax on it as one sale instead of on the actual sales in the retail department.	650
The fraudulent intent or purpose of the person in possession of articles seized because found in a place where the articles or raw materials mentioned in section 48 (Act June 30, 1864, as amended July 13, 1866, § 9) are found does not constitute an element of the ground of forfeiture.	317
In such case the burden is on the claimant to show that the situation of the property is consistent with his entire innocence of complicity with the offenses for which such articles or raw materials were seized.	317
The forfeiture of the raw materials is not made dependent on their being seized in the possession of the person in whose possession forfeitable tax- able articles are found.	650
Where cigars are made in the back part of a room and sold in the front part thereof, the back part is to be regarded as a manufactory, and they cannot be removed to the front part without first branding and stamping. Banks and bankers.	79
But one penalty is imposed by Act June 30, 1864, §§ 110. 120, as amend- ed July 20, 1866, for ail failures to make returns prior to commencement of a suit to recover penalties for such failure.	133

	Page
National banks are liable to a penalty for failing to make return of divi- dends declared, etc., during the period between July 1 and December 30, 1870. (Act July 14, 1870.).	1299
Said dividends, etc., are subject to a tax of $2\frac{1}{2}$ per cent, during said period.	1299
Sufficiency of complaint in an action against a bank for the \$1,000 penalty for failing to make return of its net earnings, incomes, or gains under Act June 30, 1864, § 120, as re-enacted July 14, 1870. Income taxes.	1297
A person's compensation as state's attorney for a certain county is not li- able to the income tax.	818
The income tax acts require a return for taxation as income of all gains derived from the sale of corporation stock in 1868, if purchased at any time after August 5, 1861.	1175
A bona fide exchange of stock for other property is not a sale thereof from which profits are derived liable to taxation as income.	1175
A transfer of stocks for a collectible promissory note, or an exchange thereof for lands which are sold within the year for collectible promissory notes, is considered as a sale of such stock for so much cash.	1175
The beneficiary of a trust fund under a will, who had not on October 1, 1870, become entitled to the possession or enjoyment of, or to the beneficial interest in, any of the principal sum (Act July 14, 1870, § 3), is not liable to the legacy tax under Acts June 30, 1864, §§ 124, 125, and July 13, 1866, § 9.	134
The amount of a promissory note taken in 1871 on a sale in that year of a patent right not maturing or paid until 1872, is not taxable as income for 1871. (Act July 14, 1870, § 6.).	973
The compensation of a state officer cannot be applied to the satisfaction of the \$1,000 exemption from the income tax.	818
Violations of law and punishment—Forfeiture proceedings. The circuit courts have original jurisdiction of suits in rem for forfeitures under the internal revenue laws.	1025
The charge of fraud is sufficient if made in the words of the statute. (Act July 13, 1866, § 9.).	1110

An information on der Dass St. S. 2472 is sufficient if it fallens des lan	Page
An information under Rev. St. § 3453, is sufficient if it follow the lan- guage of the statute, and without an express averment that the taxes were not paid.	1028
An information of forfeiture for violation of Act July 20, 1868, § 44, need not aver that the special tax was assessed, or that payment was demand- ed and refused, or neglected to be paid, but only that the business was carried on without payment of such tax.	724
An information of forfeiture must aver that the property sought to be adjudged forfeited was used in the illicit distillation charged, or was the product of such distillation.	259
A description of property claimed as forfeited as "all the boilers, stills, and other vessels used in the distillation of spirits, and all the distilled spirits—being about twelve barrels—now in the distillery owned by W.," is not sufficiently specific.	259
In an information of forfeiture for neglect to make the entries required by Act July 20, 1808, § 45, it is not necessary to aver the time the liquors were received or sent out, or from whom received, or to whom sent.	724
The validity of an assessment against a distiller may be inquired into by defendant's answering a bill by the United States to subject to the payment of such assessment lands transferred to them.	816
The courts have no power under the act of 1864 to release oh bond or stipulation goods seized for forfeiture under sections 48. 68.	1122
The notice required to be given to persons executing a bond for the re- turn of property seized is that prescribed by Rev. St, § 3459, and not the previous rules of the court.	1028
It is no defense to a surety in a stipulation for property seized that on appeals by the claimant bonds were taken without surety, with the approval of the district attorney, and that government bonds had been given as further security, which had been stolen.	637
An agreement by a district attorney to release a surety on a stipulation for value on condition of his giving information against other persons is not valid without the concurrence of the commissioner of internal revenue, the secretary of the treasury, and the attorney general.	635
A stipulation by the government officials of immunity from penalties or forfeitures in consideration of testimony of violations of the law will be enforced by the courts. —Penalties: Actions therefor.	884

The penalties prescribed in section 96, Act July 20, 1868, apply to those	Page
who knowingly or willfully do or omit to do the thing forbidden or re- quired, only when there is no specific penalty imposed by any other sec- tion of the act.	313, 724
The penalty imposed for a violation of section 48, Act June 30, 1864, must at least equal double the amount of duties sought to be evaded, and in no case must it be less than \$500.	1253
An action in personam to recover the forfeiture of \$500 under Act July 1, 1862, § 54, will lie, although the seizure provided for therein has not taken place. –Offenses.	50
Conspiracy, under Act March 2, 1867, is a combination between two or	
more persons by agreement, expressed or implied, to effect the illegal pur- pose, regardless of the manner in which it is to be done.	813
A person who, with unlawful intent, designs or directs the removal of spirits on which the tax has not been paid, is equally guilty with the one who actually carries out the unlawful object.	197
Indictment.	
Upon an indictment for removing whisky from a distillery, and sending it to another state, under a false inspector's brand, without paying the tax, the court of the latter state has jurisdiction, where the whisky was not tak- en from the possession of the railroad company by which it was shipped, before arrival at its destination.	1282
An indictment under Rev. St. § 3257, which describes the offense in the language of the statute, is insufficient.	1300
An indictment under Rev. St. § 3281, which describes the offense in the language of the statute, is sufficient.	1300
An indictment under Act 1866, c. 184, § 25, must allege that the still was intended to be used within the United States for distilling spirits, and that defendant failed to give the notice, etc.	742
An indictment which charges defendant with carrying on the business of a wholesale liquor dealer without the payment of a special tax therefor, at a certain place, continuously between certain dates, is sufficient without stating the means or circumstances by which he became such dealer.	409
An indictment averring the removal of spirits on which the tax had not been paid to a place other than the distillery warehouse, "and" the con- cealment thereof, is not bad for duplicity, under Rev. St. § 3296.	202

	Page
An allegation that the tax on certain spirits "had not been paid" is suffi-	202
cient without an allegation that it "was still due and owing".	
On an indictment for removing distilled spirits on which the tax has not	107
been paid, the precise quantity alleged, and that the removal took place at	197
the precise time stated, need not be proved. Under a count for removal and concealment, conviction may be had if	
removal is proved, though concealment is not shown.	197
On an indictment for carrying on the business of a liquor dealer without	
having paid the tax, evidence is admissible of a sale of liquor on a day	810
subsequent to that named.	010
A distiller's bonded warehouse which the law requires him to provide	
is a part of the distiller's premises, and proof of the unlawful removal	
of spirits therefrom sustains the averment that the removal was from the	1144
distillery.	
Where indictments are found under Act June 30, 1864, both for making	
false returns (section 15) and for perjury (section 42), the prosecution	914
must elect between them.	
Evidence.	
Where rectified spirits are seized while in process of sale by a rectifier as	
free of tax, a claimant has the burden to show that the tax has been paid. (Act July 13, 1866, § 45.).	1085
Where defendants have rebutted the presumption of law as to the validi-	
ty of the assessment, the burden of proof is shifted upon the government	316
to establish its validity.	
On an information to enforce a forfeiture the statute of limitations is avail-	1000
able as a defense under a plea of the general issue.	1089
Previous fraudulent intent and previous fraudulent acts are admissible to	620 6 5 0
show a fraudulent intent in a subsequent transaction.	639, 650
On the trial of an indictment against a manufacturer for making false and	
fraudulent returns for a given month, evidence of false returns in previ-	914
ous months is admissible to show a fraudulent intent.	
On an indictment under Act March 2. 1867, § 30, the government is not	
bound to strict proof of the ownership of the rectifying distillery to which	1144
it is alleged the spirits were unlawfully removed.	

