INDEX.

[The references are to pages. The asterisk (*) indicates that the case has been reversed.] 25FED.CAS.

25FED.CAS.-88

25FED.CAS.-89

251 LE.C. (0)	
	Page
ABATEMENT AND REVIVAL.	
Where defendant in a suit in equity has neither	
been served with process, nor appeared, the suit	1067
cannot be revived against his administrator after	1067
his death.	
ACCORD AND SATISFACTION.	
An unperformed agreement cannot be pleaded	151
in bar as an accord and satisfaction.	454
AFFIDAVIT.	
See, also, "Oath;" "Perjury."	
An affidavit whose certificate does not state the	
place where it is taken is not admissible as	27
evidence.	
A certificate stating that a person of the same	
name with the one who administered the oath is	
a magistrate, but not stating that the person who	27
administered it is a magistrate, is an insufficient	
authentication.	
AMNESTY.	
As to the power of the president to pardon	
political offenders by the amnesty proclamation	707
of 1868.	
APPEAL AND ERROR.	
On a libel for condemnation of merchandise and	
gold coin, a decree directing that the coin should	
be deposited in the registry to await further	279
orders is not final; and an appeal will lie from a	419
decree, made over two years later, ordering the	
gold to be paid over to a claimant.	

	Page
An appeal from the district court is properly entered at the term of the circuit court begun next after the entry of the decree in the district court, though the term of the latter was not ended when the term of the circuit court begun.	365
An appeal, in a case of admiralty and maritime jurisdiction, not taken to the next term of the circuit court after the rendition of the decree in the district court, will be dismissed.	1104
After a final decree has been made by a district court sitting in admiralty, and the court has adjourned without day, the decree cannot be set aside or opened, so as to allow an appeal to the circuit court, a term whereof has intervened since the decree was made.	1333
If an appeal from such a decree be not taken to the term of the circuit court held next after the making of the decree, the right is lost.	
A writ of error does not lie at common law for the refusal of a court to grant a new trial.	1287
No bill of exceptions will lie in a capital case in the federal courts.	1287
Irregularities committed by the jury relative to their verdict cannot be examined by writ of error.	
Allowing a party to open and close is not the subject of a bill of exceptions.	938
The issue of nul tiel record is an issue of fact, and, as such, no writ of error lies from the judgment of the district court on that fact to the circuit court. (Act Sept. 24, 1789, c. 20, § 22.).	605
A seizure case triable by jury in the district court can be reviewed in the circuit court only on writ of error.	
Where a seizure case is, by agreement of the parties, tried by the district court without a jury,	1068

	Page
the record should be made up as in the case of	
a writ of error, with the proper exceptions to the	
admission or rejection of testimony, etc.	
Where the rulings of the court on documentary	
evidence are made the subject of exceptions,	020
the documents must be inserted in the bill of	938
exceptions.	
A writ of error to the judgment of the circuit	
court of the District of Columbia awarding a	585
peremptory mandamus is a supersedeas.	
Where, by the pleadings, a complete bar is	
shown to the action, a judgment for defendant	207
must be affirmed, though an error in his favor	297
appear on the record.	
ARMY AND NAVY.	
See, also, "Pensions."	
A purser in the navy, who disburses money for	
the United States which it is not his duty, as	1092
purser, to disburse, is, in equity, entitled to a	1092
reasonable compensation therefor.	
Pursers are bound by the naval regulations of	
1817 as to commissions on disbursements, in the	1092
absence of a different, authorized agreement.	
An officer of the marine corps, to whom an	
advance of money is made, is liable therefor as	1211
a debtor, and not merely as a bailee.	
A captain in the marine corps, acting and	
receiving pay as brevet lieutenant colonel, cannot	1211
receive either the pay or the allowances attached	1411
to the duties of captain.	
A captain in the marine corps, acting as brevet	
lieutenant colonel, while in command of a	
separate post designated by the navy department	1211
as entitled to extra rations, is entitled to double	
rations.	

	Page
In such case additional brevet pay is not to be	
allowed, unless there be at least two organized	
companies of men, with suitable officers, though	1211
the whole number of men present may average	
enough for two companies.	
The secretary of the navy may require navy	
agents to pay navy pensions, and their sureties	7.40
are responsible for the faithful performance of	740
such service.	
An army officer superintending works on	
fortifications, held entitled to credits for extra	997
services of 2½ per cent, of the amount disbursed	1392
by him.	
The death of an officer, as the result of an	
assault is an offense under Act Feb. 24, 1864. §	
12, where it was committed while the officer was	1004
engaged in the proper discharge of his official	
duties, where growing out of a matter relating	1335
thereto, though the offender did not intend to	
obstruct the execution of the law.	
If a person assault parties charged with this	
service, when they are not engaged therein, he	
is not amenable to the federal laws, however	1335
malicious the deed may be and even though it	
result in death.	
Persons employed by proper officers to arrest	
deserters, when, having come into a vicinage	
on such service, they are returning therefrom to	1335
another place to obtain assistance, are employed	
in arresting deserters, within such act.	
The protection of the act continues so long as	
the person is employed in a service necessary	1225
and proper to the discharge of his duty in that	1335
behalf.	

	Page
The protection which the law affords to persons	
executing it does not depend upon the legal guilt	1335
of the persons charged as deserters.	
A conviction for having procured or enticed	
a person to desert (Act 1812, c. 14 § 17) is	
sustained by evidence of the making of	
representations as to the means and facilities	452
for deserting to induce a person to enlist, with	
the belief that they were likely to cause him to	
desert, if they had such effect.	
Arrest.	
See, also, "Bail" "Criminal Law" "Extradition."	
ASSAULT AND BATTERY.	
It is an indictable offense to cruelly beat the	
slave of another in the public highway and leave	705
her there, exposed to public view.	
Assault and battery of a slave is an indictable	212
offense.	212
An indictment at common law in the county of	
Alexandria, District of Columbia, will lie against	314
a free negro or mulatto for assault and battery	314
upon a white man.	
The circuit court of the District of Columbia has	
no jurisdiction of assault and battery by a slave	
on a white man, and will order him to be taken	999
before a justice of the peace to be dealt with	
according to law.	
An assault with a dangerous weapon under Act	
March 3, 1825, § 22, is a misdemeanor; and	
the indictment need not charge that it was	1241
committed feloniously, or with intent to	
perpetrate a felony.	
ASSUMPSIT.	
Where the money of the government is	
improperly placed in a bank, the illegality of the	426
transaction is no bar to a recovery.	

	Page
BAIL.	
Where, after conviction, time is granted to	
prepare a case and move in arrest of judgment,	0.40
the court has no authority to take bail in the	843
meantime.	
A bond to secure the appearance of a person	
charged with two distinct offenses, taken for the	1354
aggregate amount, is invalid.	
A recognizance to appear to answer to a	
particular charge need not, on its face, describe	1282
the offense with which the party is charged.	
A recognizance is sufficiently certain if it sets	
out an act punishable by the statute without any	821
of the particulars.	
A condition that defendant should appear "to	
answer to the charge of stealing from the mail of	821
the U.S., contrary to the statute of the U.S. in	041
such case made and provided," held sufficient.	
The recognizance taken before a United States	
commissioner for appearance in court need not	798
show upon its face that the offense is embraced	790
within a federal statute.	
Where a recognizance to appear for trial is	
forfeited, but the accused appears at a	
subsequent term, the court may suspend the	1055
recognizance, for good cause shown by the	10))
accused why he did not comply with its	
condition.	
The federal courts have power, even after	
judgment on a recognizance, to relieve the	937
surety, where he is without fault in the escape	757
of the prisoner. (Act Feb. 28, 1838.)	
The court cannot remit the forfeiture of a	
recognizance in a criminal case after the term in	618
which it was entered.	

	Page
In a declaration on a recognizance, where the	- 450
officer taking it has jurisdiction over cases of	
the general description named therein, it is not	
necessary to aver the existence of the particular	1282
facts showing the authority of the officer to take	
it.	
A declaration upon a forfeited recognizance	
given in a criminal proceeding may be amended	1282
in the discretion of the court.	
BANKRUPTCY.	
Operation and effect of bankruptcy laws, an	ıd of
proceedings thereunder.	
A petitioner in bankruptcy is privileged from	
arrest on civil process pending the proceedings	876
on his petition to be declared a bankrupt.	
Discharge—Operation and effect.	
A discharge will release the bankrupt's liability	
as surety on a postmaster's bond, though the	780
postmaster was a defaulter.	
Criminal prosecutions.	
Sufficiency of evidence to hold a bail a bankrupt	
charged with obtaining goods on credit with	1272
intent to defraud.	
A retailer who buys large quantities of goods	
under the pretense of needing them in his	
regular business, but ships them off and sells	1205
them at wholesale at a sacrifice, is guilty of a	
misdemeanor.	
An intent to defraud only the original seller of	
goods is not within Act 1867, § 44 punishing	
bankrupts who, within three months before their	446
petition is filed, dispose of goods otherwise than	
in due course of trade, with an intent to defraud.	_
The crime of fraudulently omitting property or	
effects from a bankrupt's schedule is complete	446
when the false schedule is filed.	

	Page
The bankrupt is not guilty of perjury in	0,
withholding certain items from his schedule	
under the advice of counsel to whom he had	395
fairly submitted the facts in regard to his	
property.	
An indictment for perjury in a proceeding in	
bankruptcy is sufficient, in alleging the petition	816
as made "to a judge sitting as a bankrupt court.".	
On a charge of perjury by a petitioner in	
bankruptcy, the indictment need not set out	816
particularly or substantially the petition.	
In an indictment for perjury, in not giving a true	
and full account of the property of the petitioner,	406
the items on the schedule need not be stated in	400
the indictment.	
The allegation that the property was omitted	406
to defraud a certain creditor and others is	1393
sufficient.	1373
An indictment for omitting property and effects	
from the schedule need not allege that the	446
bankrupt took the oath of allegiance prescribed	110
by Act 1867, § 11.	
An averment, in the indictment against a	
bankrupt under Act 1867, § 44, that defendant	
was lawfully adjudged a bankrupt, is sufficient to	689
admit the record in the bankruptcy proceedings,	,
of which the examination of the bankrupt is a	
part.	
Sufficiency of indictment against a bankrupt for	
setting up fictitious losses, and secreting and	689
concealing portions of his property.	
BANKS AND BANKING.	
While a state bank, under Act Aug. 6, 1846,	
cannot become a depositary of public money, it	426
may lawfully contract with the secretary of the	
treasury to transmit a draft, or to draw on a	

	Page
fund at the place of payment and pay over the	
amount.	
The United States as a creditor, has a prior lien	
over other creditors in the distribution of the	608
assets of an insolvent national bank in charge of	000
a receiver.	
The assignee, as collateral security for a note for	
money borrowed of stock of the United States,	
has an equitable interest therein, enforceable as	745
against the United States claiming as a creditor,	/43
though the same has not been transferred on the	
books as required by Act 1790, c. 61.	
BASTARDY.	
In cases of bastardy the circuit court of the	
District of Columbia has no jurisdiction, except	849
upon complaint of the county overseers of the	049
poor.	
A recognizance cannot be taken by a justice	
of the peace in Virginia, except upon the	467
application of the overseers of the poor.	
The mother of the child is a competent witness	
for the prosecution on an indictment of the	
putative father, under Act Md. 1781, c. 13, and	544
may be cross-examined as to her connection with	
other persons.	
Evidence of the likeness of the child to the	544
supposed father is not admissible.	<i></i>
The confession of defendant having been given	
in evidence, he was not permitted to give	
evidence of his declarations at the same time	544
that others also had had connection with the	
mother.	
The only judgment which can be given under	
the statute is that defendant give security to	544
indemnify the county from any charge for the	J T T
maintenance of the child.	

	Page
The order for paying £30 a year can only be	0
made by a justice of the peace, under Act Md.	544
1796, c. 34.	5
BILL OF LADING.	
The indorsement of a bill of lading, unless made	
for value, or as security, does not pass the right	811
of property in the goods.	
BONDS.	
See, also, "Customs Duties" "Internal Rev	enue"
"Office and Officer" "Principal and Surety."	
The validity of a bond of security given to	
the United States, so far as it depends upon	
the capacity if the parties to make it, is to be	1258
governed by the law of the place where it is	
made.	
A bond voluntarily given to the United States	
as security is valid and binding, if the United	
States, in their political and corporate capacity,	
have a legal, pecuniary interest in the	1258
performance of its condition, although not	
expressly required or authorized by any act of	
congress.	
A statutory bond taken in a penalty greater than	
that prescribed by law is void, whether the	
statute prescribes a specific sum as a penalty,	1368
or a standard by which that penalty is to be	
measured so as to give a precise sum.	
A breach of the condition of a penal bond is not	
sufficiently traversed by a plea averring that the	751
obligors have not violated the condition to the	
extent charged in the declaration.	
A special plea of non est factum, averring that the supposed bond sued on is a mere escrow, is	
bad, unless it aver that the condition on which	751
it was delivered has not been performed.	
BOTTOMRY AND RESPONDENTIA.	
BOTTOWINT THAD INDICATION.	