Pag	ge
On an indictment for a conspiracy under Act March 2, 1867, § 30, there	
must be satisfactory evidence not only of the conspiracy charged, but of 114	4
the overt act averred, to carry into effect the object of the conspiracy.	
Where the evidence on a question is all one way, the court need not sub- mit the question as one of fact to the jury.	7
mit the question as one of fact to the jury. To prove a conspiracy to remove whisky without paying the tax, it is only	
necessary to show that defendants were acting in concert, or with a mu-	
tual understanding, to effect the removal without inspection and branding	2
according to law.	
-Verdict: Judgment.	
Where an information, of forfeiture in different counts avers several	
frauds under different sections of the statute, a verdict of forfeiture will 26	0
be sustained if any one count is good.	
Under Act July 13, 1866, § 45, the judgment or decree of forfeiture re-	
lates back only to the date of the seizure, and does not affect the title of	0
an innocent purchaser, acquired subsequent to the date of the wrongful	8
act, and before the seizure.	
INTERNATIONAL LAW.	
See, also, "Neutrality Laws" "War."	
A combination of citizens or subjects for the purpose of overturning a	
government does not become entitled to the privileges of national sover-	4
eignty until a revolution is actually accomplished.	
The fact that the number of insurgents in a state is so great that they carry	
on a civil war against the government does not entitle the government set 113	4
up by such insurgents to the privileges of sovereignty.	
INTOXICATING LIQUORS.	
See, also, "Internal Revenue."	
The widow and administratrix of a deceased tavern keeper cannot sell	
spirituous liquors under her husband's license, nor can she transfer it to 39	2
another.	
Selling less than a pint under a license to sell not less than a pint is selling 16, 37	0'
without a license.	
A servant selling spirituous liquors for his master, without license, is not lighted to the neuralty 470, 107	'2
liable to the penalty.	

JUDGMENT.

	Page
A judgment rendered by a federal circuit court is a lien upon all lands of defendant within the district, without being recorded in the several coun- ties where such lands lie.	999
A decree of forfeiture of spirits for alleged frauds on the revenue is not conclusive in a subsequent proceeding for the forfeiture of the distillery and numerous articles contained therein.	260
A judgment distributing the proceeds in the registry upon an information of forfeiture cannot be modified or altered by the court after the close of the term.	278
The federal district court, three years after rendering a decree in a confis- cation case, cannot reverse the same.	1097
Judgments and decrees are not assignable at law, so as to vest the legal title in the assignee; but the latter takes only an equitable interest, subject to the equities attaching to them in the hands of the assignor. JURY.	932
See, also, "Grand Jury."	
The federal courts cannot deprive parties of the right of trial by jury by referring the issues of fact to referees.	711
The constitutional right to trial by jury may be waived by the party.	711
In a proceeding in the federal district court against property seized as for-	
feited under the internal revenue laws, to which a claim is interposed, the	281
claimant has a constitutional right to a trial by a jury.	
In forming a jury the federal court need not adopt the mode required by	
the state laws, when, in the opinion of the court, it is impracticable to do	620
so.	
The provision that jurors shall be drawn from such parts of the district	
as the court shall direct (Act Sept. 24, 1789, § 29) is not repealed by Act	1350
July 20, 1840.	
It is discretionary with the court to give, or not to give, any directions as	
to the place from which jurors shall be summoned; and it is no ground	620
of challenge to use array that the marshal summoned the jurors according	
to his own will, where there was no application for directions.	
A juror who has sat on the trial of a person indicted for the same offense as defendant is not competent.	1246
An objection that one of the jurors had served on the jury of the next	477
preceding term is too late after the jurors are sworn.	111

	Page
Where defendant accepts a juror with knowledge that he has had a con-	
versation with a third person about the case, he cannot afterwards object to a verdict on that account.	1175
Under Act March 3, 1868, § 2, there is no right of peremptory challenge except in capital cases.	696
Peremptory challenge allowed upon an indictment for stealing a slave in Alexandria, D. C.	1363
The court will at a subsequent term order a fine against an absent juror to be struck out, where the clerk neglected to enter a similar order made at a previous term.	1148
LANDLORD AND TENANT.	
A bailiff cannot lawfully force himself into a house by the outer door, although partially opened by one within, to make a distress for rent. LARCENY.	1349
Logs in a fence are not the subject of larceny, the fence being in law an-	
nexed to the freehold.	1148
Foreign and domestic coin and bank bills are "personal goods," within	
Act 1790, c. 36, § 16, relating to larceny on the high seas.	11
Quære: Whether stealing a bank note is larceny, within the act of April 30, 1790, § 16.	38
A driver of a coach, who, with knowledge of the ownership of goods left	
therein by the owner by mistake, takes and converts them with intent to steal them, is guilty of larceny.	484
A person who procures goods under the false pretense that the owner	
had sent him for them, and appropriates them for his own use, is not	825
guilty of larceny.	
A workman is guilty of larceny where goods delivered to him for a special	105(
purpose are taken away by him with intent to steal them.	1356
Property abandoned is not within the meaning of Act March 3, 1825, §	
9, against plundering or stealing property from or belonging to a vessel in	1132
distress or wrecked, lost or abandoned.	

	Page
The federal courts have jurisdiction of the offense of plundering property from a stranded vessel or after it has been thrown upon the shore (Act 1825, c. 65, § 9.).	540
"Plunder," as used in such section, includes the criminal taking of the goods of another by open force, or by secret fraud, and furtively, from a vessel in distress, etc., and also an embezzlement by the master and others.	540
"One silver coin of the value of fifty cents" is a sufficient description of the property stolen.	810
An indictment charging the stealing of "notes of some bank established by a charter from the government of the United States, or of some indi- vidual state of the United States," in the language of the act, Is not suffi- cient.	595
The goods of the wife, in her separate shop, where not kept for her sep- arate use, must be averred to be the goods of the husband.	36
Goods stolen from a married woman living by herself, her husband not contributing to her support, may be charged as her property.	452
An indictment alleging articles stolen to belong to owners unknown is good on its face, and the objection that the owners were in fact known must be taken to the evidence at the trial for a variance, or by special plea.	1311
On a trial for larceny, <i>held,</i> that the prisoner was entitled to a peremptory challenge of 20 jurors, under Act Va. Nov. 13, 1792.	506
A slave convicted of larceny in Alexandria county, D. C, sentenced to he burnt in the hand and whipped.	78
A slave convicted of larceny is to be punished by whipping, although not charged as a slave in the indictment.	86
LIMITATION OF ACTIONS.	
The suspension of the statute of limitations provided for by Act June 11,	
1864, did not continue in Georgia after the president's proclamation April 2, 1866, though no term of the federal court was held and no clerk appointed, until six months later.	18
LOTTERIES.	
A scheme for the disposal of town lots, by the terms of which a purchaser	
has a chance of obtaining one of the reserved or prize lots as a part of the	233

consideration, is a lottery.

MANDAMUS.

	Page
Mandamus will not issue to compel municipal officers to levy and collect	*
a tax, unless the legislature has made it their duty to levy and collect such	*131
The federal circuit courts outside the district of Columbia cannot issue	1120
the writ in the exercise of original jurisdiction, but only as necessary to	1129
the jurisdiction of the court, and to enforce a judgment rendered.	
The circuit court of the District of Columbia has no jurisdiction to com- pel the superintendent to deliver certain documents to the public printer	1004
for printing.	1004
The federal circuit court has not jurisdiction in the first instance, by man-	
damus, to compel a postmaster to furnish the advertised letter list to the	1129
newspaper having the lawful right to the printing.	1149
The court refused the writ to compel the marshal to pay witness fees to	
the petitioner, an attorney of the court.	1018
MARINE INSURANCE.	
Liberty to touch at a place does not justify trading, and trading would be	
a deviation avoiding the policy.	467
MARSHAL.	
The marshal is bound to obey the writ as he receives it, where the statute	
is directory to the court or the clerk only, but in demanding bail he acts	23
at his peril.	
An indorsement even by the court, will not justify the marshal in requir-	22
ing bail, where the statute does not require it.	23
The marshal is entitled to interest on sums due to him and not paid after	1240
demand, and must pay interest on sums due from him after demand.	1249
A marshal is not entitled to commissions on money paid to his deputies	1249
for taking a census.	1249
A marshal who pays over to his deputies or assistants, for taking a census,	
less funds than he received from the government, is liable to the penalty	464, 465
of \$500 (Act March 3, 1839.).	
A sale of treasury notes by the marshal for currency at 8 per cent premi-	
um, and a payment of his deputy in such currency, is a violation of the	464, 465
law.	
A marshal who extends an execution on real estate for the government is	
entitled to his fees from the government, though the land be not yet sold	1249
or redeemed or in any way converted into money.	

	Page
A marshal is not to be allowed for services as keeper or inspector of the state jails, except in a case where especially directed by the court.	1249
A charge by a marshal for distributing venires to town clerks at § 2 each	
is legal, but not for travel as if serving venires himself, when they are in	1249
fact served by a constable.	
Though the certificate of a judge allowing a marshal's account is prima	
facie evidence of its legality and proper amount, the treasury department	1249
may reject it, if believed improper.	
Rev. St. § 786, limiting the time within which actions must be com-	
menced on marshal's bonds does not apply to actions instituted by the	695
United States.	
MASTER AND SERVANT.	
One employed to work a day cannot lawfully quit work before the day is	1312
done.	1314
A locomotive engineer employed by the day to daily make a particular	1312
run cannot lawfully quit before the run is made.	1)14
MAYHEM.	
The disabling or disfiguring of any limb or member of a person need not	999
be done by cutting, to constitute an offense under Act 1790, § 13.	777
The particular weapon, means, or instrument used is not material, provid-	999
ing the result is maiming or disfiguring with intent to do so.	,,,,
MILITIA.	
Const, art. 8, cl. 15, which confers power upon congress to provide for	
the calling forth of the militia to execute the law of the United States, and	1339
Act Feb. 28, 1795, applies to the states.	
	Page
---	------
MINES.	
The United States have not conveyed or dedicated the minerals in the	416
public lands to individuals or the public.	410
MINISTER.	
The privileges of a foreign minister are not extended to a person having	
a commission from a revolutionary government not acknowledged by the	1123
United States.	
If a foreign minister commits the first assault, he forfeits his immunity so	359
far as to excuse defendant for returning it.	339
It is no defense to an indictment for an assault on a foreign minister that	359
defendant was ignorant of his public character.	339
Upon an indictment for an assault committed on a foreign minister, proof	
that the person assaulted is received and recognized by the executive of	359
the United States, is conclusive as to his public character.	
MORTGAGES.	
An assignee of a mortgage four years overdue, and wholly unpaid, is	
chargeable with notice of its true consideration, which inquiry would have	1358
revealed.	
A judgment creditor may redeem a mortgage upon land upon which his	1358
judgment is a lien, even as against an assignee of the mortgage.	1330
MUNICIPAL CORPORATIONS.	
Authority to incur a debt does not carry with it the power to levy a tax to	*131
pay the debt, where other provision is expressly made for such payment.	131
Where a city exhausts its revenues in defraying current expenses, man-	1308
damus will lie to compel it to pay matured, outstanding bonds.	1300
NAVIGABLE WATERS.	
The states composed from the Northwestern Territory cannot obstruct	
their navigable rivers, they being by the ordinance declared to be forever	91
public highways.	
An individual suffering special damages by an obstruction of a navigable	
river may have a civil redress by a suit, though the obstruction be autho-	91
rized by a state, if it be contrary to, or conflict with, an act of congress.	
Where a bridge to be constructed over navigable waters, with the draws	
as proposed, will not cause any appreciable obstruction to commerce, the	686
federal courts will not act to enjoin the same.	

	Page
The obstruction of navigable rivers under the laws of the original states	
is not punishable as a crime, unless contrary to some clause in the federal	91
constitution, or a treaty or an act of congress.	
The circuit court has no jurisdiction to punish as a crime the obstruction	
of navigable tide waters by the erection of a bridge, without an express	91
grant of authority by congress.	
NEUTRALITY LAWS.	
A federal judge has power, on just grounds of suspicion, to require a	680
bond to observe the neutrality laws.	000
The presentation of a grand jury, charged with the duty of inquiring into	
the existence of the organization whose object was the invasion of the	
territory of a friendly power, that they believed such organization to ex-	680
ist, but could get no evidence, because witnesses refused to testify on the	000
ground that their answers might criminate them, <i>held</i> sufficient ground of	
suspicion.	
Act June 5, 1794, extends to warlike expeditions from this country,	
though not intended to aid one belligerent against another, but directed	367, 380
against a friendly power at peace with all the world.	
To constitute the offense against section 3, the vessel must not only have	
been fitted out with intent to be employed against a friendly nation, but	1123
actually armed for that purpose.	
An expedition, to be within Act June 5, 1794, § 5, need not to have been	
consummated without deviation of course. It is sufficient if it was begun	
and the means prepared to be carried on from the United States, though	1233
the vessel, at the identical time of sailing, was not in complete readiness	
for hostile engagements.	
To constitute the offense of beginning, setting on foot, or providing the	
means for a military expedition against a nation with whom the United	
States are at peace, under Act April 20, 1818, § 6, there must be a hos-	380
tile intention connected with the act of beginning or setting on foot the	
expedition.	
When connected with such hostile intent, the crime is completed either	
by beginning, or setting on foot an expedition, or providing or procuring	380
the means therefor.	
To constitute the offense, it is not necessary that the expedition should	380
start for its destination.	

	Page
The guilty purpose must be proved, and the guilty acts done, within the judicial district where the indictment is found.	380
The fact that defendant set on foot a military expedition, in violation of Act June 5, 1794, § 5, with the knowledge and approbation of the pres- ident, is no justification, as the president has no authority to set on foot a military expedition against a nation with which the United States are at peace.	1192
On a trial on indictment under Act June 5, 1794, § 5, the president's mes- sage to congress, and other documents transmitted therewith, are inad- missible to show the existence of a war at the time the acts were charged to have been committed.	1233
Sufficiency of indictment for setting on foot and preparing the means for a military expedition against a foreign country with which the United States were at peace.	367
A French citizen transiently within the United States cannot be criminally prosecuted for piracies and robberies committed by the captain of a pri- vateer owned by him, upon neutral vessels. NEW TRIAL.	393
It is ground of new trial that the court submits as a question of fact to the	
jury a material fact, where the case was tried upon the assumption that such fact was admitted.	1131
Where the government has so conducted the trial that defendant is enti- tled to take certain facts as admitted, and does not offer evidence thereon, he is entitled to a new trial, where such facts are subsequently left to the	1138
Jury. A verdict for defendant in a suit to forfeit goods for violation of the inter-	
nal revenue laws will not be set aside as against the evidence, though as	
to a small part of the goods the court entertains no doubt that upon the	277
evidence the verdict is wrong.	

	Page
The newly-discovered evidence must have come to the knowledge of the party since the trial, and must be so material that it would probably pro-	1175
duce a different result. Newly-discovered evidence impeaching the credibility of one of the wit- nesses is no ground for a new trial.	604
A new trial will not be granted on the ground of surprise unless it appear that such surprise is in no degree attributable to the negligence of the ap- plicant.	1175
A new trial will not be granted for misconduct of a juror in which the applicant participated.	927
Grounds stated upon which a court of common law may grant a new trial.	340
A motion for a new trial is too late after the case has gone to the supreme court on a certificate of a division of opinion on a motion in arrest of judgment.	1080
OBSTRUCTION JUSTICE.	
A warrant of distress is not a legal process, within Act 1790, § 22, in relation to resisting officers.	47
It is an indictable offense to combine to oppose the execution of a jus- tice's warrant, without knowing its nature, and assaulting one of the par-	237
ties attempting to execute it. It is not necessary that a constable should have a warrant to suppress an	
affray, in order to make opposition to him unlawful.	538
An attorney and client conspiring to resist an officer are equally guilty.	1161
An indictment under Act April 30, 1790, § 22, must show by proper averments that the process was legal.	1350
A commissioner empowered to issue a warrant tinder Act Sept. 18, 1850, must be such a commissioner as is particularly described in that act.	1350
An averment in an indictment for resisting such a warrant that it was is- sued by a commissioner of the circuit court of the United States is not	1350
sufficient.	
The want of an averment of the facts showing that the commissioner was authorized to issue the warrant cannot by aided by referring to the records of the court.	1350
An averment that a warrant was duly issued is insufficient. The facts con- stituting the due issue must be set forth.	1350
On an indictment for conspiring to resist an officer, actual violence need not be shown, but threats, and acts intended to terrify, or of a character to	1161

	Page
terrify, a prudent officer, are sufficient, even though he be not prevented	U
thereby from executing his process.	
The taking away of a vessel by her owner after she has been attached by	
a marshal, but while not in his actual custody, or that of a keeper, is not an offense under Act March 2, 1831, § 2.	1010
The expressions "obstruct" and "impede," as used in the act, refer only to	
direct acts of violence or menace, disturbing the ordinary functions of the court.	1010
OFFICE AND OFFICER.	
See, also, "Customs Duties" "Internal Revenue"; "Marshal"; "Obstructing Justice."	
A person disqualified by the fourteenth amendment from holding office,	
by having engaged in the Rebellion after taking an oath to support the	605
constitution of the United States, is indictable, under Act May 31, 1870,	605
\S 15, for subsequently accepting the office of sheriff.	
Acts done under compulsion of force, or of a well-grounded fear of bodily	605
harm, do not come within the constitutional provision.	605
Accepting and holding the office of justice of the peace under the con-	
federate government is not of itself sufficient evidence of engaging in the	605
insurrection.	
The superintendent of public printing is subject wholly to the control of	1004
the joint committee of congress on printing (Act Aug. 26, 1852).	1004
Where the words of a statute prescribing compensation admit of two in-	1
terpretations, they will be construed most favorably for the officer.	-
An officer cannot be allowed extra compensation for services performed,	1139
properly pertaining by law to his office.	•••
An officer with a salary payable quarter appointed for four years "unless	
sooner removed by the president," is not entitled to his salary to the end	1139
of a quarter during which he is removed.	
A surveyor of customs is entitled to commission on moneys paid to him	
for the treasury department, but not on moneys already in the treasury,	1065
which are transferred to him from other places of deposit.	
The register of the treasury, though receiving pay as such, <i>held</i> entitled to	
compensation as agent for disbursing money appropriated for contingent	192
expenses of the treasury department, library of congress, and other appro-	- / 4
priations for public purposes.	
Salaries of officers of the territory of Minnesota.	1139