	Page
A respondentia bond, unless given for value or	
as security, does not pass the right of property in	811
the goods.	
CITIZEN.	
See, also, "Indians."	
A person born abroad on board an American	
vessel, whose parents are citizens of the United	1264
States, temporarily absent only, is to be regarded	1364
as a citizen of the United States.	
A citizen of the United States cannot throw	
off his allegiance without a law authorizing the	1321
same.	
CIVIL RIGHTS.	
See, also, "Constitutional Law" "Elections	and
Voters."	
Congress is authorized to protect the rights	
secured by the thirteenth, fourteenth, and	1324
fifteenth amendments.	
The civil rights act of 1866 was within the	
power conferred upon congress by the thirteenth	
amendment, on the subject of slavery, under	707
which it had full power to pass all laws deemed	
proper for its entire eradication in any form.	
A railway employé who denies to a female	
passenger a right to ride in the only car in	
the train appropriated for the accommodation of	
ladies alone, solely because she is a person of	882
African descent, is guilty under the civil rights	
law of March 1, 1875, whether he acts under the	
instructions of his employer or not.	
A colored female passenger may be required	
to ride in a car set apart for colored female	
passengers, where it is equally fit and	882
appropriate in all respects with that set apart for	
the use of white female passengers.	

A crime inflicted upon a colored person, not by reason of his race, color, or previous condition, is not within the civil rights act of 1866. An indictment under the civil rights bill of 1866 should state that the offense charged was committed against the person injured, by reason of his race, color, or previous condition of servitude. CLERK OF COURT. Expenses incurred for board and lodging at hotels while attending at terms of the court held away from the place where the clerk is required to keep his office are not allowable as "necessary expenses of his office." (Act Feb. 26, 1853.) COMMISSIONERS. A commissioner in New York has no authority to take bail for the appearance of a party accused, for examination before himself at a future day. CONGRESS. A contract by the clerk of the house of representatives, made with a person to furnish wood, where no appropriation has been made to pay for the same, is not binding on either party. CONSPIRACY. Rev. St. § 5440, in relation to conspiracies to defraud the United States, does not extend to a case where the contemplated fraud was to depend entirely upon the passage of a future act of congress to make it effective. A mere agreement or combination to effect an unlawful purpose does not constitute a conspiracy, unless followed by an act done by one or more of the parties to carry the object of		Page
reason of his race, color, or previous condition, is not within the civil rights act of 1866. An indictment under the civil rights bill of 1866 should state that the offense charged was committed against the person injured, by reason of his race, color, or previous condition of servitude. CLERK OF COURT. Expenses incurred for board and lodging at hotels while attending at terms of the court held away from the place where the clerk is required to keep his office are not allowable as "necessary expenses of his office." (Act Feb. 26, 1853.) COMMISSIONERS. A commissioner in New York has no authority to take bail for the appearance of a party accused, for examination before himself at a future day. CONGRESS. A contract by the clerk of the house of representatives, made with a person to furnish wood, where no appropriation has been made to pay for the same, is not binding on either party. CONSPIRACY. Rev. St. § 5440, in relation to conspiracies to defraud the United States, does not extend to a case where the contemplated fraud was to depend entirely upon the passage of a future act of congress to make it effective. A mere agreement or combination to effect an unlawful purpose does not constitute a conspiracy, unless followed by an act done by one or more of the parties to carry the object of	A crime inflicted upon a colored person not by	1 age
is not within the civil rights act of 1866. An indictment under the civil rights bill of 1866 should state that the offense charged was committed against the person injured, by reason of his race, color, or previous condition of servitude. CLERK OF COURT. Expenses incurred for board and lodging at hotels while attending at terms of the court held away from the place where the clerk is required to keep his office are not allowable as "necessary expenses of his office." (Act Feb. 26, 1853.) COMMISSIONERS. A commissioner in New York has no authority to take bail for the appearance of a party accused, for examination before himself at a future day. CONGRESS. A contract by the clerk of the house of representatives, made with a person to furnish wood, where no appropriation has been made to pay for the same, is not binding on either party. CONSPIRACY. Rev. St. § 5440, in relation to conspiracies to defraud the United States, does not extend to a case where the contemplated fraud was to depend entirely upon the passage of a future act of congress to make it effective. A mere agreement or combination to effect an unlawful purpose does not constitute a conspiracy, unless followed by an act done by one or more of the parties to carry the object of		707
An indictment under the civil rights bill of 1866 should state that the offense charged was committed against the person injured, by reason of his race, color, or previous condition of servitude. CLERK OF COURT. Expenses incurred for board and lodging at hotels while attending at terms of the court held away from the place where the clerk is required to keep his office are not allowable as "necessary expenses of his office." (Act Feb. 26, 1853.) COMMISSIONERS. A commissioner in New York has no authority to take bail for the appearance of a party accused, for examination before himself at a future day. CONGRESS. A contract by the clerk of the house of representatives, made with a person to furnish wood, where no appropriation has been made to pay for the same, is not binding on either party. CONSPIRACY. Rev. St. § 5440, in relation to conspiracies to defraud the United States, does not extend to a case where the contemplated fraud was to depend entirely upon the passage of a future act of congress to make it effective. A mere agreement or combination to effect an unlawful purpose does not constitute a conspiracy, unless followed by an act done by one or more of the parties to carry the object of	_	/0/
1866 should state that the offense charged was committed against the person injured, by reason of his race, color, or previous condition of servitude. CLERK OF COURT. Expenses incurred for board and lodging at hotels while attending at terms of the court held away from the place where the clerk is required to keep his office are not allowable as "necessary expenses of his office." (Act Feb. 26, 1853.) COMMISSIONERS. A commissioner in New York has no authority to take bail for the appearance of a party accused, for examination before himself at a future day. CONGRESS. A contract by the clerk of the house of representatives, made with a person to furnish wood, where no appropriation has been made to pay for the same, is not binding on either party. CONSPIRACY. Rev. St. § 5440, in relation to conspiracies to defraud the United States, does not extend to a case where the contemplated fraud was to depend entirely upon the passage of a future act of congress to make it effective. A mere agreement or combination to effect an unlawful purpose does not constitute a conspiracy, unless followed by an act done by one or more of the parties to carry the object of		
CLERK OF COURT. Expenses incurred for board and lodging at hotels while attending at terms of the court held away from the place where the clerk is required to keep his office are not allowable as "necessary expenses of his office." (Act Feb. 26, 1853.) COMMISSIONERS. A commissioner in New York has no authority to take bail for the appearance of a party accused, for examination before himself at a future day. CONGRESS. A contract by the clerk of the house of representatives, made with a person to furnish wood, where no appropriation has been made to pay for the same, is not binding on either party. CONSPIRACY. Rev. St. § 5440, in relation to conspiracies to defraud the United States, does not extend to a case where the contemplated fraud was to depend entirely upon the passage of a future act of congress to make it effective. A mere agreement or combination to effect an unlawful purpose does not constitute a conspiracy, unless followed by an act done by one or more of the parties to carry the object of		
CLERK OF COURT. Expenses incurred for board and lodging at hotels while attending at terms of the court held away from the place where the clerk is required to keep his office are not allowable as "necessary expenses of his office." (Act Feb. 26, 1853.) COMMISSIONERS. A commissioner in New York has no authority to take bail for the appearance of a party accused, for examination before himself at a future day. CONGRESS. A contract by the clerk of the house of representatives, made with a person to furnish wood, where no appropriation has been made to pay for the same, is not binding on either party. CONSPIRACY. Rev. St. § 5440, in relation to conspiracies to defraud the United States, does not extend to a case where the contemplated fraud was to depend entirely upon the passage of a future act of congress to make it effective. A mere agreement or combination to effect an unlawful purpose does not constitute a conspiracy, unless followed by an act done by one or more of the parties to carry the object of		707
CLERK OF COURT. Expenses incurred for board and lodging at hotels while attending at terms of the court held away from the place where the clerk is required to keep his office are not allowable as "necessary expenses of his office." (Act Feb. 26, 1853.) COMMISSIONERS. A commissioner in New York has no authority to take bail for the appearance of a party accused, for examination before himself at a future day. CONGRESS. A contract by the clerk of the house of representatives, made with a person to furnish wood, where no appropriation has been made to pay for the same, is not binding on either party. CONSPIRACY. Rev. St. § 5440, in relation to conspiracies to defraud the United States, does not extend to a case where the contemplated fraud was to depend entirely upon the passage of a future act of congress to make it effective. A mere agreement or combination to effect an unlawful purpose does not constitute a conspiracy, unless followed by an act done by one or more of the parties to carry the object of		/0/
CLERK OF COURT. Expenses incurred for board and lodging at hotels while attending at terms of the court held away from the place where the clerk is required to keep his office are not allowable as "necessary expenses of his office." (Act Feb. 26, 1853.) COMMISSIONERS. A commissioner in New York has no authority to take bail for the appearance of a party accused, for examination before himself at a future day. CONGRESS. A contract by the clerk of the house of representatives, made with a person to furnish wood, where no appropriation has been made to pay for the same, is not binding on either party. CONSPIRACY. Rev. St. § 5440, in relation to conspiracies to defraud the United States, does not extend to a case where the contemplated fraud was to depend entirely upon the passage of a future act of congress to make it effective. A mere agreement or combination to effect an unlawful purpose does not constitute a conspiracy, unless followed by an act done by one or more of the parties to carry the object of	-	
Expenses incurred for board and lodging at hotels while attending at terms of the court held away from the place where the clerk is required to keep his office are not allowable as "necessary expenses of his office." (Act Feb. 26, 1853.) COMMISSIONERS. A commissioner in New York has no authority to take bail for the appearance of a party accused, for examination before himself at a future day. CONGRESS. A contract by the clerk of the house of representatives, made with a person to furnish wood, where no appropriation has been made to pay for the same, is not binding on either party. CONSPIRACY. Rev. St. § 5440, in relation to conspiracies to defraud the United States, does not extend to a case where the contemplated fraud was to depend entirely upon the passage of a future act of congress to make it effective. A mere agreement or combination to effect an unlawful purpose does not constitute a conspiracy, unless followed by an act done by one or more of the parties to carry the object of	I	
hotels while attending at terms of the court held away from the place where the clerk is required to keep his office are not allowable as "necessary expenses of his office." (Act Feb. 26, 1853.) COMMISSIONERS. A commissioner in New York has no authority to take bail for the appearance of a party accused, for examination before himself at a future day. CONGRESS. A contract by the clerk of the house of representatives, made with a person to furnish wood, where no appropriation has been made to pay for the same, is not binding on either party. CONSPIRACY. Rev. St. § 5440, in relation to conspiracies to defraud the United States, does not extend to a case where the contemplated fraud was to depend entirely upon the passage of a future act of congress to make it effective. A mere agreement or combination to effect an unlawful purpose does not constitute a conspiracy, unless followed by an act done by one or more of the parties to carry the object of		
away from the place where the clerk is required to keep his office are not allowable as "necessary expenses of his office." (Act Feb. 26, 1853.) COMMISSIONERS. A commissioner in New York has no authority to take bail for the appearance of a party accused, for examination before himself at a future day. CONGRESS. A contract by the clerk of the house of representatives, made with a person to furnish wood, where no appropriation has been made to pay for the same, is not binding on either party. CONSPIRACY. Rev. St. § 5440, in relation to conspiracies to defraud the United States, does not extend to a case where the contemplated fraud was to depend entirely upon the passage of a future act of congress to make it effective. A mere agreement or combination to effect an unlawful purpose does not constitute a conspiracy, unless followed by an act done by one or more of the parties to carry the object of		
to keep his office are not allowable as "necessary expenses of his office." (Act Feb. 26, 1853.) COMMISSIONERS. A commissioner in New York has no authority to take bail for the appearance of a party accused, for examination before himself at a future day. CONGRESS. A contract by the clerk of the house of representatives, made with a person to furnish wood, where no appropriation has been made to pay for the same, is not binding on either party. CONSPIRACY. Rev. St. § 5440, in relation to conspiracies to defraud the United States, does not extend to a case where the contemplated fraud was to depend entirely upon the passage of a future act of congress to make it effective. A mere agreement or combination to effect an unlawful purpose does not constitute a conspiracy, unless followed by an act done by one or more of the parties to carry the object of		1372
COMMISSIONERS. A commissioner in New York has no authority to take bail for the appearance of a party accused, for examination before himself at a future day. CONGRESS. A contract by the clerk of the house of representatives, made with a person to furnish wood, where no appropriation has been made to pay for the same, is not binding on either party. CONSPIRACY. Rev. St. § 5440, in relation to conspiracies to defraud the United States, does not extend to a case where the contemplated fraud was to depend entirely upon the passage of a future act of congress to make it effective. A mere agreement or combination to effect an unlawful purpose does not constitute a conspiracy, unless followed by an act done by one or more of the parties to carry the object of		1394
COMMISSIONERS. A commissioner in New York has no authority to take bail for the appearance of a party accused, for examination before himself at a future day. CONGRESS. A contract by the clerk of the house of representatives, made with a person to furnish wood, where no appropriation has been made to pay for the same, is not binding on either party. CONSPIRACY. Rev. St. § 5440, in relation to conspiracies to defraud the United States, does not extend to a case where the contemplated fraud was to depend entirely upon the passage of a future act of congress to make it effective. A mere agreement or combination to effect an unlawful purpose does not constitute a conspiracy, unless followed by an act done by one or more of the parties to carry the object of		
A commissioner in New York has no authority to take bail for the appearance of a party accused, for examination before himself at a future day. CONGRESS. A contract by the clerk of the house of representatives, made with a person to furnish wood, where no appropriation has been made to pay for the same, is not binding on either party. CONSPIRACY. Rev. St. § 5440, in relation to conspiracies to defraud the United States, does not extend to a case where the contemplated fraud was to depend entirely upon the passage of a future act of congress to make it effective. A mere agreement or combination to effect an unlawful purpose does not constitute a conspiracy, unless followed by an act done by one or more of the parties to carry the object of		
to take bail for the appearance of a party accused, for examination before himself at a future day. CONGRESS. A contract by the clerk of the house of representatives, made with a person to furnish wood, where no appropriation has been made to pay for the same, is not binding on either party. CONSPIRACY. Rev. St. § 5440, in relation to conspiracies to defraud the United States, does not extend to a case where the contemplated fraud was to depend entirely upon the passage of a future act of congress to make it effective. A mere agreement or combination to effect an unlawful purpose does not constitute a conspiracy, unless followed by an act done by 1342 one or more of the parties to carry the object of		
CONGRESS. A contract by the clerk of the house of representatives, made with a person to furnish wood, where no appropriation has been made to pay for the same, is not binding on either party. CONSPIRACY. Rev. St. § 5440, in relation to conspiracies to defraud the United States, does not extend to a case where the contemplated fraud was to depend entirely upon the passage of a future act of congress to make it effective. A mere agreement or combination to effect an unlawful purpose does not constitute a conspiracy, unless followed by an act done by 1342 one or more of the parties to carry the object of	1	
CONGRESS. A contract by the clerk of the house of representatives, made with a person to furnish wood, where no appropriation has been made to pay for the same, is not binding on either party. CONSPIRACY. Rev. St. § 5440, in relation to conspiracies to defraud the United States, does not extend to a case where the contemplated fraud was to depend entirely upon the passage of a future act of congress to make it effective. A mere agreement or combination to effect an unlawful purpose does not constitute a conspiracy, unless followed by an act done by 1342 one or more of the parties to carry the object of		314
CONGRESS. A contract by the clerk of the house of representatives, made with a person to furnish wood, where no appropriation has been made to pay for the same, is not binding on either party. CONSPIRACY. Rev. St. § 5440, in relation to conspiracies to defraud the United States, does not extend to a case where the contemplated fraud was to depend entirely upon the passage of a future act of congress to make it effective. A mere agreement or combination to effect an unlawful purpose does not constitute a conspiracy, unless followed by an act done by 1342 one or more of the parties to carry the object of		5
A contract by the clerk of the house of representatives, made with a person to furnish wood, where no appropriation has been made to pay for the same, is not binding on either party. CONSPIRACY. Rev. St. § 5440, in relation to conspiracies to defraud the United States, does not extend to a case where the contemplated fraud was to depend entirely upon the passage of a future act of congress to make it effective. A mere agreement or combination to effect an unlawful purpose does not constitute a conspiracy, unless followed by an act done by one or more of the parties to carry the object of		
representatives, made with a person to furnish wood, where no appropriation has been made to pay for the same, is not binding on either party. CONSPIRACY. Rev. St. § 5440, in relation to conspiracies to defraud the United States, does not extend to a case where the contemplated fraud was to depend entirely upon the passage of a future act of congress to make it effective. A mere agreement or combination to effect an unlawful purpose does not constitute a conspiracy, unless followed by an act done by one or more of the parties to carry the object of		
wood, where no appropriation has been made to pay for the same, is not binding on either party. CONSPIRACY. Rev. St. § 5440, in relation to conspiracies to defraud the United States, does not extend to a case where the contemplated fraud was to depend entirely upon the passage of a future act of congress to make it effective. A mere agreement or combination to effect an unlawful purpose does not constitute a conspiracy, unless followed by an act done by one or more of the parties to carry the object of	A contract by the clerk of the house of	
Rev. St. § 5440, in relation to conspiracies to defraud the United States, does not extend to a case where the contemplated fraud was to depend entirely upon the passage of a future act of congress to make it effective. A mere agreement or combination to effect an unlawful purpose does not constitute a conspiracy, unless followed by an act done by one or more of the parties to carry the object of	representatives, made with a person to furnish	833
CONSPIRACY. Rev. St. § 5440, in relation to conspiracies to defraud the United States, does not extend to a case where the contemplated fraud was to depend entirely upon the passage of a future act of congress to make it effective. A mere agreement or combination to effect an unlawful purpose does not constitute a conspiracy, unless followed by an act done by 1342 one or more of the parties to carry the object of	wood, where no appropriation has been made to	033
Rev. St. § 5440, in relation to conspiracies to defraud the United States, does not extend to a case where the contemplated fraud was to depend entirely upon the passage of a future act of congress to make it effective. A mere agreement or combination to effect an unlawful purpose does not constitute a conspiracy, unless followed by an act done by 1342 one or more of the parties to carry the object of	pay for the same, is not binding on either party.	
defraud the United States, does not extend to a case where the contemplated fraud was to depend entirely upon the passage of a future act of congress to make it effective. A mere agreement or combination to effect an unlawful purpose does not constitute a conspiracy, unless followed by an act done by one or more of the parties to carry the object of	CONSPIRACY.	
depend entirely upon the passage of a future act of congress to make it effective. A mere agreement or combination to effect an unlawful purpose does not constitute a conspiracy, unless followed by an act done by one or more of the parties to carry the object of	Rev. St. § 5440, in relation to conspiracies to	
depend entirely upon the passage of a future act of congress to make it effective. A mere agreement or combination to effect an unlawful purpose does not constitute a conspiracy, unless followed by an act done by one or more of the parties to carry the object of	defraud the United States, does not extend to	
of congress to make it effective. A mere agreement or combination to effect an unlawful purpose does not constitute a conspiracy, unless followed by an act done by 1342 one or more of the parties to carry the object of	a case where the contemplated fraud was to	681
A mere agreement or combination to effect an unlawful purpose does not constitute a conspiracy, unless followed by an act done by 1342 one or more of the parties to carry the object of	depend entirely upon the passage of a future act	
unlawful purpose does not constitute a conspiracy, unless followed by an act done by 1342 one or more of the parties to carry the object of	of congress to make it effective.	
conspiracy, unless followed by an act done by one or more of the parties to carry the object of	A mere agreement or combination to effect an	
one or more of the parties to carry the object of	unlawful purpose does not constitute a	
	conspiracy, unless followed by an act done by	1342
	one or more of the parties to carry the object of	
	the conspiracy into execution.	

	Page
The conspiracy need not be shown to have been	
formed at the precise time or times alleged in	1342
the indictment.	
Where the existence of the conspiracy, and	
defendant's connection therewith, is established	1342
by independent evidence, he is bound by the	1344
acts and declarations of his co-conspirators.	
Every member of a conspiracy is responsible	
personally for the acts of every other member	213
done in furtherance of its illegal purposes,	413
whether he be present himself or not.	
Allegations of the overt act are not required	
to be us full and minute in an indictment for	944
conspiracy as in an indictment for fraud without	744
any conspiracy.	
If an overt act in violation of law is charged	
as in pursuance of a previous conspiracy, it is	944
sufficient.	
An indictment for conspiracy to do an unlawful	
act need not aver the means agreed upon,	818
whereby the conspiracy was to be carried into	010
effect.	
An indictment charging a conspiracy to defraud	
the United States of the taxes due upon distilled	
spirits (Act March 2, 1867, § 30) need not allege	944
the specific mode agreed upon by which the	
object of the conspiracy was to be carried out.	
It is sufficient to aver that there was a conspiracy	
to defraud the United States of taxes legally	944
due, and that, in pursuance of such conspiracy,	, , ,
defendant committed a stated overt act.	
An indictment for conspiracy, under Rev. St. §	
5440, which avers the conspiracy, and the overt	
acts done to carry it into effect, is sufficient,	818
without stating the means agreed on to	
accomplish the purpose of the conspiracy.	

	Page
An indictment under Act March 2, 1867, § 30, is	
sufficient, if it charges an unlawful combination	
as actually made, and an act by one of the parties	
as an act intended to be relied upon to show	890
the agreement in operation, although it does not	-
appear in what manner the act described would	
tend to effect the object of the conspiracy.	
In the case of a conspiracy made punishable by	
aw, the entire acts of the conspirators in relation	
to the subject-matter, both before and after the	493
doing of the thing which was the subject of the	
conspiracy, are admissible	
After prima facie evidence has been given of a	
conspiracy, the statements of those implicated,	402
though not included in the indictment, are	493
evidence.	
CONSTITUTIONAL LAW.	
Where a constitutional grant or guaranty is that	-
congress or the state legislatures shall not pass	
aws for abridging a certain right, congress has	707
no power to legislate over the subject generally,	, 707
out only to provide remedies for a violation of	
the prohibition.	
Congress is not limited, in adopting legislation	
for the purpose of enforcing the fifteenth	
amendment, to cases in which there has been	1328
actual legislation by the general government, or	1940
by a state, denying or abridging the right	-
secured.	
In adopting legislation for carrying such	
amendment into effect, congress may provide for	
the punishment of a state official who refuses to	1328
perform the duties necessary to qualify colored	
citizens to vote.	
When state laws have imposed duties, the	1324

	Page
rights under the federal government, congress	
may make the nonperformance of those duties	
an offense against the United States, and may	
punish it accordingly.	
No person can be tried a second time for the	
same offense, after a trial by a competent and	1005
regular jury upon a good indictment, whether	1287
there be a verdict of acquittal or conviction.	
The circuit court of the United States cannot	
grant a new trial in a capital case after a verdict	1287
regularly rendered upon a sufficient indictment.	
A new trial may be granted in a capital case	
where the jury has been discharged from giving	
a verdict, for in such case the party has not been	1287
put in jeopardy of his life.	
The right to be secure in one's house is not a	
right, privilege, or immunity granted or secured	701
by the constitution of the United States.	
Act June 22, 1874, § 5, providing for the	
production of books and papers, does not violate	0.60
articles 4, 5, and 7 of the amendments to the	868
constitution.	
Act July 20, 1868, § 44, which declares that real	
property employed in a violation of a revenue	0.66
law shall be forfeited therefor, is not	866
unconstitutional.	
A law which reserves property from sale on	
execution for one year, if two-thirds of the	-
appraised value shall not be offered, is not	598
unconstitutional as to prior contracts.	
Act March 3, 1825, § 23, which punishes a	
conspiracy to destroy a vessel or cargo with	
intent to defraud the underwriters, is within	493
the power of congress to protect commerce, and	
applies to internal commerce.	
CONTEMPT.	

	Page
It is a contempt of court, under Act March	
2, 1831, to call another a liar, openly, in the	1012
presence of the court, while in session.	
An assault and battery committed in the hall of	
entrance into the court room, separated from it	
by a door, without panels, covered with cloth,	1012
is a contempt, either "in the presence of the	1014
court, or so near thereto as to obstruct the	
administration of justice.".	
A contempt in the piazza of the courthouse, into	
which the windows of the court room open, is a	313
contempt in the presence of the court.	
It is a contempt of court for an acquitted	313
prisoner to threaten vengeance, in the presence	1395
of the court, against the witnesses.	1393
It is a contempt of court for a juror, after being	
summoned, to voluntarily form and deliver an	839
opinion as to the guilt or innocence of the	039
accused, with a view of disqualifying himself.	
Where the return to a writ of habeas corpus is	
evasive and insufficient, the person to whom it is	
addressed, if present in court, will be committed	775
until he produce the prisoners, or be otherwise	
discharged.	
A witness who refuses to answer proper	
questions before a grand jury may be fined	350
for contempt of court, and be required to give)) (
security for his good behavior.	
An offer of a sum of money to a witness,	
to remove his objections to going without the	
jurisdiction of the court to testify, is not	41
necessarily an attempt to contaminate the source	
of justice, and a contempt of court.	
The pendency of a criminal prosecution is no	55
objection to the hearing of a motion for	,,

	Page
attachment for a contempt in the irregular	
examination of witnesses prior to the hearing.	
Contempts of court are crimes, and may be	0.2.0
prosecuted as such.	920
If the party purge himself on oath, the court will	
not hear collateral evidence for the purpose of	
impeaching his testimony and proceeding against	881
him for the contempt; but, if perjury appear, he	
will be recognized to answer, etc.	
CONTINUANCE.	
See, also, "Criminal Law."	
It is not a sufficient ground for a delay of the	
trial of a capital case that the party wishes to	
procure papers from a foreign country, since	1287
the court cannot issue process which will be	
effectual in procuring such papers.	
Where the execution of a commission to	
examine witnesses has been prevented by the	
acts or omission of the prosecutor or his agents,	917
defendant is entitled to a continuance, even if he	
be guilty of laches in taking out the commission.	
Prosecutor required to make affidavit that he	
could not, in his opinion, safely proceed to trial	
without the attendance of a witness, on the	1220
ground of whose absence a postponement was	
asked.	
CONTRACTS.	
Express acceptance of a proposition need not be	
proved, where both parties are shown to have	293
acted on it.	
A verbal agreement to repay certain advances	
is a sufficient consideration for a substituted	293
agreement made by correspondence between the	473
parties.	
Where the time for delivery is extended, though	833
no consideration is given therefor, the contract	ررن

	Page
cannot be rescinded for nondelivery until the	1 agc
expiration of the extended time.	
CORONER.	
The coroner is not bound to put in writing the	
effect of the evidence given upon an inquisition,	
unless the offense be found to be murder or	1052
manslaughter, and for false statements in other	1034
cases he is not liable.	
CORPORATIONS.	
A corporation which has purchased its own	
stock cannot vote upon the same in an election	
of directors by a trustee in whose name it is	585
held.	
COUNTERFEITING.	
On an indictment for passing counterfeit coin,	
possession of counterfeit bank notes is not	1374
admissible to prove the scienter.	1371
The possession of quantities of counterfeit coin	
of a different denomination from that laid in the	1374
indictment is admissible for such purposes.	-5,.
Where the prisoner is connected with others,	
evidence is admissible that different parts of the	
machine employed in counterfeiting were found	682
in the possession of such other persons.	
COURTS.	
See, also, "Clerk of Court"; "Interpreter."	
Comparative authority of federal and state co	ourts:
Process.	
The federal circuit court will not surrender one	
charged with a capital crime for trial in another	658
jurisdiction for a minor offense.	
Federal courts—Jurisdiction in general.	
Jurisdiction of the federal courts is derived alone	
from the constitution and laws of the United	0.00
States, and cannot be enlarged, diminished, or	908
affected by state laws or regulations.	