	Page
In an action to recover a balance due from a receiver of public moneys,	
a claim that a certain sum had been stolen from him <i>held</i> not supported,	783
he never having presented a claim for a credit of such amount.	
The auditor's report of a balance due from a person accountable for pub-	462
lic money is not evidence in an action for the debt.	404
Rev. St. § 1766, authorizing the salary of an officer in arrears to be with-	
held, and Id. §§ 300, 307, 308, in relation to the payment of warrants after	603
three years from issuance, form no part of the contract with the officer's	005
sureties.	
United States, in an action upon a collector's bond, cannot obtain judg-	806
ment against the surety for more than the penalty.	000
PARDON.	
A pardon may be partial, and contain any lawful conditions.	1097
A pardon for offenses against the revenue laws cannot relieve the offend-	884
ers of payment of taxes.	001
PARENT AND CHILD.	
The child must partake of the condition of the mother, and where the	
mother is a white woman, and the father an Indian, the child will be	950
deemed of the white race.	
The marriage of the mother of a bastard, and the acknowledgment by the	1122
husband of the child, are prima facie evidence that he was the father.	1144
The declarations of a father as to the maternity of his child are competent	950
evidence.	/50
PAYMENT.	
While a company may issue promissory notes, in the form of banknotes,	
in payment, they have no right to issue them for the purpose of putting	715

them in circulation as a current circulating medium.

	Page
PENSION.	
An adopted child is not entitled to a pension, but a legitimated child is	1100
entitled to a pension under Act March 4, 1814.	1122
An indictment for retaining a greater sum than the statutory allowance for	
collecting a pension cannot he sustained where the amount was paid un-	1055
der a poor contract for services in causing to be removed from the rolls	1255
of the war department a charge of desertion.	
PERJURY.	
Perjury consists in swearing falsely and corruptly, contrary to the belief of	
the witness, and not in swearing rashly and inconsiderately according to	1051
his belief.	
Where affiant stated the facts truly, and signed an affidavit on the advice	
of his lawyer, in whom he confided, that they were substantially the same	1292
therein, he is not guilty of perjury, though the affidavit be false.	
A person cannot be convicted of perjury in swearing falsely to an income	
tax return, where it was not made with a corrupt intention, but with the	1175
honest belief that it was correct.	
Although the income tax act makes no provision for compelling a person	
to make oath to his return, yet, as it permits him to do so, intentional false	1175
swearing therein is perjury.	
Where an act expressly describes the kind of proof of compliance with	
its requirements, it is not competent for any officer of the United States	146
to require new oaths, so as to make the false taking of them perjury.	
An indictment for an act which does not constitute an offense under the	
laws of the United States is still "a suit, controversy, matter or cause pend-	746
ing," in which perjury may be committed. (Act 1790.).	
The act of 1825 in relation to perjury, being a general law, applies to all	151
subsequent cases which come within it.	1)1
The bankrupt's intentional omission to state a part of his property in his	151
sworn schedule is perjury under the act of 1825.	1)1
To constitute the offense of false swearing under the fisheries bounty act	1165
of 1813, there must be a willful and corrupt intent to swear falsely.	1105
The secretary of war may prescribe the contents of affidavits by drafted	
soldiers claiming exemption from military service, and false swearing as	1259
to such facts is perjury. (Act March 3, 1863.).	
A notary public is an officer authorized to administer oaths in such cases.	1259

	Page
Whether a false oath was taken under mistake as to the law or fact in-	1 age
volved therein is a question of fact for the jury.	1175
Upon a conviction of perjury the court may inflict the punishment of fine,	
imprisonment, and the pillory.	1255
PIRACY.	
A commanding officer making seizures of vessels carrying contraband of	
war to the enemy acting in good faith under a forged commission, is not guilty of piracy.	1172
The United States court will treat as pirates all persons engaged in plun-	
dering vessels of United States citizens under authority of a government,	1134
set up by insurgents against whom a civil war is being waged.	
A subordinate officer, who in good faith enters a formal protest against a	1170
seizure by his vessel, is not guilty, with the others, of an act of piracy.	1172
The seizure of a vessel carrying arms and munitions of war to a port of	
the enemy by an armed ship, whose officers held commissions from the	1172
hostile country, is not piracy.	
The federal circuit court has jurisdiction of piracy on board of an Amer-	
ican ship, committed in an open roadstead adjacent to a foreign territory,	899
and within half a mile of the shore (Act April 30, 1700, c. 9, § 8.).	
PLEADING AT LAW.	1001
A demurrer goes to the first defect in pleading.	1281
The amendment of a libel in the district court will not be allowed where	
the same introduces a new, substantive cause of action, and a new charge	0.04
against defendant. Otherwise where the new cause of action corresponds	291
in character, and is kindred in nature, to that presented in the original li- bel.	
The variance is fatal where the paymaster general of state militia is de-	1284
scribed in a suit on his bond as "principal paymaster" of the state militia. POST OFFICE.	1404
Post routes and roads, and private letter carrying.	
A person who sends a packet of letters by a passenger over, a post road,	
without the knowledge of the proprietors or their agents, is not subject to	588
the penalty provided by Act March 3, 1825, § 19.	
Officers.	
A postmaster, until the action of the postmaster general, does not vacate	480
his office by remaining out of the neighborhood.	100

	Page
An action on a bond of a postmaster is barred after two years after the	1006
date of the last item charged against him. (Act 1825, § 3.).	
Offenses–Unmailable matter.	
The mailing of a postal card containing words imputing illicit intercourse	
to third persons, but no epithet in the form of a substantive or adjective,	611
is an offense under Rev. St. § 3893, punishing the mailing of postal cards	011
containing "indecent or scurrilous epithets.".	
–Robbery: Theft: Embezzlement.	
Rev. St. § 5467, is not confined to the offense of stealing or taking things	40 F
out of a letter packet or bag but includes the taking of the letter itself.	485
An employe in a post office, who steals gold dust from a letter in the	
mail, is liable to indictment, whether the same be mailable or not, under	696
Act July 1, 1864, § 12.	
No one can be convicted under Act 1825, § 21, who is not employed in	100
the post-office department.	189
To convict a person of stealing a letter, etc., who is employed in the de-	100
partment, such employment must be distinctly alleged and proved.	189
To constitute the offense, it is not necessary that the letters stolen should	
have been taken out of the post-office building.	189
Some evidence is necessary of the genuineness and value of banknotes	
charged to have been stolen out of a letter.	189
A letter dropped in a post office, intended for a person at such place, is	
	232
not a letter intended to be "conveyed" by post. (Act 1823, c. 275, § 21.).	

	Page
The stealing or taking a letter, etc., under section 22, means a taking with a criminal intent, and not a taking through mistake, or with an innocent intent.	480
A letter delivered to an authorized agent cannot be charged to have been embezzled.	949
A person who receives a letter from a letter carrier, addressed to another, without fraud or artifice, is not liable to indictment under Act March 3, 1825, § 22, where he opens the same and embezzles money therefrom.	451
In an indictment for embezzling a letter containing money (Act July 1, 1864, § 12), it is not necessary to aver that the letter embezzled was intended to be conveyed to any particular place, but only that it was intended to be conveyed by post.	231
An averment that a letter deposited in the post office at New York was addressed and directed to a person named at Philadelphia, and was in- tended to be conveyed by post, is not an averment that the letter was intended to be conveyed by post from New York to Philadelphia.	231
An averment as to the ownership of the money is not necessary.	231
An indictment under Act March 3, 1825, § 22, which alleges that defen-	949
dant did secrete "and" embezzle a certain letter, is not defective.	949
In an indictment for embezzlement, it is sufficiently certain to charge that defendant was "a person employed in one of the departments of the post- office establishment of the United States.".	466
When the embezzlement is of a letter containing a banknote, it is not necessary to describe the note.	466
The presumption of theft arising from the disappearance of mail matter may be repelled by evidence of the miscarrying of mails sent through the same office after defendant's removal.	1307
-Obstructing correspondence. It is an offense to open a letter, which has been in the post office, before	
delivery to the addressee, with intent to obstruct his correspondence, or pry into his business or secrets, though the letter was not sealed, and was written by defendant himself, and the addressee's name was not correctly given. (Act March 3, 1825, § 22.).	590
The indictment need not allege any venue of the lawful intent, nor that the opening was unlawful, nor that the addressee was a real person.	590