	Page
Quære, whether a federal court has jurisdiction	
to try a person who committed murder on board	1382
an American merchant ship in the Bay of Cadiz.	
An offense commenced to be committed on	
board an American vessel lying at the time in a	
river which is an arm of the sea on the coast of	
Africa, and continued uninterruptedly to a point	1364
in the Atlantic Ocean several miles from our	
shores, is within the jurisdiction of the federal	
circuit court.	
A violation of a law of the United States,	
committed on board a steamboat upon the	
Hudson river, within the ebb and flow of the	. ۔ ۔
tide, is within the jurisdiction of the federal	554
courts, although the place is, within the body of	
a county of the state of New York.	
A reservation on a cession of "concurrent	
jurisdiction" to serve state process, civil and	
criminal, in the ceded place, does not exclude	781
the exclusive legislation or exclusive jurisdiction	
of the United States over the ceded place.	
The circuit court has jurisdiction over the	
offense of murder committed within a fort	
purchased by the United States by the consent	
of the state legislature (Act 1790, c. 9, $\S\S$ 3, 7),	650
although in the cession the state reserved the	
right to execute its civil and criminal processes	
in such places.	
——Grounds of jurisdiction.	
The federal courts have jurisdiction in all cases	771
of marshals' bonds, irrespective of citizenship.	771
Circuit courts	
The jurisdiction of the United States court for	
the district of South Carolina extends	213
throughout the entire state.	

	Page
The circuit court for the Eastern district of New	- 0
York has jurisdiction for the indictment for an	
assault with intent to kill committed in the navy	887
yard at Brooklyn. (Act March 3, 1825.)	
Act March 3, 1851, transferring the Indian	
Territory to the Western district of Arkansas,	-00
did not deprive the court where an indictment	788
was pending of the right to try and determine it.	
Quære, whether the circuit court has jurisdiction	(10
of common-law offenses against the United	619
States.	1396
The circuit courts have jurisdiction in suits in	
rem for penalties and forfeitures arising under	1097
the internal revenue laws.	
—District courts.	
The district court for the district of Oregon has	
jurisdiction to try a person charged with the	
commission of a crime in Alaska, where such	303
person is found in the district of Oregon, or first	
brought there.	
The admiralty jurisdiction of the district court	
in revenue cases extends only to seizures for	1103
forfeitures under duty laws, as conferred by Act	1103
1789, § 9.	
Administration of state laws.	
The state laws of evidence are rules of decisions	938
in trials at common law in the federal courts.	930
The laws of the several states cannot be	
regarded as rules of decision in trials for	187
offenses against the United States.	
Procedure.	
The circuit court, under section 4 of the	
judiciary act, has power to devise the process for	
bringing any person before it who has committed	187
an offense of which it has cognizance, without	
reference to the process given by the state law.	

	Page
CRIMINAL LAW.	
See, also, "Assault and Battery" "Bail" "Bankru	ıptcy"
"Conspiracy" "Contempt" "Counterfeiting" "Diso	
Houses" "Elections and Voters" "Embezzle	
"Extortion" "Extradition" "False Pretenses" "Fo	rgery"
"Gaming" "Grand Jury" "Homicide" "Indictmen	t and
Information" "Jury": "Larceny" "Libel and Sla	nder"
"Neutrality Laws" "Obstructing Justice" "Per	nsion"
"Perjury" "Piracy" "Post Office" "Receiving S	Stolen
Goods" "Riot" "Seamen" "Shipping" "Sla	avery"
"Treason" "Witness."	
In general.	
Congress has power to punish for	692
misdemeanors.	094
Act 1790, c. 9, § 8, as well as Act 1820, c. 113,	
applies to all murders and robberies committed	1287
on board of or upon American ships on the high	140/
seas.	
Where a statute declaring an offense and its	
punishment is repealed without a provision	
saving pending prosecutions, an indictment	1085
previously found, but not yet tried, should be	
quashed on motion.	
Criminal liability.	
An unlawful intent will be inferred from an	767
unlawful act.	707
An intent to seize a man by force for uttering	
slanderous or offensive words, and to carry him	1062
by force before a justice of the peace, without a	1002
legal warrant, is an unlawful intent.	
Insanity directly caused by the immediate	
influence of intoxicating liquors is no defense	
on a prosecution for murder committed during	913
such intoxication; otherwise where insanity is	/ ± J
remotely occasioned by undue indulgence in	
spirituous liquor.	

effects of intoxicating drink, as not to have been conscious of the moral turpitude of the act. Intoxication is an excuse for crime where an habitual or fixed frenzy is produced thereby. Principal and accessory. The person charged as accessory may be tried and convicted, if the principal cannot be found; but, where the principal has been tried and acquitted, a person charged as accessory will be discharged on motion. Limitation of prosecutions. Rev. St. § 5440, punishing conspiracies to commit any offense against the government, or to defraud it, is a part of the revenue laws, and prosecutions thereunder are limited to five years (Section 1046.). 946, Jurisdiction. In the case of a homicide by shooting, the place where the shot takes effect, and not that from which it is fired, determines the jurisdiction of the offense. A shot from an American vessel in a foreign harbor killed a person on board of a foreign vessel lying in such harbor. Held, that jurisdiction of the offense belonged to the foreign government, and not to the courts of the United States, under Act 1790, c. 36, § 12. Preliminary hearing: Arrest, commitment, custody, an		Page
of committing the act, was in such a state of mental insanity, not produced by the immediate effects of intoxicating drink, as not to have been conscious of the moral turpitude of the act. Intoxication is an excuse for crime where an habitual or fixed frenzy is produced thereby. Principal and accessory. The person charged as accessory may be tried and convicted, if the principal cannot be found; but, where the principal has been tried and acquitted, a person charged as accessory will be discharged on motion. Limitation of prosecutions. Rev. St. § 5440, punishing conspiracies to commit any offense against the government, or to defraud it, is a part of the revenue laws, and prosecutions thereunder are limited to five years (Section 1046.). 946, Jurisdiction. In the case of a homicide by shooting, the place where the shot takes effect, and not that from which it is fired, determines the jurisdiction of the offense. A shot from an American vessel in a foreign harbor killed a person on board of a foreign vessel lying in such harbor. Held, that jurisdiction of the offense belonged to the foreign government, and not to the courts of the United States, under Act 1790, c. 36, § 12. Preliminary hearing: Arrest, commitment, custody, an	It is a good defense that the prisoner, at the time	
mental insanity, not produced by the immediate effects of intoxicating drink, as not to have been conscious of the moral turpitude of the act. Intoxication is an excuse for crime where an habitual or fixed frenzy is produced thereby. Principal and accessory. The person charged as accessory may be tried and convicted, if the principal cannot be found; but, where the principal has been tried and acquitted, a person charged as accessory will be discharged on motion. Limitation of prosecutions. Rev. St. § 5440, punishing conspiracies to commit any offense against the government, or to defraud it, is a part of the revenue laws, and prosecutions thereunder are limited to five years (Section 1046.). 946, Jurisdiction. In the case of a homicide by shooting, the place where the shot takes effect, and not that from which it is fired, determines the jurisdiction of the offense. A shot from an American vessel in a foreign harbor killed a person on board of a foreign vessel lying in such harbor. Held, that jurisdiction of the offense belonged to the foreign government, and not to the courts of the United States, under Act 1790, c. 36, § 12. Preliminary hearing: Arrest, commitment, custody, an		
effects of intoxicating drink, as not to have been conscious of the moral turpitude of the act. Intoxication is an excuse for crime where an habitual or fixed frenzy is produced thereby. Principal and accessory. The person charged as accessory may be tried and convicted, if the principal cannot be found; but, where the principal has been tried and acquitted, a person charged as accessory will be discharged on motion. Limitation of prosecutions. Rev. St. § 5440, punishing conspiracies to commit any offense against the government, or to defraud it, is a part of the revenue laws, and prosecutions thereunder are limited to five years (Section 1046.). 946, Jurisdiction. In the case of a homicide by shooting, the place where the shot takes effect, and not that from which it is fired, determines the jurisdiction of the offense. A shot from an American vessel in a foreign harbor killed a person on board of a foreign vessel lying in such harbor. Held, that jurisdiction of the offense belonged to the foreign government, and not to the courts of the United States, under Act 1790, c. 36, § 12. Preliminary hearing: Arrest, commitment, custody, an		454
conscious of the moral turpitude of the act. Intoxication is an excuse for crime where an habitual or fixed frenzy is produced thereby. Principal and accessory. The person charged as accessory may be tried and convicted, if the principal cannot be found; but, where the principal has been tried and acquitted, a person charged as accessory will be discharged on motion. Limitation of prosecutions. Rev. St. § 5440, punishing conspiracies to commit any offense against the government, or to defraud it, is a part of the revenue laws, and prosecutions thereunder are limited to five years (Section 1046.). 946, Jurisdiction. In the case of a homicide by shooting, the place where the shot takes effect, and not that from which it is fired, determines the jurisdiction of the offense. A shot from an American vessel in a foreign harbor killed a person on board of a foreign vessel lying in such harbor. Held, that jurisdiction of the offense belonged to the foreign government, and not to the courts of the United States, under Act 1790, c. 36, § 12. Preliminary hearing: Arrest, commitment, custody, an		
habitual or fixed frenzy is produced thereby. Principal and accessory. The person charged as accessory may be tried and convicted, if the principal cannot be found; but, where the principal has been tried and acquitted, a person charged as accessory will be discharged on motion. Limitation of prosecutions. Rev. St. § 5440, punishing conspiracies to commit any offense against the government, or to defraud it, is a part of the revenue laws, and prosecutions thereunder are limited to five years (Section 1046.). 946, Jurisdiction. In the case of a homicide by shooting, the place where the shot takes effect, and not that from which it is fired, determines the jurisdiction of the offense. A shot from an American vessel in a foreign harbor killed a person on board of a foreign vessel lying in such harbor. Held, that jurisdiction of the offense belonged to the foreign government, and not to the courts of the United States, under Act 1790, c. 36, § 12. Preliminary hearing: Arrest, commitment, custody, an		
Principal and accessory. The person charged as accessory may be tried and convicted, if the principal cannot be found; but, where the principal has been tried and acquitted, a person charged as accessory will be discharged on motion. Limitation of prosecutions. Rev. St. § 5440, punishing conspiracies to commit any offense against the government, or to defraud it, is a part of the revenue laws, and prosecutions thereunder are limited to five years (Section 1046.). 946, Jurisdiction. In the case of a homicide by shooting, the place where the shot takes effect, and not that from which it is fired, determines the jurisdiction of the offense. A shot from an American vessel in a foreign harbor killed a person on board of a foreign vessel lying in such harbor. Held, that jurisdiction of the offense belonged to the foreign government, and not to the courts of the United States, under Act 1790, c. 36, § 12. Preliminary hearing: Arrest, commitment, custody, an	Intoxication is an excuse for crime where an	
The person charged as accessory may be tried and convicted, if the principal cannot be found; but, where the principal has been tried and acquitted, a person charged as accessory will be discharged on motion. Limitation of prosecutions. Rev. St. § 5440, punishing conspiracies to commit any offense against the government, or to defraud it, is a part of the revenue laws, and prosecutions thereunder are limited to five years (Section 1046.). 946, Jurisdiction. In the case of a homicide by shooting, the place where the shot takes effect, and not that from which it is fired, determines the jurisdiction of the offense. A shot from an American vessel in a foreign harbor killed a person on board of a foreign vessel lying in such harbor. Held, that jurisdiction of the offense belonged to the foreign government, and not to the courts of the United States, under Act 1790, c. 36, § 12. Preliminary hearing: Arrest, commitment, custody, an	habitual or fixed frenzy is produced thereby.	1141
and convicted, if the principal cannot be found; but, where the principal has been tried and acquitted, a person charged as accessory will be discharged on motion. Limitation of prosecutions. Rev. St. § 5440, punishing conspiracies to commit any offense against the government, or to defraud it, is a part of the revenue laws, and prosecutions thereunder are limited to five years (Section 1046.). 946, Jurisdiction. In the case of a homicide by shooting, the place where the shot takes effect, and not that from which it is fired, determines the jurisdiction of the offense. A shot from an American vessel in a foreign harbor killed a person on board of a foreign vessel lying in such harbor. Held, that jurisdiction of the offense belonged to the foreign government, and not to the courts of the United States, under Act 1790, c. 36, § 12. Preliminary hearing: Arrest, commitment, custody, an	Principal and accessory.	
but, where the principal has been tried and acquitted, a person charged as accessory will be discharged on motion. Limitation of prosecutions. Rev. St. § 5440, punishing conspiracies to commit any offense against the government, or to defraud it, is a part of the revenue laws, and prosecutions thereunder are limited to five years (Section 1046.). 946, Jurisdiction. In the case of a homicide by shooting, the place where the shot takes effect, and not that from which it is fired, determines the jurisdiction of the offense. A shot from an American vessel in a foreign harbor killed a person on board of a foreign vessel lying in such harbor. Held, that jurisdiction of the offense belonged to the foreign government, and not to the courts of the United States, under Act 1790, c. 36, § 12. Preliminary hearing: Arrest, commitment, custody, an	The person charged as accessory may be tried	
acquitted, a person charged as accessory will be discharged on motion. Limitation of prosecutions. Rev. St. § 5440, punishing conspiracies to commit any offense against the government, or to defraud it, is a part of the revenue laws, and prosecutions thereunder are limited to five years (Section 1046.). 946, Jurisdiction. In the case of a homicide by shooting, the place where the shot takes effect, and not that from which it is fired, determines the jurisdiction of the offense. A shot from an American vessel in a foreign harbor killed a person on board of a foreign vessel lying in such harbor. Held, that jurisdiction of the offense belonged to the foreign government, and not to the courts of the United States, under Act 1790, c. 36, § 12. Preliminary hearing: Arrest, commitment, custody, an	and convicted, if the principal cannot be found;	
Limitation of prosecutions. Rev. St. § 5440, punishing conspiracies to commit any offense against the government, or to defraud it, is a part of the revenue laws, and prosecutions thereunder are limited to five years (Section 1046.). 946, Jurisdiction. In the case of a homicide by shooting, the place where the shot takes effect, and not that from which it is fired, determines the jurisdiction of the offense. A shot from an American vessel in a foreign harbor killed a person on board of a foreign vessel lying in such harbor. Held, that jurisdiction of the offense belonged to the foreign government, and not to the courts of the United States, under Act 1790, c. 36, § 12. Preliminary hearing: Arrest, commitment, custody, an	but, where the principal has been tried and	691
Limitation of prosecutions. Rev. St. § 5440, punishing conspiracies to commit any offense against the government, or to defraud it, is a part of the revenue laws, and prosecutions thereunder are limited to five years (Section 1046.). 946, Jurisdiction. In the case of a homicide by shooting, the place where the shot takes effect, and not that from which it is fired, determines the jurisdiction of the offense. A shot from an American vessel in a foreign harbor killed a person on board of a foreign vessel lying in such harbor. Held, that jurisdiction of the offense belonged to the foreign government, and not to the courts of the United States, under Act 1790, c. 36, § 12. Preliminary hearing: Arrest, commitment, custody, an	acquitted, a person charged as accessory will be	
Rev. St. § 5440, punishing conspiracies to commit any offense against the government, or to defraud it, is a part of the revenue laws, and prosecutions thereunder are limited to five years (Section 1046.). 946, Jurisdiction. In the case of a homicide by shooting, the place where the shot takes effect, and not that from which it is fired, determines the jurisdiction of the offense. A shot from an American vessel in a foreign harbor killed a person on board of a foreign vessel lying in such harbor. Held, that jurisdiction of the offense belonged to the foreign government, and not to the courts of the United States, under Act 1790, c. 36, § 12. Preliminary hearing: Arrest, commitment, custody, an	discharged on motion.	
commit any offense against the government, or to defraud it, is a part of the revenue laws, and prosecutions thereunder are limited to five years (Section 1046.). 946, Jurisdiction. In the case of a homicide by shooting, the place where the shot takes effect, and not that from which it is fired, determines the jurisdiction of the offense. A shot from an American vessel in a foreign harbor killed a person on board of a foreign vessel lying in such harbor. Held, that jurisdiction of the offense belonged to the foreign government, and not to the courts of the United States, under Act 1790, c. 36, § 12. Preliminary hearing: Arrest, commitment, custody, an	Limitation of prosecutions.	
to defraud it, is a part of the revenue laws, and prosecutions thereunder are limited to five years (Section 1046.). 946, Jurisdiction. In the case of a homicide by shooting, the place where the shot takes effect, and not that from which it is fired, determines the jurisdiction of the offense. A shot from an American vessel in a foreign harbor killed a person on board of a foreign vessel lying in such harbor. Held, that jurisdiction of the offense belonged to the foreign government, and not to the courts of the United States, under Act 1790, c. 36, § 12. Preliminary hearing: Arrest, commitment, custody, an	Rev. St. § 5440, punishing conspiracies to	
prosecutions thereunder are limited to five years (Section 1046.). 946, Jurisdiction. In the case of a homicide by shooting, the place where the shot takes effect, and not that from which it is fired, determines the jurisdiction of the offense. A shot from an American vessel in a foreign harbor killed a person on board of a foreign vessel lying in such harbor. Held, that jurisdiction of the offense belonged to the foreign government, and not to the courts of the United States, under Act 1790, c. 36, § 12. Preliminary hearing: Arrest, commitment, custody, an	commit any offense against the government, or	
(Section 1046.). 946, Jurisdiction. In the case of a homicide by shooting, the place where the shot takes effect, and not that from which it is fired, determines the jurisdiction of the offense. A shot from an American vessel in a foreign harbor killed a person on board of a foreign vessel lying in such harbor. Held, that jurisdiction of the offense belonged to the foreign government, and not to the courts of the United States, under Act 1790, c. 36, § 12. Preliminary hearing: Arrest, commitment, custody, an	to defraud it, is a part of the revenue laws, and	1057
Jurisdiction. In the case of a homicide by shooting, the place where the shot takes effect, and not that from which it is fired, determines the jurisdiction of the offense. A shot from an American vessel in a foreign harbor killed a person on board of a foreign vessel lying in such harbor. Held, that jurisdiction of the offense belonged to the foreign government, and not to the courts of the United States, under Act 1790, c. 36, § 12. Preliminary hearing: Arrest, commitment, custody, an	prosecutions thereunder are limited to five years	
In the case of a homicide by shooting, the place where the shot takes effect, and not that from which it is fired, determines the jurisdiction of the offense. A shot from an American vessel in a foreign harbor killed a person on board of a foreign vessel lying in such harbor. <i>Held</i> , that jurisdiction of the offense belonged to the foreign government, and not to the courts of the United States, under Act 1790, c. 36, § 12. Preliminary hearing: Arrest, commitment, custody, an	(Section 1046.). 946,	
where the shot takes effect, and not that from which it is fired, determines the jurisdiction of the offense. A shot from an American vessel in a foreign harbor killed a person on board of a foreign vessel lying in such harbor. <i>Held</i> , that jurisdiction of the offense belonged to the foreign government, and not to the courts of the United States, under Act 1790, c. 36, § 12. Preliminary hearing: Arrest, commitment, custody, an	Jurisdiction.	
which it is fired, determines the jurisdiction of the offense. A shot from an American vessel in a foreign harbor killed a person on board of a foreign vessel lying in such harbor. <i>Held</i> , that jurisdiction of the offense belonged to the foreign government, and not to the courts of the United States, under Act 1790, c. 36, § 12. Preliminary hearing: Arrest, commitment, custody, an	In the case of a homicide by shooting, the place	
which it is fired, determines the jurisdiction of the offense. A shot from an American vessel in a foreign harbor killed a person on board of a foreign vessel lying in such harbor. <i>Held</i> , that jurisdiction of the offense belonged to the foreign government, and not to the courts of the United States, under Act 1790, c. 36, § 12. Preliminary hearing: Arrest, commitment, custody, an	where the shot takes effect, and not that from	706
A shot from an American vessel in a foreign harbor killed a person on board of a foreign vessel lying in such harbor. <i>Held</i> , that jurisdiction of the offense belonged to the foreign government, and not to the courts of the United States, under Act 1790, c. 36, § 12. Preliminary hearing: Arrest, commitment, custody, an	which it is fired, determines the jurisdiction of	/00
harbor killed a person on board of a foreign vessel lying in such harbor. <i>Held</i> , that jurisdiction of the offense belonged to the foreign government, and not to the courts of the United States, under Act 1790, c. 36, § 12. Preliminary hearing: Arrest, commitment, custody, an	the offense.	
vessel lying in such harbor. <i>Held</i> , that jurisdiction of the offense belonged to the foreign government, and not to the courts of the United States, under Act 1790, c. 36, § 12. Preliminary hearing: Arrest, commitment, custody, an	A shot from an American vessel in a foreign	
jurisdiction of the offense belonged to the foreign government, and not to the courts of the United States, under Act 1790, c. 36, § 12. Preliminary hearing: Arrest, commitment, custody, an	harbor killed a person on board of a foreign	
jurisdiction of the offense belonged to the foreign government, and not to the courts of the United States, under Act 1790, c. 36, § 12. Preliminary hearing: Arrest, commitment, custody, an	vessel lying in such harbor. Held, that	706
United States, under Act 1790, c. 36, § 12. Preliminary hearing: Arrest, commitment, custody, an	jurisdiction of the offense belonged to the	/80
Preliminary hearing: Arrest, commitment, custody, an	foreign government, and not to the courts of the	
	United States, under Act 1790, c. 36, § 12.	
discharge of accused.	Preliminary hearing: Arrest, commitment, custody	, and
	discharge of accused.	
Criminal process will not be awarded on the	Criminal process will not be awarded on the	
mere motion and suggestion of the district	mere motion and suggestion of the district	1
attorney, unsupported by oath.	attorney, unsupported by oath.	

	Page
On a preliminary examination, probable cause	2
must be shown.	4
The circuit court of the United States, sitting as	
a court, possesses the power to commit a person	25
charged with an offense against the United	4)
States.	
The fact that the prosecutor has evidence to	
support an indictment, and the grand jury is	25
in session, ready to receive it is no ground for	43
refusing to hear a motion to commit a person.	
On preliminary examination, prima facie	
evidence of guilt is sufficient to hold to bail until	481
the offense may be examined by a grand jury.	
Proof furnishing good reason to believe that the	
crime alleged has been committed by the person	2
charged, though not sufficient for a conviction,	4
will suffice for commitment.	
Where a witness resides at a great distance, and	
there is no evidence that the materiality of his	
testimony was known to the prosecutors in time	27
to have directed his attendance, the magistrate	
will act upon his affidavit.	
A person accused should be retained in custody,	
or required to give security for his appearance,	55
while his examination is pending, but only on))
evidence sufficient to furnish probable cause.	
The question raised by a defense made in the	
nature of a plea autrefois acquit will not be	201
determined on a preliminary examination to	401
commit a person for high treason.	
Where a person, after an acquittal on an	
indictment for treason, is in the custody of the	
marshal, bound to answer an indictment for a	187
misdemeanor, the court has no authority to send	10/
him to another district for trial for treason in the	
place where the crime was committed.	

	Page
A capias may be issued upon an indictment as the first process against the defendant.	673
A capias is the proper process to bring an accused in to answer to an indictment for an offense against the laws of the United States.	187
Where the accused is already in court, an order of the court will supply the place of a capias.	187
Where the indictment has been quashed, defendant will be held in custody to answer a new indictment.	944
Where the presentment of the grand jury is equivalent to the finding of "Not found," or "Not a true bill," the prisoner will be discharged.	1003
Control of prosecution.	
The president has no power to interfere with a public prosecution, except to put an end to it and discharge the accused. He has no power to change the proceedings, nor the place of trial.	658
The secretary of the treasury has no power to compromise criminal proceedings pending in court.	
Arraignment and plea.	
In capital cases the prisoner is entitled, to a copy of the indictment, and a list of the jury, with their residences, to be delivered to him two entire days before his arraignment. 901, Contra,	726
The arraignment is to be regarded as the commencement of the trial, and the day of delivery and the day of the arraignment are not computed in the time. (Act April 30. 1790, c. 88, § 28.). 901, Contra,	726
In cases not capital, the district attorney is not bound to furnish defendant with the names of the prosecutor and witnesses.	213
The omission of the words "A true bill" on the copy of the indictment furnished defendant is	650

	Pag
waived where the prisoner makes no objection	
on that ground.	
Defendant will not be compelled to plead until	
the prosecutor's name is indorsed on the	30
indictment.	
The court will permit the prisoner to retract his	
plea of guilty in a capital case, and to plead not	87
guilty.	
The plea of not guilty will put the prisoners	
upon the country by a sufficient issue, without	128
any further express words.	
A nolle prosequi, without defendants consent	
after the jury has been sworn, is equivalent to	105
an acquittal, and may be so pleaded.	
Defendant will not be allowed to have the	
record amended after conviction so as to show	
that the plea of not guilty was never entered by	59
him, where he failed to object when the record	
was read in open court.	
An acquittal before a court martial cannot be	
pleaded in defense of an indictment in a court	31
of law for substantially the same offense.	
In every case of a motion to the court for a	
cassetur, the facts on which it is grounded must	62
be proved by affidavit.	
Time and place of trial.	
The probability that difficult and important	
questions of law will arise will not ordinarily	
justify the postponement of the trial, so as to	122
await the holding of the court by two judges,	
with a view to a certificate of division of opinion.	
The court has no authority to try a prisoner at	
a special session upon an indictment found at a	65
regular session of the court.	
The prisoner may be tried at a regular session	65
without any express adjudication by the court	U)

	Page
that there would be inconvenience in having	
the trial at a special session, where neither the	
prisoner nor the United States makes any	
application to the court upon the subject, or any	
objection to the time or place of trial.	
The court has no power to adjourn a stated	
general session from the place at which it is	
directed by statute to be held to another place,	650
for the purpose of trying there a person indicted	050
for a capital crime, in compliance with section	
29, Judiciary Act. 1789	
A motion for a special session made after an	650
indictment found at a general session is too late.	030
The failure to move for a continuance until the	
next stated session of the court, which by law	
is required to be held at a place in the county	650
where the offense was committed, is a waiver of	
the right to be tried in such county.	
Conduct of trial.	
On a joint indictment against two for assault and	
battery, it is not optional with defendants to be	773
tried separately.	
Whether prisoners shall be tried separately or	1287
together rests in the discretion of the Court.	1407
Separate trials will not be granted in the case	
of persons jointly indicted to enable one to have	1287
the benefit of the testimony of the others, as they	1407
are not competent witnesses for each other. 554.	
Proper location of the prisoners within the bar,	1287
where there were 12 in number.	
A prisoner on trial for perjury, who persists	
in interrupting the district attorney during his	773
opening address, may be removed from the court	113
room during such address.	