	Page
Section 21, which prescribes a punishment for the detention of a letter or packet, refers to a letter or packet detained before it reaches the place of	480
destination. An intention to obstruct the mail flows from an unlawful act that so operates, although its primary object was to accomplish another purpose.	1312
Act March 3, 1825, § 22, in relation to opening letters to obstruct corre- spondence, etc., applies only where the possession of letters was obtained wrongfully from the post office, or from a mail carrier.	451
A person who, without artifice, receives a letter for another, addressed in his care, and opens and destroys the same, cannot be convicted of open- ing the same with the design to obstruct the correspondence, etc., of an- other.	22
A letter carrier who delivers letters from house to house is a mail carrier, within Act March 3, 1825, § 22.	451
The writer of a letter which has passed from the office where mailed has no right to intercept it, or authorize its delivery to a person other than the one to whom it is directed.	206
On an indictment for taking a letter from the post office with intent to obstruct correspondence (Rev St. § 3892) defendant may be convicted without evidence of an unlawful, clandestine, or fraudulent taking.	206
Proof of an intentional nondelivery of a letter so taken from a post office may be sufficient.	206
A person indicted for such taking of a letter with intent to pry into the business or secrets of another cannot be convicted if he knew the con- tents of the letter before he received it.	206
It is no defense that the letter related in part to defendant's business, or that in good faith he believed that the letter was of no value to the person to whom it was addressed, even if such be the fact, or that the letter was voluntarily delivered to defendant by the postman. PRACTICE AT LAW.	206
A stipulation induced by misrepresentations is not binding on the court.	502
PRACTICE IN ADMIRALTY. The practice of the federal courts in admiralty is governed by the rules of admiralty law found in the English Reports. PRESIDENT.	1027
The president has power to call out the military in aid of the civil author- ities of the District of Columbia. (Const, art. 2, § 2.).	1339

	Page
PRINCIPAL AND AGENT.	
No action will lie in the name of a principal on a written contract made	
by his agent in his own name, although defendant knew the agent's char-	415
acter.	
PRINCIPAL AND SURETY.	
See, also, "Bonds"; "Office and Officer."	
The sureties on the bond of a receiver of public moneys are not liable	
for moneys received before the date of the bond, but during his term of	1281
office.	
The sureties on the bond of a receiver of public moneys are not liable for	
money which came into his hands the day after the expiration of his term	1281
of office.	
A discharge from imprisonment by the secretary of the treasury of a	
debtor to the United States, under the act of 1798, does not discharge his	1358
co-obligors and sureties in the bond from their liability.	
PRIZE.	
A capture by naval forces of property stored in a warehouse near the	1027
shore of a harbor is a subject of prize jurisdiction.	1027
Where no prevarication or other improper conduct on the part of the	
captured vessel is shown the question of condemnation of the vessel is to	1273
be determined from the papers round on board.	
A captured vessel is subject to trial and condemnation for violating the	
law, whether the persons or means employed in making the seizure were	236
authorized or not.	
The destination of arms and munitions of war, and the use intended to	
be made thereof at the time of seizure, furnishes a test of their status as	1087
contraband or otherwise.	

	Page
A United States vessel is not subject to condemnation because it carries a special pass or license from the enemy, or the enemy's agent.	1273
Seamen on board a prize captured and condemned as enemy property have no lien for wages, as against the title of the United States and the rights of the captors.	932
The absence of all papers, where the vessel was captured off a blockaded coast, far out of the route of her ostensible voyage, after a long chase, <i>held</i> a strong presumption of intentional destruction.	236
PUBLIC LANDS.	
See, also, "Grant." The state, under its power of eminent domain, may make public roads through the lands of the United States, unrestricted by the proprietary right of the latter.	686
The person commencing an improvement has a right to continue, and any one that intervenes may be considered a trespasser.	1292
On the abandonment of a military reserve by giving notice to the secretary of the interior, the same may be considered as a part of the public lands open to entry and sale as other lands.	686
An indictment will lie for cutting or removing timber from any of the public lands, though the same are not reserved for naval purposes.	726, 978
Persons occupying under the pre-emption, homestead, and mining acts, before becoming the owners of the land, may cut and use the timber thereon, so far as the same may be necessary to accomplish the purpose for which the land is occupied, but no further.	86
The cutting and sale of timber from four acres in advance of the mining operation, where the only reason assigned is that the stumps may rot and be more easily removed, <i>held</i> unnecessary, and therefore unlawful.	86
A nominal fine, only, imposed for cutting timber, where defendant had made full reparation, and there was no intention to defraud the public.	38
The term "timber" signifies the standing trees and the felled trees pre- pared for transportation to a vessel or sawmill, such as sawlogs or lumber in bulk, but does not embrace any article manufactured from the tree, such as shingles or boards.	978
An indictment for removing timber from public lands must state the par- ticular section or quarter section from which the timber was taken, as a part of the description of the offense.	978

	Page
An indictment which describes the land, in general language, as "lands of the United States," is not sufficient.	978
An indictment for removal need not allege that the timber was removed from the land on which it was grown, or from which it was cut.	978
In an indictment for trespass in cutting timber, it is not necessary to de- scribe every kind of timber cut. The grant of lands under water to adjacent proprietors, under Act N. Y.	726
April 10. 1850, c. 283, must be confined to a line starting at the intersec- tion with the shore, and extending at a right angle with the thread of the stream, or at a right angle into the lake, without any regard to the course or direction of the line upon the land.	911
A person obtaining a grant in violation of the statute will be restrained, at the suit of a proprietor of adjacent lands entitled to the grant, from mak- ing erections upon the lands.	911
RAPE.	
An attempt by a slave to ravish a white woman is punishable by death. RECEIVING STOLEN GOODS.	460
The receiving of stolen goods in one jurisdiction with knowledge that they were stolen in another is an offense in the former jurisdiction. RELEASE AND DISCHARGE.	3
While a defendant is charged in execution, the debt is considered as sat- isfied, and a discharge of one co-debtor is a discharge of all. RIOT.	411
To constitute a riot, three or more persons must assemble with intent by	
force and violence to do some unlawful act, and mutually to assist each other against anyone who should oppose them in doing such act; and the act must be done in a violent and turbulent manner, to the terror of the people.	477
Premeditation and conspiracy, or promises of mutual assistance, are not necessary to constitute a riot.	477
A man may be convicted of a riot, who was not actively engaged therein, if he was present and ready to give support if necessary.	477
Three or more persons who act in concert, by prior arrangement, in a violent and turbulent manner, in opposing a public officer in the performance of his duty, <i>held</i> guilty of riot.	1339

	Page	
The previous intent and agreement to do the unlawful act may be inferred		
from the doing of the act accompanied by the declaration of an intent to	1347	
do it.		
A person convicted of assault and battery committed in a riot may still be tried and convicted of the riot.	477	
ROBBERY.		
To constitute robbery, there must be fear or force.	1080	
SALE.		
A usage in the grain trade in a certain locality to deliver barley in sacks		
may be shown, when nothing is said in the contract as to the mode of	871	
delivery.		
Where the seller notifies the buyer that he regards the contract as re-		
scinded, and will make no more deliveries under it, the purchaser may	871	
treat the contract as wholly broken, and at once recover damages upon	0,1	
the entire contract, without demand.		
SEAL.		
The common-law rule that a seal must consist of wax, or some tenacious		
substance, does not apply to a bond taken under an act of congress; and,	1305	
under the general usage, a scroll is sufficient to make the instrument a	1,00	
sealed instrument.		
SEAMEN.		
Shipping articles to a certain port and a market are sufficiently definite.	1290	
The statutes requiring bond for the return of all the crew do not apply to		
foreign seamen shipped at their own home for a particular cruise, ending	452	
where it began, and discharged there according to the terms of their con-	774	

tract.

	Page
Under the statutory bond to exhibit the crew list and produce the persons	
named therein, the master is bound to exercise all his lawful authority for	406
the purpose of banging back the persons named therein.	
It is the duty of the master to find and apprehend all deserters or seamen	406
leaving the ship openly.	400
The master is not exonerated from his covenant by merely showing phys-	
ical inability subsequently accruing on his part to perform it, or that oth-	406
ers, whose consent and concurrence were necessary, would not permit its	400
performance.	
The master should be considered as relieved from the performance of	
the condition of the bond when, by reason of sickness, or by being super-	406
seded in a foreign port, he becomes unable to perform the conditions.	
In an action on a bond for the safe return of the crew, parol evidence	
is admissible of the contents of a consul's certificate authorizing the dis-	452
charge of one of the men, on proof that such paper has been lost.	
A voyage from A. to B., or some other port, and return to the United	
States, is not ended on arrival at the first port of the United States, unless	1166
it be the port of discharge.	
The master has authority to confine his seamen in a common jail in a for-	
eign port for offenses and misconduct, in extreme cases, where the proper	912
correction or punishment cannot be effectual on shipboard.	
To complete the offense of maliciously and without justifiable cause forc-	
ing an officer or mariner on shore, or leaving him behind in a foreign port	89
(Act 1825, c. 276, § 10), it is not necessary that he should be in a condi-	- /
tion to return, and willing to return.	
It is an offense, under such act, to leave behind a seaman imprisoned by	
the master for using abusive language on the refusal of his application for	89
a discharge.	
The offense of maliciously forcing a mate on shore at a foreign port, and	
leaving him there, may be committed, although no physical force was	809
used, as in the case where the mate left the ship under a well-grounded	
fear of his life had he remained on board.	
The forcing a mariner on shore must be done both without justifiable	912
cause, and maliciously, to justify a conviction under Act 1825, c. 65, § 10.	
"Maliciously," in such statute, means, with a willful disregard of right and	912
duty, or doing the act against a man's own conviction of duty.	