	Page
Where there is any doubt whether witnesses	
for the prosecution will appear, they should be	944
recognized.	
A witness whose name is on the back of the	
indictment need not be called by the	905
prosecution, if not material.	
A question will not be allowed to be put to a	682
witness which ought not to be answered.	002
After the case and arguments were closed, the	
prosecution was allowed to introduce records	
and explanations thereof by the town clerk to	
show a conveyance of the locus of the crime	
as affecting the jurisdiction of the court. Held,	650
that it was not an abuse of discretion to refuse	
to allow defendant to introduce evidence in	
respect to the incidents immediately preceding	
the crime.	
In capital cases, counsel should state the points	
of law on which they wish instructions to the	
jury, at some time before the charge is given,	1287
that the court may have time to examine and	
consider them.	
Counsel will not be allowed to argue to the jury	
a point of law which has been decided by the	1062
court. 673,	
Counsel will not be permitted to argue to the	
jury questions as to the constitutionality of the	239
law under which the indictment is found.	
Evidence.	
Any person charged with a crime in the federal	
courts has a right before as well as after	20
indictment to the process of the court to compel	30
the attendance of his witnesses.	
The court has no right to refuse its aid to	20
motions for papers to which the accused may	30

	Page
be entitled, and which may be material to his	
defense.	
An accused has the right, before indictment	
found, to compel, by way of precaution, the	
production of letters containing statements of his	30
conduct, written by the person who is declared	
to be the essential witness against him.	
In Virginia a motion for subpoœna duces tecum	
is to the discretion of the court, and, as a	
legal means of obtaining testimony, it cannot be	30
regularly opposed by the opposite party, in his	
character as such.	
Where it does not affirmatively appear that	
letters and executive orders in the hands of the	
president, which may be material to the defense	30
of an accused, contain any matter which it would	30
be imprudent to disclose, a subpoena duces	
tecum will issue.	
In the case of a letter written to the president,	
and in the hands of the prosecuting attorney, the	
president alone can decide as to the propriety of	187
withholding parts of it, and he cannot delegate	
his discretion.	
And in such case he is entitled to the production	30
of the original letter, a copy not being sufficient.	
It is sufficient in an affidavit for the production	10/
of a paper in the possession of the prosecution	1398
to aver that it "may be material" to the defense.	
The accused has the right to show the spirit	
and temper with which the prosecution has been	905
conducted.	
Letters written after the crime was committed	
cannot be given in evidence as the act of a	1245
confederate.	
Acts or declarations of a witness who was	L 1245
assumed to be a guilty agent, but not made in	147)

	Page
furtherance of the purposes of the crime for	
which defendant stands accused, cannot affect	
the defendant directly.	
On a separate trial of one of three persons	
jointly indicted for murder, it is not competent	
for him to give in evidence a conversation	896
between the other two, when they were alone,	090
inculpating themselves, and exculpating him	
from all participation in the crime.	
The log book of a vessel is not proof perse of	
the facts therein stated, except in certain cases	1287
provided for by statute.	
Where a letter is in the hands of defendant,	
it need net be produced, or notice given to	883
defendant to produce it, before evidence is given	00)
of the contents of the answer.	
Where, on an indictment for forging and	
delivering bank notes, the forging of a large	
quantity, and the delivery of one note, are	
proved, evidence of the contents of the letter to	
an accomplice from defendant on the subject of	
counterfeit notes, which the accomplice believed	
had been lost, is admissible.	
A confession upon oath before a magistrate cannot be given in evidence against the prisoner.	42.2
Confessions made to a justice of the peace on	
a preliminary examination are inadmissible, if	
obtained by any inducement held out by the	629
justice.	
A confession made under the influence of hope	
or fear is inadmissible, and in such case	409
subsequent confessions are equally inadmissible.	
Where confessions are once induced by	
improper influences, subsequent voluntary	629
confessions are inadmissible, unless it be shown	

	Page
that the prior improper influence has been	
removed.	
A confession made on a preliminary hearing by a	
prisoner previously cautioned by the magistrate	
held inadmissible, where, 42 hours before, he	404
had made a confession to one of the magistrate's	
officers, under the influence of false promises.	
Grand jurors may testify as to the confessions	
made by the prisoner before them upon oath,	400
when under examination as a witness against	409
another.	
The declarations of an alleged counterfeiter,	
when apprehended, that he had never been at	
the house where he was apprehended until such	682
time, are admissible to repel any unfavorable	004
conclusion which his silence might have	
warranted.	
Declarations of an alleged counterfeiter of the	
purpose for which he was going to the house	682
where he was apprehended among	004
counterfeiters are admissible in evidence.	
The character of the dying statements, their	
consistency with the established facts, and all	
the circumstances of the dying man, are to be	1225
considered by the jury in determining the weight	1335
to which his account of the transaction is	
entitled.	
Evidence of the general bad character of	
defendant is not admissible, unless he first bring	310
evidence to support his character.	
The acts of the accused in a different district,	
which constitute in themselves substantive	
causes for prosecution, cannot be given in	187
evidence, unless they go directly to prove the	
charges laid in the indictment.	

	Page
In a case of sircumstantial evidence the jump	1 age
In a case of circumstantial evidence the jury must find the circumstances to be satisfactorily	
proved as facts, and that those facts clearly and	
unequivocally imply the guilt of the accused,	896
and cannot be reasonably reconciled with any	
hypothesis of his innocence.	
Under doubtful circumstances of guilt, good	
character will lead to the acquittal of defendant.	1013
A "reasonable doubt" must be a doubt for which	010
a reason can be given.	213
The reasonable doubt which should lead to	
an acquittal must arise from a deliberate	1174
consideration of the evidence.	
The reasonable doubt which should lead to an	
acquittal should be founded on something in the	
case as disclosed by the testimony which leaves	1335
in the mind a rational uncertainty as to guilt, not	
removed by any other matter in the testimony.	
Instructions.	
It is error to instruct the jury that the evidence	1065
is sufficient to convict defendant.	1005
Where the court is of the opinion that the	
evidence is not sufficient to warrant a conviction,	1225
it should direct an acquittal.	
Jury.	
The court has power to discharge the jury, even	
in capital cases, whenever it is necessary for the	622
purposes of justice.	
Verdict.	
One good count in an indictment will sustain a	944
general verdict of guilty.	944
Where there are two or more counts upon	
distinct offenses, or upon different statements of	770
the same offense, the jury may find a verdict	/ /0

	Page
disagree upon any count, they may be discharged	
as to that, and it will stand for retrial.	
A verdict: "We, of the jury, say that A. B. is	
not proved to be guilty under this indictment	
by any evidence submitted to us. We therefore	55
find him not guilty,"—is in effect, a verdict of not	
guilty, and will be so entered on the record.	
Judgment: Sentence.	
After conviction, a motion in arrest of judgment	
will not be decided where defendant has	1000
forfeited his recognizance, and does not appear	1022
in person.	
The objection that the district in which seamen	
indicted for endeavoring to make a mutiny and	
revolt were tried was not the district in which	602
they were first brought and apprehended, cannot	692
be taken for the first time on motion in arrest of	
judgment.	
On a trial and conviction for a capital offense	
before a circuit court held by two judges, it is	1364
competent for such court, when held by only one	1304
of the judges, to pass sentence.	
If a person be convicted of a second offense	
while under sentence for the first, the sentence	
for the second may be made to commence from	1051
the expiration or other termination of the period	
for which he was first sentenced.	
New trials.	
The federal circuit courts may grant new trials	595
on good cause shown.	393
Independent of the express prohibition of the	
constitution, there can be no new trial in a	1287
capital case after a regular trial had upon a good	140/
indictment.	
Quære, if the federal courts may grant new trials	1287
in cases of misdemeanor?.	

	Page
Quære, if congress may invest the federal courts	
with power to grant new trials in all criminal	1287
cases, capital or otherwise?.	
The fact that members of the jury indulged in	
intoxicating liquors with consent of defendant's	1007
counsel is no ground of new trial unless the	1287
indulgence was grossly abused, or operated	1399
injuriously to the prisoners.	
Permitting the jury in a capital case to read the	
newspapers after all matter relating to the trial	1007
had been cut out, held an irregularity, but not	1287
sufficient to justify setting aside the verdict.	
A new trial will be granted where the jury	
took to their room the coroner's inquest and	454
depositions without defendants consent.	
A new trial will not be granted merely because	
counsel have been indulged in too great latitude	1124
in arguing as to the inferences to be drawn from	1144
the evidence.	
A new trial cannot be granted on the ground	
of newly discovered evidence proceeding from	
persons who were charged as joint offenders	1287
with the prisoners, and were incompetent at the	
time of the trial, hut had been acquitted.	
On motion for new trial affidavits of jurors	467
cannot be received to impeach their own verdict.	40 /
Review.	
The opinions of the judges of the circuit courts	
in criminal cases can be reviewed only assistant	1287
on a certificate of division of opinion.	
Practice stated in regard to certificates of	
division of opinion where the court is held two	1224
judges.	
Costs.	

	Page
The prosecutor will be required to give security	
or costs where he has removed from the	923
listrict, and has no property.	
Liability of the prosecutor for costs where	1105
defendant is acquitted.	1105
CUSTOM AND USAGE.	
A usage which is to govern a question of right	
petween parties must be so certain, uniform, and	953
notorious as to be understood and known by	953
hem.	
CUSTOMS DUTIES.	
See, also, "Forfeiture" "Informers."	
Customs laws.	
Construction of statutes in relation to the	
lrawback or bounty on exportation of refined	991
sugars.	
Outiable goods wrecked and strewn upon the	
shore are liable to duties upon their value as	607
hey lie there.	
Rates of duty.	
Hats made of palmetto leaf are not hats made of	
straw, chin, or grass, within Act May 22, 1824,	1362
e. 136.	
Bombazines, being goods of which wool is a	
component part, held dutiable at 30 percent.	456
Act 1824, c. 136.).	
nvoice: Entry: Appraisal.	
The term "ad valorem," as used in the customs	
aws, does not always mean the actual value of	461
he article at the place of exportation.	
The cost of packages in which molasses was	
mported <i>held</i> to form a proper item of its value	461
on which to charge ad valorem duties.	
Wool must pay duty on its weight on arrival,	420
hough the same was increased by absorption of	440
	420

	1
	Page
moisture, where not exposed to or injured by sea	
water.	
Any money which is in common use as a	
medium of purchase and sale, though not of	915
the coinage of the country, is a currency of the	91)
country with in Act March 3, 1801.	
Where the invoice is made out in a currency	
other than that of the purchase with intention	
of taking advantage of a usage of the treasury	
officers, whereby less duties would be paid than	915
those exacted if the currency actually used was	
stated, the invoice is falsely made up under Act	
May 28, 1830, § 4.	
"Actual cost" means the cost at the place of	
exportation, with the addition of all dutiable	996
charges. The fact that the goods could he	990
manufactured for the invoice price is immaterial.	
A manufacturing importer is one who controls,	
directs, or superintends the artisans whose skill	
and labor is used in preparing an article for	1095
sale or use or who is the general head or	1093
proprietor of an establishment in which articles	
are manufactured.	
An intent to defraud will be imputed to	
manufacturers who invoice goods at the cost	
price under a misapprehension of the law,	1095
supposing themselves to be purchasers, where	1095
such invoicing tended to evade or defraud the	
revenue, though they in fact had no such intent.	
The collector may cause a re-examination and	
valuation of goods after an appraiser has passed	996
the same.	
The assistant appraisers, under Act May 28,	
1830, are in aid of those under Act March 1,	1182
1823, and an appraisement by each set is not	1104
necessary.	

	Pag
After the duties have been liquidated and paid,	
and the goods delivered, the collector cannot	120
make a reliquidation upon the subsequent report	120
of an appraiser who never saw the goods.	
The year within which the collector can	
reliquidate the duty (Act 1874, c. 391, § 21) runs	
from the time of the presentation to the collector	12
of the entry by the Importer, and not from the	
time of the first liquidation of the duty.	
Lien for duties.	
The United States is not deprived of its lien	
for duties unpaid by an adverse decision on an	11/
information in rem on a seizure for an alleged	110
violation of law.	
Actions for duties.	
Duties are a personal debt against the importer	105
which may be collected by civil action.	127
In an action against importers to recover the	
difference between estimated and liquidated	
duties, evidence is not admissible to show that	67
the goods were not of the kind as returned by	U
the appraisers, where there had been no appeal	
to the secretary of the treasury.	
The importer or consignee of imported goods is	Qr
personally liable for the duties charged thereon.	87
The duties accrue at the time of the arrival of	
the goods at their proper port of entry, and not	87
at the time of the subsequent entry at the custom	0,
house.	
Actions for duties paid.	
Duties wrongly imposed, if paid by the importer	
voluntarily, and without protest or remonstrance,	46
cannot be recovered from or set off against the	4(
United States.	
The decision of the collector as to the amount	6-
of duty to be paid is final in all cases except	67

	Page
where there is an appeal to the secretary of the	
treasury, and suit brought to recover back the	
duty as provided in Act June 30, 1864, § 14.	
The collector's decision is final in the absence	
of payment, protest, and appeal, both in the	
case of an error of judgment as to the rate and	410
amount of duties, and as to informalities and	410
irregularities respecting the appraisal. (Rev. St. §	
2931.).	
Violations of law: Forfeiture.	
A new penalty, imposed for a violation of laws	
previously defined, may be enforced, forced,	1182
though unknown to the claimant at the time of	1400
the violation.	
A vessel is liable to forfeiture for landing	
without permit merchandise over the value of	716
\$400 (Act 1799, c. 128, § 50), whether the	/10
owner is innocent or not.	
Appurtenances or equipments of a ship,	
purchased bona fide for its use, may be landed	391
without a permit under such act.	
A discharge of cargo into lighters is not an	1035
unloading of them. (Act March 2, 1799, § 50.).	1033
A conviction and punishment by fine and	
imprisonment for smuggling (Act Aug. 30, 1842,	1263
§ 19) will bar an action to recover the penalty	1405
for landing the goods without a permit.	
Goods will not be forfeited because of the	
absence of the manifest, where it appears that	
the same was inadvertently lost by the master	364
before the vessel sailed and that no part of the	J0 4
cargo had been Unshipped after it was taken on	
board.	
A surplus of cargo, equally with a deficiency,	
is a disagreement with the manifest under Act	1037
March 2, 1799, § 57.	

	Page
Defendant in such case, to obtain the benefit	1 age
of the proviso excusing from the penalty. Must	
not only satisfy the court that no part of the	
cargo had been landed or unladen after it was	1037
taken on board as specified in the report, and	1057
pursuant to permits duly obtained, but also that	
the disagreement was by mistake or accident.	
The concealment which works a forfeiture need	
not be with the concurrence, knowledge, or	
consent of the owner or consignee. (Act March	1072
2, 1799, c. 128. § 68.).	
Such forfeiture may be enforced before the time	
has passed for the owner to enter the goods,	
and is unaffected by a subsequent offer, made	1072
as soon as the owner was aware of the arrival of	10/4
the goods.	
What constitutes a concealment of goods within	
such act.	1048
A resistance of the seizure of goods, whereby	
the same are removed from the custody of the	1048
officer, is not a concealment.	
Goods found concealed on board after the	
master has declared that the whole cargo is	
discharged are not protected from forfeiture by	351
the proviso in section 57.	
The mixing of charcoal with sugar, for the	
purpose of reducing its grade, where the fact is	
not disclosed, will subject the same to forfeiture,	288
though the importer acted in good faith.	
Effect of the change of the contents of a cask	
of imported spirits without first obliterating the	00=
marks and surrendering the certificate required	987
by law.	
A false entry does not subject the goods to	
forfeiture under Act July, 1866, § 4, "to prevent	1081
smuggling and for other purposes".	

	Page
A purchaser whose invoice truly states the actual cost is not subject to any forfeiture where the valuation is raised by the customs officers for the purposes of imposing duty.	1095
A fraudulent entry of goods at less that than their actual value, where knowingly made on a false invoice, will subject them to forfeiture under Act March 3. 1863, § 1.	1081
A false valuation in an invoice of goods subject to ad valorem duty is a price charged in the invoice less than the fair and just buying and selling prices at the time and place where the invoice was made up.	1182
Goods are forfeitable for an undervaluation in the invoice, though not discovered until after they have passed inspection.	99
Am indictment for smuggling (Rev. St. § 3082), describing the goods as six cases containing silk goods of the value of \$30,000, a more particular description of which is to the jurors unknown," being dutiable goods introduced into the port of New York from France, <i>held</i> sufficient.	433
It is not a sufficient description of the illegality of the original importation to say merely that the goods had been imported and brought into the United States contrary to law.	433
Where a seizure is made on land, the claimant has the right to a trial by jury.	1182
On an information for forfeiture of goods subject to ad valorem duty, the appraisement of public appraisers is a necessary and preparatory proceeding, and is prima facie evidence.	1182
If the entry does not contain a part of the goods consigned by the same invoice and bill of lading, it is prima facie evidence that the duties have not been paid.	365

	Page
The court will be liberal in the admission of	
evidence bearing upon the point whether goods were fraudulently entered, but evidence clearly irrelevant is not admissible.	1177
Where goods proceeded against on the ground	
that the packages were made up with intent to evade and defraud the revenue were entered on the oath of a claimant token as in the case of goods actually purchased, evidence that the real owner was the foreign manufacturer, and the claimant only a consignee, <i>held</i> immaterial.	1177
Where the invoice price is shown to be far below the market price, the importer has the burden of showing the price actually paid.	
A bona fide purchaser of goods imported at a fraudulent valuation before the United States has elected its remedy will be protected.	
The consignee of goods imported at a fraudulent valuation, who, having no knowledge of the fraud, made advances and incurred expenses in relation thereto, will be protected.	1081
A transfer of goods entered under a false invoice after the passage of the Revised statutes and before the passage of Act Feb. 18, 1875, amending section 2864, gives no title as against the United State.	1176
The claimant of liquors found stored in large quantities in the upper room of a private house has the burden of showing that they were legally imported, and that the original packages had been inspected, marked, and branded as required by law.	1104
A conspiracy to conceal or destroy papers relating to imported merchandise (Rev. St. § 5443) sent by the consignor to the consignee,	799

	Page
showing its fraudulent entry, is punishable	
under Rev. St. § 5440.	
Sufficiency of indictment in such case.	799
If the customs officer acts without probable	
cause in making a seizure, an indictment will not	1270
lie for resisting him. (Act March 2, 1799, c. 128,	14/0
§ 71.).	
Under Act March 2, 1867, the amount of fines,	
penalties, and forfeitures recovered less the	
charges allowed, should be paid to the collector,	
who should deduct duties and charges, and pay	1273
the residue into the treasury, to be distributed,	14/3
under the direction of the secretary, to the	
persons and in the proportions prescribed by the	
decree of the court.	
Preparatory to such decree, the court while in	
possession of the fund, will determine disputes	1273
between persons claiming as informers.	
Customs officers.	
A customhouse officer has no power to control	839
gate keepers at piers.	039
Where bonds taken for property seized have	
been turned over to the government and not	527
collected, the collector is not entitled to a credit	1401
for any part thereof in an action against him by	1401
the government.	
Though the collector has not proceeded	
according to law in seizing and selling imported	
goods as forfeited, he is nevertheless entitled to	527
half of the proceeds, where the same have been	
credited to him on settlement of his accounts.	
Where the United States adopted the acts of a	
collector, they are estopped to claim that he is	527
not entitled to the compensation allowed by the	/ ۲۰۰
existing statutes.	

	Page
A collector is not liable to pay interest on	
moneys received in the case of open and running	527
accounts, under Act March 3, 1797, § 1.	
A collector is not liable for moneys stolen by his	527
deputy without neglect or default on his part.	347
The treasury department acts in a judicial	
capacity in determining the charges to which a	527
collector is subject and cannot subsequently vary	54/
such adjudication to his prejudice.	
Compensation of collectors under Acts Sept. 30,	<u> </u>
1850, and Aug. 1, 1852.	596
As to the compensation of the collector of the	
district of Upper California under Act March 3,	527
1849.	
A bond given by a collector of customs under	
Act March 2, 1799, binds the sureties from the	1004
time he assumed office, though not executed	1004
until several months thereafter.	
No change made by law in the rate of duties.	
The routine of the office, or in the method of	
conducting it, which does not change materially	1267
the character of the office. Will discharge the	
sureties on the official bond of the collector.	
Where duties not required by law were imposed	
on a collector of customs by the superior officers	
of the treasury department, he is still required	1067
to discharge his duties according to law, and the	1267
sureties on his official bond are liable for his	
failure to do so.	
Delay on the part of the government in enforcing	1067
its rights cannot be set up as a defense.	1267
The sureties on a bond of a collector of customs	
appointed during a recess of the senate are	
not liable for his acts after he accented a new	1004
appointment made by the president by and with	
the advice of the senate.	

	Page
The bond of a collector of customs as a	
depositor of public money and fiscal agent of the	1004
United States under Act Aug. 6, 1846, does not	1004
apply to past transactions.	
Bonding: Warehousing.	
The proper rate of duty on brandy warehoused	
December 1, 1866, and sold at auction after	
three years for nonpayment of duties, held to	
be the rate imposed by law at the expiration	969
of three years from the date of the warehouse	
bond, and an additional duty equal to 10 per	
cent, thereof.	
DEBT, ACTION OF.	
A demand of one sum in the declaration does	
not prevent the recovery of a smaller sum,	581
diminished by intrinsic circumstances.	
DISORDERLY HOUSE.	
A person who keeps an open house for the	
sale of liquors to all persons at all hours of the	
day and night, which is frequented by drunk	589
and disorderly persons, is guilty of keeping a	
disorderly house.	
The sale of liquor to negroes and slaves on	
Sunday constitutes the offense of keeping a	675
disorderly house.	
Facts from which the jury may find defendant	996
guilty of keeping a disorderly house.	990
Sufficiency of indictment for keeping disorderly	590
house.	589
DISTRICT ATTORNEYS.	
The federal district attorneys have exclusive	
direction and authority over the prosecutions	658
committed by law to their charge until the cases	050
come under control of the court.	

	Pag
A direction given by the attorney general to a	
district attorney in respect to his official action in	77
regard to an indictment cannot control the court.	
The district attorney is entitled to 2 per cent,	
on all moneys collected or realized in any	110
proceeding under the revenue laws conducted	110
by him.	
Where a proceeding in rem to forfeit property is	
compromised by the payment of a sum of money	
by the claimants, the district attorney is entitled	110
to commissions upon such sum. (Act March, 3,	
1863, § 11.).	
DOWER.	
Under Rev. St. Ill. 1833, p. 624, declaring that	
any provision in the will bars dower. The	<u> </u>
amount must be such as to afford a reasonable	92
presumption that it was given in lieu of dower.	
Where the widow accepts a devise in lieu of	
dower, not knowing the extent of the estate, she	
may renounce under the will, and claim, after	92
the lapse of years.	
Where necessary, she may bring a suit to	
ascertain the true condition of the estate to	92
enable her to make a proper election.	
ELECTIONS AND VOTERS.	
Electors in a state, who have the qualifications	
requisite for electors of the most numerous	
branch of the state legislature, have the right,	
under Const, art. 1, § 2, to vote for	135
representatives in congress, and congress has the	
constitutional power to protect that right.	
The power to regulate the time, place, and	
manner of notding elections for representatives	134
manner of holding elections for representatives in congress (Const. art. 1, § 4) includes the	
in congress (Const, art. 1, § 4) includes the power to protect the electors in a free	- J.

	Page
and in expressing that choice freely at the ballot box.	
The right of suffrage is not guarantied to a citizen by the constitution of the United States, but the states have exclusive right to prescribe the qualification of voters, with the exception that the right of suffrage shall not depend upon race, color, or previous condition of servitude.	701
Under the fifteenth amendment, congress cannot legislate in reference to any interference with the right of a colored person to vote which does not proceed from his race, color, or previous condition of servitude.	
Congress has power to interfere for the protection of voters at federal elections irrespective of the fourteenth and fifteenth amendments to the federal constitution.	701
An indictment for a conspiracy to prevent the giving of support and advocacy to an election (Rev. St. § 5520) need not set out the acts of advocacy and support which the conspiracy was formed to prevent.	1350
The jurisdiction of the federal courts is not ousted by the fact that the indictment charges that in carrying out their design the conspirators were guilty of a crime of higher grade, of which the state courts had exclusive jurisdiction.	
To convict for conspiracy under Rev. St. §§ 5508, 5520, it is not necessary to find that the conspiracy charged was formed against the voter named in the indictment alone.	213
Evidence that defendant stated, as certain colored men approached the polls, that no colored men should vote, and that he would die first, which caused them to leave, <i>held</i> sufficient	281

	Page
to sustain a conviction under Act May 31, 1870,	
§ 4.	
Rev. St. § 5515, <i>held</i> constitutional.	453
The governor of a state is not an election officer	
within Act May 31, 1870, § 22, punishing the	458
fraudulent making of a false certificate of the	430
result of a congressional election.	
As to the manner of electing a mayor of the city	
of Washington, D. C, and the returns of such	282
election.	
Rights of deputy marshals at elections of	1323
members of the house of representatives.	1343
Judges of election are not liable to criminal	
prosecution unless they have acted from corrupt	1322
motives.	
EMBARGO AND NONINTER-COURSE	.
Construction of the nonimportation act of March	
1, 1809 (section 6), and liability to forfeiture	1355
thereunder.	
Effect of want of seaworthiness as depriving	
the obligee of an embargo bond of the excuse	871
of prevention of performance of the voyage by	0/1
perils of the sea.	
EMBEZZLEMENT.	
See, also, 'Post Office."	
"Embezzle," as used in Rev. St. § 5209, in	
relation to officers and agents of national banks,	
describes a crime which a person has	591
opportunity to commit by reason of some office	J9 1
or employment, and which is some breach of	
confidence or trust	
In an indictment under Act March 3, 1825 c. 65,	
§ 16, Punishing any one who shall fraudulently	1144
embezzle," etc., the word "feloniously" will not	1177
supply the place of "fraudulently.".	