	Page
A mere intention to give pain, or to torture the person assaulted, will not	
support an indictment against the master for an assault on the mate with intent to kill.	809
The owners may change the master after the seamen have shipped.	210
The master has authority to displace the mate and all other subordinate officers during the voyage.	966
The mate is a seaman, within the act of 1790, c. 36, § 12.	966
One who secretes himself on board before sailing, and discovers himself	900
after the vessel is at sea, is not one of the crew, though the master re- quires him to work, as a condition of his having food, and he does work.	1128
Seamen of the United States, put on board a vessel, of the United States	
by a consul, are bound to the same obligations, which exist in cases of	1041
articled seamen.	
A distressed American seaman, sent home on board an American vessel,	
his fare being paid by the American consul, is bound to do duty as a	930
seaman when called upon by the mate of the vessel.	
Foreign seamen on board American vessels are as much subject to pun-	515
ishment for acts of revolt, or attempts to commit revolts, as Americans.	
Where a registered vessel has entered on a whaling voyage without sur-	
render of her register, she is not an American ship, within Act 1835, c.	890
40, and an indictment will not lie against her crew for an endeavor to	
make a revolt.	
A revolt, under Act March 3, 1835, c. 40, consists, not only in an attempt	
to usurp the command from the master, or to transfer it to another, or to	515
deprive him of it, for any purpose, by violence, but in resisting him in the	
free and lawful exercise of his authority.	
The crew have no right to disarm the master, though using a deadly	
weapon, if they are in a mutinous state, and exercising personal violence	515
to resist his lawful command.	
Seamen who, with good reason, believe a vessel to be unseaworthy be-	210
fore the voyage is begun, may lawfully refuse to go to sea in her.	
An endeavor to make a revolt, within Act April 30, 1790, c. 9, § 12, is an	
endeavor to excite the crew to overthrow the lawful authority and com-	1166
mand of the master and officers of the ship.	
A combination by the crew to prevent the vessel from going to sea pur-	210
suant to the order of the master is an attempt to commit a revolt.	

	Page
To constitute an endeavor to commit a revolt (Act 1790, c. 36), there must be some effort or act to stir up others of the crew to disobedience of the master.	966
A seaman who comes on deck to ascertain the cause of a disturbance, and refuses to go below when ordered by the master, may be punished for an endeavor to make a revolt.	822
Any confinement of the master, whether by depriving him of the use of his limbs, or by shutting him up in the cabin, or by intimidation, preventing him from the free use of every part of the vessel, amounts to a confinement, under Act April 30, 1790, § 12.	1246
To constitute a confinement of the master (Act 1790, c. 36), it is sufficient that there is a personal seizure or restraint, although it may be for the purpose of inflicting personal chastisement.	966
Any confining of the master, whether by force or intimidation, is a con- finement, within 1 Stat. 112.	1041
The master cannot be confined by the officers and crew, except in a clear case, to prevent his committing acts which might endanger the lives of all on board.	1041
A seaman may endeavor to escape the infliction of personal chastisement for offensive language used, and may resist for the mere purpose of pro- tecting himself from injury.	1247
Where the master uses an unlawful weapon, or the seaman is exposed to danger of his life or limbs, he may resort to any necessary species of defense to avoid the danger.	1247
Where the master strikes a seaman, and is seized and so firmly held by him that he cannot extricate himself, the seaman is guilty of confining the master.	1247
One who joins in the general conspiracy, and by his presence counte- nances acts of violence, but who does not individually use force or threats to compel the master to resign the command, is guilty of the offense of confining the master.	1041

	Page
Where seamen who request a survey, when in port or within sight of land, are treated with unnecessary severity, their remedy is at law after	1000
their return, and not a resort to violence, unless in danger of the actual	1290
loss of life, and then at their peril, as the result may turn out.	
A vessel lying on the sea outside of the bar of a harbor of the United	1166
States, within three miles of the shore, is on the high seas.	1100
A vessel lying in the mouth of a river a mile and a half wide is on the	1240
high seas, within Act April 30, 1790.	1240
A vessel lying in a harbor, fastened to the shore by cables, and commu-	
nicating with the land by her boats, and not within any inclosed dock, or	1002
at any pier or wharf, is on the "high seas," outside of low-water mark on	1002
the coast.	
The federal circuit court has jurisdiction of the offense of endeavoring to	
make a revolt on board of an American ship in an inclosed dock into	822
which ships are floated on high tide, in the port of Havre.	
An indictment for confining the captain, for an assault in a foreign port	
on a vessel belonging to a citizen of the United States, need not negative	1325
the fact that defendant was tried and convicted or acquitted by the foreign	1,74,7
tribunal.	
Seamen are not liable criminally, where, on going on board, and after ex-	
amining the vessel, they refuse to serve on the ground that she is unsea-	1290
worthy, though she was not in fact unseaworthy; otherwise where they	12,0
refuse to continue after commencement of service.	
The crime of endeavoring to make a revolt is one against the master, and	
it is sufficient to charge it in the words of the act of 1835 to give the court	1002
cognizance of it, even within the requirements of Act March 3, 1825.	
On an indictment for an endeavor to make a revolt (Act March 3, 1855, §	
2), it is not necessary to give documentary proof of the national character	1002
of the vessel.	
To render a vessel American, so as to punish offenses on board of her,	
it is enough to show that she sailed from and to an American port, and	515
was apparently owned and controlled by citizens of the United States.	
The log book kept by the master is not evidence in an indictment for a	1041
revolt and confining the master.	
Where there is a verdict of guilty on two counts,—one for a revolt, and	515
another for an attempt to excite it,—the judgment will not be arrested.	
SEIZURE.	

SEIZURE.

See, also, "Customs Duties"; "Internal Revenue."	Page
The provisions of Act May 8, 1792, § 4, requiring the marshal to take custody of all goods seized by revenue officers, were abrogated by Act	248
July 18, 1866, § 31. Reasonable cause sufficient to justify seizure means probable cause, and imports a seizure under circumstances which warrant suspicion.	315
Where probable cause of seizure is shown, claimants have the burden of showing by a fair preponderance of evidence that the illegal acts charged were not committed.	1092
The seizure of a vessel which, under a codfishing license, has incidentally caught mackerel, is a municipal seizure, expressly provided for by acts of congress as justifiable if a certificate of probable cause is given.	758
A certificate of probable cause will be given if the officer making the seizure acts in good faith, and has reasonable grounds to suppose that the law has been violated.	758
A certificate of reasonable cause for seizure will be granted where it ap- peared that the collector acted under the instruction of the former officer in making the seizure, upon a construction of the statute adopted by the secretary of the treasury in conformity with an opinion of the attorney gen- eral.	723
It makes no difference whether the collector acted under a mistake of facts or of the law.	723
A reasonable ground of suspicion is reasonable cause for a seizure.	723
A lapse of two years <i>held</i> no bar to the application, but the laches were sufficient to east the costs of the action against the collector upon him.	723
SET-OFF AND COUNTERCLAIM. Claims against the government are not admissible as a set-off which have	
not previously been presented to and disallowed by the proper accounting officer, except in the case of absence from the United States, or unavoid- able accident preventing such presentation.	1139
The rejection of a claim by an officer authorized by special act to settle the same on equitable principles does not preclude its being set up as a set-off.	1139
In a suit by the government on a marshal's bond to recover moneys col- lected on execution, defendant cannot set off accounts which had been presented as a charge against the government in another claim to which they have a good defense under the statute of limitations.	613

	Page
SHIPPING.	0
See, also, "Fisheries."	
An enrollment and license duly executed does not require delivery to give	- , , -
it validity.	544
The vessels included within Act 1831, § 3, are not subject to forfeiture	
under Act 1792, § 16, relating to sales to foreigners without delivering up	987
the certificate of registry.	
A vessel which has been enrolled and licensed under the act of 1831,	
but whose license has become void by a subsequent sale, is no longer a	~~~
licensed and enrolled vessel, so as to be subject to forfeiture by her sale	987
in whole or in part to a foreigner in violation of section 32.	
A vessel enrolled and licensed under the act of 1793 for the coasting	
trade and fisheries is not subject to forfeiture under the act of 1792, § 16,	987
for false swearing on application for registration.	
The master is not liable for the penalty for the nondelivery of the tempo-	
rary register (Act 1793, c. 52, § 3) unless there be an arrival at the port to	1020
which the vessel belonged, not by accident or from necessity, but inten-	1038
tionally, as one of the termini of the voyage.	
The mere touching at a port to land passengers when on the way to an-	1040
other port will not make a case within the act.	1040
To work a forfeiture of a vessel for having been engaged in a trade other	
than that for which she is licensed, the old employment must have been	760
abandoned, and a new trade must be permanently and exclusively pur-	758
sued.	

	Page
A libel under Act Feb. 28, 1793, § 32, need not specify the particular trade in which the vessel was engaged at the time of the seizure.	454
A canal boat without motive power of its own, towed through a canal by horses, and on navigable waters by a steamer, does not come within Act Feb. 18, 1793, in relation to vessels employed in the coasting trade. The exception in section 42, Act Aug. 30, 1852, applies to a vessel built	219, 494
and used as a ferryboat, and employed one day only in carrying passen- gers three miles distance to a state fair.	386
A steamboat employed in transporting passengers between ports in the same state is not within the inspection law of August 30, 1852.	1021
A passenger steamer navigating the Ohio river between Pittsburg and Gallipolis, having but one licensed pilot on board, the captain acting also as pilot, has not the number of pilots required by law.	986
The captain may temporarily supply a deficiency in the complement of pi- lots which arises during a voyage without his consent, fault, or collusion, but he cannot begin a new voyage with a deficiency.	986
A master who, without being licensed, performs the duty of pilot to make up the required number, is liable to a penalty of \$100 (Act Aug 30, 1852), besides subjecting the boat and its owners to a penalty of \$500 (Act July 7, 1838, § 1.).	986
Where a mate appointed master in a foreign port knowingly sails with a larger number of passengers than that allowed by law, he is liable for the fine of taking an excessive number of passengers on board, though the agreement of shipment was made by the former master.	4
The penalties provided for by the passenger act of 1848 can only be re- covered by an action of debt on the common-law side of the court. SLAVERY.	89
A slave charged with simple larceny is to be tried and punished by a jus- tice of the peace.	1080
History and construction of the statutes in relation to slave trade.	78
Construction of Act May 10, 1800, in relation to the transportation of slaves from one foreign place to another.	1158
Act April 20, 1818, § 1, does not apply to a case of a colored person born and reared within the United States sailing to a foreign port or place on an American ship, and returning to a port of the United States.	218
Sufficiency of indictment founded on the slave trade act. (April 20, 1818, c. 86, §§ 2, 3.).	1167

	Page
Prosecution and punishment under Maryland acts for enticing a slave to run away.	625
Quære. Whether an indictment will lie at common law for enticing away a slave.	590
Sufficiency of indictment under Act Md. 1796, c. 67, § 19, for giving a pass to a slave.	625
Congress has power to pass the acts of 1793 and 1850, providing for the rendition of fugitive slaves.	990
A member of a vigilance committee formed to prevent the arrest of a fugitive slave is liable for aiding, assisting, and abetting in his escape.	918
On a prosecution for aiding in the escape of one arrested under lawful process as a fugitive slave, it is not necessary to show that he actually was the slave of the person at whose instance the process was issued.	918
The rendition of fugitive slaves under the acts of 1793 and 1850 is an executive, and not a judicial, proceeding, and trial by jury is not necessary therein.	990
STATES.	
On the admission of a state into the Union, the United States parts with jurisdiction over land owned by them therein, so far as the general pur- poses of government are concerned, except as to such jurisdiction as is expressly reserved and accepted.	1288
Jurisdiction which a state has once exercised cannot be withdrawn from it, and conferred on the general government, without the consent of the state.	1288
STATUTES.	
Where a statute of the United States makes any provision upon a subject within the scope of the powers of the general government, the state laws	23
upon the same subject cease to operate.	
Where any part of a state law is not applicable to the case at the time of the enactment of an act of congress referring to the laws of the states as rules of decision, the whole statute is inapplicable.	23
The title of an act of congress, when at variance with its provisions, will not be considered, except to explain doubtful meanings.	709
A penal statute will not be given a retroactive effect unless the intention is clearly expressed.	1296

	Page
In the construction of a penal statute an offender who is protected by its	
letter cannot be deprived of its benefit on the ground that his case is not	684
within the spirit and intention of the law.	
Repeals by implication are not favored, particularly in revenue laws, and	
will be only held to exist when the repugnance is positive, and then only	303
to the extent of such repugnance.	
The provision in a subsequent act providing a limitation for a prosecution,	
"any law or provision to the contrary notwithstanding," repeals prior pro-	546
visions on the same subject.	
Where a subsequent statute expressly substitutes a different tribunal to	0.1.1
determine a question, it impliedly repeals the former statute.	244
The repeal of a statute pending proceedings to enforce a penalty or forfei-	1000
ture under it will bar further proceedings, where there is no saving clause.	1089
Where a statute makes it a felony to steal the notes of any particular in-	
corporated bank, the act of incorporation becomes a public statute, and	595
may be proved by the statute book.	
The unwritten law of a foreign government may be proved by parol evi-	0.50
dence, hut the written law can only be proved by itself.	359
The statutes of England may be proven by the printed publications there-	a= (
of obtained from the queen's printer.	276
The construction of a foreign statute by those whose duty it is to apply	
and administer it will be followed, unless it appear clearly that it has been	1062
misinterpreted.	
SUBROGATION.	
Where a debtor gives his co-debtor a mortgage to secure the latter against	

Where a debtor gives his co-debtor a mortgage to secure the latter against	
the debt of their creditor, the mortgagee will be considered in equity a	1358
trustee for the creditor.	

	Page
TERRITORIES.	
Act July 20, 1868, imposing a tax on distilled spirits, being a general act,	1001
and passed since the acquisition of Alaska, is in force there.	1021
TRADE-MARKS AND TRADENAMES.	
The fact that the eagle is the national emblem of the United States does	
not prevent its appropriation by private parties for use as a trade-mark,	1202
especially when there is but slight resemblance in the figure of the eagle	1303
so used to that of the national emblem.	
The right of the proprietor of a trade-mark to its exclusive use, and to	
protect and enforce such right by proceedings in chancery, exists by virtue	875
of the common law, and independently of the trade-mark acts.	
A person cannot imitate the trade-mark of another by using any of its	
prominent and distinguishing words, where calculated to deceive the cau-	875
tious and careful purchaser.	
The certificate of the commissioner of patents as to the registration of a	
trade-mark <i>held</i> admissible in evidence under Rev. St. § 4940, and prima	1303
facie evidence of proper registration.	
TREASON.	
The going from the enemy's squadron to the shore for the purpose of	
peaceably procuring provisions for the enemy is not an act of treason;	628
otherwise where provisions are carried towards the enemy with intent to	040
supply them, though such intention is defeated.	
TRIAL.	
See, also, "Continuance"; "Criminal Law."	
The construction of the federal constitution by the supreme court is bind-	1063
ing on the jury as well as the court.	
The constitutionality of an act of congress is not a proper subject for the	1065
consideration of a jury.	
If a contract is to be made out through a correspondence, the question of	1046
its construction is one for the court, regardless of its extent.	
Where, after a jury is sworn, it appears necessary to examine and deter-	005
mine accounts between the parties, the jury will be discharged, and the	895
case sent to an auditor.	
The court has no right to give the jury any direction upon questions of fact but should call their attention to particular points, and observe upon	050
fact, but should call their attention to particular points, and observe upon the tendency force, and comparative weight of conflicting testimony	958
the tendency, force, and comparative weight of conflicting testimony.	

	Page
A verdict is nugatory in so far as it finds facts not put in issue by the	255
pleadings.	4))
Under Act Sept 24, 1789, § 32, the court may give judgment as the right	
appears, without regarding any imperfection or want of form in the ver- dict.	663
Where a cause of action against three is joint and several, and two join	
in their plea, and the other pleads severally, but no finding is made as to	217
one of those pleading jointly, it is no ground of arrest of judgment against	217
the other.	
TRUSTS.	
A broker for an army paymaster, who accepts his official checks in pay-	
ment for stock transactions, is charged with notice of the trust which a	
suitable inquiry would have revealed, and is liable to the government for	585
property thus embezzled.	
UNITED STATES.	
On the admission of Kansas into the Union, jurisdiction within forts of	
the United States within the state was not excepted, and the consent of	1288
the state is necessary to the exercise of federal jurisdiction therein.	
A suit prosecuted in the name and for the benefit of the United States	
will not be recognized in the federal courts unless they are represented	1350
by the district attorney, or someone designated by him.	
No property belonging to the United States can be disposed of except by	149
authority of an act of congress. (Const, art. 4, § 3.).	149
A contract made with the secretary of the navy cannot be rescinded by	1046
the chief of the bureau having charge of such contracts.	1040
The acts giving the United States preference in cases of insolvency will	1065
not be so construed as to destroy prior legal liens.	1005
An assignment, to entitle the United States to their priority (Act March	

3, 1797, § 5), must be an assignment of all the debtor's property, but it

The United States is not entitled to a priority, under Act 1799, c. 128, § 65, out of funds in the hands of assignees, unless there be a general

An assignment by partners of all their effects for the payment of their debts, for which the partnership estate is inadequate, is an act of insolven-

cy which will give the United States preference in the payment of their debts against the firm or its members.

need not be for the benefit of ail his creditors.

assignment by the debtor of all his property.

6

32

1056

	Page
The fact that a creditor gave up his intention of levying in consideration of	
a general assignment in trust, first to pay his claim, and then the debt of	6
the United States, will not prevent the assignment being fraudulent and	0
void as against the United States.	

WAR.

See, also, "Limitation of Actions"; "International Law"; "Neutrality Laws"; "Prize."	
The power of making war is exclusively vested in congress, but the pres-	
ident has power to repel invasions by hostile forces, even when congress	1192
has not declared war.	
The condition of peace or war, in a legal sense, must be determined by	
the political department of the government and the courts are bound by	284
that decision.	
The conditions of war and peace are purely for political determination,	
and courts will not take judicial notice that hostilities of the late Civil	325
War ceased, and peace was restored, by the surrender of any particular	343
army. (Reversing 324.).	
The prescriptions of the federal government impose no legal or moral	
obligation, and obedience is justified only on the ground of deadly coer-	325
cion by violence or threats.	
The principle of the law of nations, that where a war exists between two	
distinct and independent powers there must be a suspension of all com-	1087
mercial intercourse between their citizens, is not applicable to the war of	1007
the Rebellion.	
Assumpsit will lie by the United States, after the return of peace, to re-	
cover against a person indebted for money had and received to one of the	1163
insurgent state governments, as on a common-law obligation.	

	Page
Under Act July 13, 1861, and the president's proclamation in pursuance thereof, citizens of the rebellious states prima facie become, for purposes of commerce, quasi enemies, and cannot sue in the federal courts.	292
A granting of a license to trade by the secretary of the treasury restores the standing of the grantee, so as to enable him to be heard in the federal courts.	292
Every inhabitant of a state in rebellion during the Rebellion is considered as an alien enemy, and incapable of appearing in a federal court as a claimant of property libeled therein.	334, 335
In determining the status of rebel persons and property, the federal courts are guided by municipal, and not by international, law.	292
The concession of belligerent rights by the government of the United States to the Confederate States did not operate to suspend the revenue laws, so as to relieve goods imported in a port under control of the insur- gents from the payment of duties to the United States.	1293
Nor was such effect produced by the proclamation of April 19, 1861, de- claring a blockade of certain ports.	1293
Upon a libel of information to condemn certain railway shares of an alien enemy, the railway company cannot become a party without showing that it is the true and bona fide owner, and that no other person is the owner of the property in dispute. (Admiralty rule 12.).	335
The confiscation act (Aug. 6, 1861) is constitutional, and applies to real estate.	781
A forfeiture of property is imposed by Act Aug. 6, 1861, only where it is employed, with the knowledge or consent of its owner, in aid of insurrec- tion.	337
A federal district court in New York cannot acquire jurisdiction in rem to declare a forfeiture under the confiscation acts of August 6, 1861, and July 17, 1862, of shares in the capital stock of an Illinois corporation.	337
Under such acts the proceedings to condemn enemy property when seized must conform to the proceedings in admiralty and revenue cases.	337
An alien enemy has a right to appear as claimant, and to answer and de- fend the suit under such acts.	337
Act July 13, 1861, is not a penal, but a revenue, statute, and is to be con- strued liberally, so as to accomplish its proposed object.	284
Goods are "proceeding to" the interdicted port (Act July 13, 1861), and the shipper is guilty of an "attempt" to transport them in violation of law	284

	Page
(Act May 20, 1862), when he procures a permit by the use of fraudulent invoices.	Ŭ
A license to trade in the rebellious states, obtained through error, mistake, or fraud, will not prevent the forfeiture under Acts July 13, 1861, and May 20, 1862, the same being prohibitory acts.	292
Merchandise at sea, consigned to merchants in an insurrectionary state, but assigned to creditors in New York, to cover previous advances, three days before the proclamation of August 16, 1861, and passing into the custody of the assignees on its arrival, <i>held</i> not subject to confiscation.	271
A sale of contraband property by a citizen of one belligerent country to a citizen in the other belligerent country is a breach of allegiance.	284
The mere existence of a law prescribed by an insurrectionary government in itself is not sufficient to justify a sale to it, and prevent a forfeiture of the property sold, under Act Aug. 6, 1861, (Reversing 324.).	325
In a proceeding under Act Aug. 6, 1861, to forfeit an interest in a vessel, the pleadings and proceedings are subject to like rules as in ordinary cases of prize of war; and the mere charge of the offense is all the specification that need be made in a libel alleging that the property was seized as prize.	527
A pardon and amnesty do not annul past transactions, so far as to invali- date a previous judicial confiscation and sale of a claimant's property.	1097
Forfeitures incurred under Act July 13, 1861, during the continuance of hostilities, might be enforced afterwards.	1329
Forfeitures declared under Acts July 13, 1861, § 5, Aug. 6, 1861, May 20, 1862, July 17, 1862, can only be enforced by seizure of the property.	1329
The establishment of the provisional court for Louisiana by the president, as commander in chief of the forces of the United States, while they held the territory in which it was to exercise its functions, was an act warranted by the law of nations.	768
Such court continued rightfully to exercise its functions so long as its com- mission remained unrevoked, and the power of the United States contin- ued to support it in the exercise of them.	768
The agreement of capitulation between Generals Sherman and Johnston was a mere military parol, terminating with the war, and the persons in- cluded were liable to arrest for treason after the war.	911
The seizure of enemy property by the United States as prize of war on land, jure bell, is not authorized by the law of nations, and can be upheld only by an act of congress.	337, 1329

	Page
WASTE.	
The working of a gold mine is the taking away the substance of the estate.	416
A court of equity will, in some cases, enjoin the removal of the fruits of past waste.	416
On a motion for injunction to enjoin waste, complainant, on bill and an- swer, cannot read affidavits in support of his title.	416
WITNESS.	
A person convicted of an infamous crime is restored to competency by a pardon.	918
On a joint indictment of three persons for a riot, where one only is put	
upon trial, the others, who have forfeited their recognizances, cannot be examined as witnesses for him.	918
Free-born negroes, not subject to any term of servitude by law, are com-	20
petent witnesses in all cases.	20
A slave is a competent witness for a free black man on a criminal prose- cution.	1072
A slave is not a competent witness for a free mulatto in a public prosecu- tion.	1379
Competency as a witness of a negro generally reputed to be free.	79
The wife of the owner of stolen goods is not a competent witness for	
the prosecution, unless the husband has released to the United States his	1072
share of the fine.	
The owner of goods stolen by a slave, being entitled to one-half of the	794
fine, is not a competent witness for the prosecution.	/94
The person whose name is forged may testify for the prosecution under a charge of forgery.	479
The person defrauded is a competent witness for the prosecution upon an indictment for the fraud.	595

	Page
Upon an indictment for usually, the borrower, is a competent witness for the prosecution, if he has paid the money, and be not the informer.	18
An informer is a competent witness, although he may receive part of the penalty.	464, 465
A person who has been convicted of a conspiracy to defraud the creditors of an insolvent debtor is incompetent as a witness.	595
Upon an indictment of a husband for assault and battery upon his wife, the wife may testify for the government.	1131
A particeps criminals, where the statute of limitations has run in his favor, may be compelled to testify against the defendant.	1158
Jurors should not disbelieve a witness unless for good reason.	1312
A witness is not bound to answer the question whether he sold certain stolen goods to defendant.	5
In a criminal prosecution, the officer who apprehended defendant will not be compelled to disclose the name of his informant.	5
Persons who are material as witnesses for a party in a federal court may be compelled to appear, though they are members of the cabinet of the	1192
president of the United States.	
Quære: Whether an attachment should issue for refusal of federal cab- inet officers to obey a subpoena in a case where their testimony would not be legally admissible.	1192
The power to issue an attachment to punish a person for failure to obey a sunpæna is incident to courts of justice.	1192
Where a witness living in another state and district fails to obey a sub- pæna, and an attachment is issued for him, such attachment should be directed to the merchall of the court invites it	602
directed to the marshall of the court issuing it. Where a witness arrives before services of an attachment for not attend-	
ing, and makes a reasonable excuse, the attachment will be countermand-	975
ed on payment of the costs of issuing it.	
An attachment for contempt for not attending must not be served in the court-house.	975

This volume of American Law was transcribed for use on the Internet