	Page
An indictment under such act must state that	-
defendant was employed in the bank or an office	
of discount and deposit, etc., in some state or	1144
territory of the United States.	
In an indictment for embezzling a letter	
containing a bank note (Act March 3, 1825) it	
is not necessary to state the particular office	440
held by the accused, or that the note was of an	
incorporated bank, or of any value.	
The proposal by defendant to deposit a certain	
sum to secure the government for any amount	
that might be found due is not an admission	1152
of any amount being due, but a proposal of	
compromise.	
The items in a treasury transcript, if estimated	
and made up from hearsay, are not admissible in	1152
evidence against a public officer.	
EQUITY.	
Courts of equity will refuse to interfere in the	1107
case of stale demands.	1107
ESTOPPEL.	
An acquiescence for nearly 20 years in a	
boundary established by a government official in	
discharge of his duties, in conformity to which	323
improvements have been made, will estop one of	
the owners to assert that the line is erroneous.	
EVIDENCE.	
See, also, "Criminal Law" "Witness."	
Presumptions: Burden of proof.	
All doubts will be resolved adversely to	
defendant, who failed to produce his books of	386
account, which would have assisted his defense	300
if made in good faith.	
The same rule applies where proof has been	
voluntarily destroyed, or placed beyond the	386
reach of the party.	

	Page
All doubts are resolved against a party who	
stands by and makes no denial when testimony	386
of a damaging nature is given.	
Best and secondary.	
Admissibility of parol evidence of contents of	
papers in defendant's possession without notice	309
to produce them.	
The testimony of one of several eyewitnesses to	
the same facts is admissible without producing	1287
the others.	
Declarations and admissions.	
The declarations of the principal connected with	
transactions to which the contract of the surety	740
relates are admissible against the surety.	
The effect of statements made by a person	
against his interest cannot be avoided by	1335
contradictory statements.	
Documentary.	
The transcript of the books and proceedings	
of the treasury department (Rev. St. § 886) in	
relation to the accounts of persons accountable	979
for public money, is prima facie evidence of	7/7
the facts stated therein, so far as the same are	
authorized by law.	
A transcript from the treasury, which contains	
sums charged in gross as balances, is not	977
evidence as to such balances. The original items	711
must be stated.	
The treasury transcripts are admissible in a suit	
in a case of delinquency of a revenue officer or	740
other person accountable for public moneys.	
Parol evidence.	
The contents of a warrant cannot be proved by	
parol unless its nonproduction is satisfactorily	419
accounted for.	
Competency: Materiality: Relevancy.	

Proof of previous good character, and that without compulsion defendant sought an investigation, is admissible.	
investigation is admissible	705
Reputation is competent proof of the name of a person, place, or house.	879
Authenticated public documents, giving account	
of all the mines and all the abandoned mines in	1245
a certain place, are not evidence to prove that a	1245
certain mine did not exist.	
Handwriting.	
The question whether two or more signatures	
are written by the same person is a proper	754
subject of expert testimony.	
A witness testifying as to the handwriting must	
have seen the writing which the one in question	905
resembles, and must come to a conclusion in his	703
own mind.	
A person who had seen the alleged author of	
a writing write but once, and had no other	705
means of knowing his handwriting, <i>held</i> not a	, , ,
competent witness to prove his handwriting.	
The knowledge of an expert in handwriting	
cannot be tested by placing before him irrelevant	
papers for the mere purpose of contradicting his	1403
testimony as to the handwriting thereon.	
The jury may compare the handwriting of	
documents properly in evidence, and proved	
to have been written by defendant, with the	
handwriting of the papers in dispute, to prove their authorship.	
Standard specimens of defendant's handwriting	
being in evidence, an expert may point out	
features therein identical with those displayed in	394
the writing in question.	
On trial of an indictment for depositing	
scurrilous postal cards in the mail, evidence	194

	Page
is admissible of other writings of defendant	
containing identical errors in spelling, to prove	
authorship.	
EXECUTION.	
An execution will be <i>held</i> fraudulent, as	
respects a subsequent one, where the creditor	
allows the debtor to retain possession of	599
property levied upon, for an unreasonable length	
of time.	
Act Ark. Dec. 23, 1840, known as the	
"Valuation Law," is constitutional, and its	5 00
provisions must be followed in executing the	598
final process of the district court in the state.	
EXECUTIVE DEPARTMENTS.	
See, also, "Office and Officer."	
The head of a department has discretionary	
power to allow for a bona fide performance	
of service by a public officer, or a bona fide	953
expenditure of money, though not so expressly	
authorized by law.	
The direction of the president will be presumed,	
where money is advanced by the direction of the	740
head of a proper department.	
The regulations of a department in settling its	
accounts are subject to the revision of a court	221
and jury, when they work manifest injustice to	231
individuals.	
EXECUTORS AND ADMINISTRATORS	3.
Stock held by a trustee is not assets in the hands	
of his administrator.	745
Nothing is assets in the hands of an	
administrator, applicable to the payment of a	070
demand against the estate, within Civ. Code Or.	979
§ 1103, but money.	
Executors and administrators may be sued in	000
their representative capacity in the federal	908

	Page
courts, and judgments against them may be	
enforced by execution against the property of the	
estate unadministered.	
Judgment may be rendered de bonis testatoris	
under the laws of Arkansas, and executions may	
be issued against the estate of the intestate,	908
which may be sold to satisfy the same.	
EXTORTION.	
Laboring to exact fees from one party after	
having received them from another is not	419
extortion.	12)
EXTRADITION.	
Independent of statutory provisions or treaty	
stipulations, courts of justice are not bound	
nor authorized to remand prisoners for trial	786
to a foreign government, whose laws they are	700
supposed to have violated.	
A murder committed on board a British ship	
of war is committed within the jurisdiction of	631
Great Britain, under the treaty of extradition.	032
It is not a good plea to an indictment for bribery	
that defendant was brought into the jurisdiction	
of the court under an extradition treaty on a	237
charge of forgery.	
FALSE PRETENSES.	
It is not an indictable offense at common law	
to obtain books of account from a merchant by	293
means of false and fraudulent pretenses.	7,5
FORCIBLE ENTRY AND DETAINER.	
It is not necessary that it should appear upon	
certiorari that the inquest was taken on the spot	
where the force was used, nor that the jurors	889
should appear to be qualified according to the	
requisites of the common law.	
FORFEITURE.	

	n
	Page
See, also, "Customs Duties" "Informers" "In	ternal
Revenue" "Shipping."	
The forfeiture takes effect at the time when	
the offense is committed, if the denunciation	1075
is expressed in direct terms, and not in the	10/5
alternative.	
A bona fide purchaser of personal property	
which has been forfeited to the government	1075
by previous acts of the former owner is not	10/5
protected against the title of the government.	
A carrier which transported smuggled goods has	
no lien on them for the freight, as against the	1081
government, though innocent of the fraud.	
The bond for value (Act 1(99, § 89), where	
property under bond for duties is seized in	205
a warehouse, should represent its full market	285
value, duties included.	
The acceptance of a bond to answer a judgment	
against the claimants of property forfeited does	
not reinvest the title in them.	
Where a bond is given upon the return of	
goods libeled as upon a seizure, and the libel	
is afterwards, by amendment, changed to an	
information, and the goods condemned, a	1180
compliance with the stipulations in the bond	
may be enforced by attachment against the	
obligors.	
Where the claimant is not a party to the bond,	
all the obligors are to be deemed principals.	1180
Where the evidence does not agree with the	
specification of the offense, it is fatal on an	
information of forfeiture.	., -
The marshal's commissions for the sale of	
property, and collecting and paying over the	
proceeds, form part of the expenses to be	1075
deducted before paying the proceeds into court.	
are proceed into court	

	Page
FORGERY.	
The act of knowingly uttering as true a false and	
forged letter requesting the person to whom it is	
addressed to pay to the bearer a sum of money,	313
with intent to defraud any person, is an offense	
at common law.	
The delivery of a sealed forged letter to the clerk	
of a person to whom it is addressed, and whom	313
it is supposed is authorized to open it, is an	313
uttering of it.	
An indictment for possessing forged treasury	
notes and postal currency, with intent to pass	1091
them, must profess to give, and must actually	1404
give, exact copies of them, or allege a reasonable	1404
excuse for not doing so.	
The charge that the prisoner had in possession	
"divers" such forged instruments is too	1091
indefinite.	
No notice is necessary to produce a paper in the	
hands of defendant, an accomplice, or a third	
person, who secretes it to protect defendant, or	883
that evidence of its contents will be offered at	003
the trial, though such paper is not the subject of	
the indictment.	
After evidence that a note of the description	
laid in the indictment has been forged, and	
passed, evidence may be given of delivering or	883
passing other counterfeited notes on the same	003
bank before or after the passing the one in	
question, irrespective of time.	
GAMING.	
It is an indictable offense at common law to keep	872
a common gaming house for the purpose of gain.	0 / 4
A person who hires out his billiard room for	
two days is liable to the penalty of Act Md.	969
1798, c. 113.	

	Page
The penalty for keeping a faro table in a place	
occupied as a tavern (Act Md. 1797, c. 110) may	1034
be recovered by indictment.	
A person who keeps a gaming table is equally	
guilty, under the statutes of Maryland, whether	505
he acted as principal, or as agent or servant of	595
the owner.	
An indictment for keeping "a gaming table called	
a 'faro bank' "is not good under a statute	(00
punishing the offense "of keeping a faro bank or	623
other common gaming table.".	
GRAND JURY.	
As to the power and duty of the court to instruct	
the grand jury as to the admissibility and	55
competency of evidence to be offered.	
A paper to go before the grand jury must be	
relevant to the case, but the fact that it is	ہے ہے
referred to by a witness, and wanted by the	55
grand jury, is sufficient to establish its relevancy.	
A challenge to the array of the grand jury cannot	
be made after the jury is organized and has	213
entered upon its duties.	
GRANT.	
See, also, "Public Lands."	
The jurisdiction conferred upon the special	
tribunal created for the settlement of claims to	
lands in California, of Spanish and Mexican	
origin, and upon the courts empowered to	1107
review its decisions (Act March 3. 1851), was	
in its nature exclusive and the decrees of such	
tribunals are not open to review in any court.	
The subject of surveys of confirmed claims is	
under the control of the land department, and	1107
its action is not subject to the supervision of the	110/
courts, however erroneous.	

	Page
If the bill showed that the decree had been	
procured by fraud of the grossest character, the	
court would still be without jurisdiction, for it	1107
has no authority to pass upon the propriety of	
the decree.	
Whether the issue of a previous grant of 11	
leagues to a claimant disqualifies him from	
receiving a second grant is a question of law, and	1107
any error in its decision can be corrected only on	
appeal.	
Where the district court had ordered a survey	
of a confirmed claim to land under a Mexican	
grant into court for examination (Act June 14,	
1860), its jurisdiction over the entire subject-	331
matter continued until the survey of the claim	
was finally disposed of, notwithstanding Act July	
1, 1864.	
Act May 6, 1864, in relation to claimants of San	
Ramon rancho lands, does not give the district	
court power to revise a decree of confirmation	200
rendered by it under an agreement between the	299
claimant and the district attorney, in the absence	
of mistake.	
Purchasers of land under final decrees of	
confirmation cannot be disturbed upon charges	
of fraud in the prosecution of the claims	1107
confirmed, and vague allegation of notice of such	
fraud.	
An inchoate title, followed by juridical	
possession, presents an equity which the United	1014
States are bound to respect.	
A dividing line between two ranchos fixed in	
proceedings for the confirmation of one to which	
the claimant of the other was a party, held,	821
should not be disturbed on exceptions to the	
official survey of the latter rancho.	

	Page
Where it appears that the original petition was	
for half a league of land, a claim to a larger extent will not be allowed.	809
A consent to occupy land under "a provisional	
license" given on a petition for a grant of land	
for pasturage will not give petitioners any right	805
to the absolute fee which will be respected by	
the government.	
Construction of decree for the location of a lot	
under a Mexican grant, based upon the language	802
used by a witness.	
A survey made on instructions by the	
government without consultation with the	
claimant, or allowance to him of an opportunity	679
to make an election as to the land to be	
surveyed, will be set aside.	
In the absence of a grant, a long-continued,	
notorious and exclusive possession under claim	
of ownership, with definite boundaries and	371
recognition of proprietary rights, is essential to	
entitle a claim to confirmation.	
A measurement and segregation from the public	
domain of the specific land granted within the	331
boundaries designated was essential to a	J)1
complete investiture of the title.	
It is a sufficient severance from the public	
domain when the grant itself designates by	
unmistakable natural boundaries the limits of	*1214
the district within which it is to be located, and	1417
where the particular land granted is specified by	
name.	
The danger from savages is no excuse for not	
complying with the condition of the grant,	*1214
requiring settlement on the land to be made	T
within one year.	

	Page
A neglect to occupy and settle before the	
conquest, where the grant was made less than a month before the capture of Monterey, <i>held</i> not unreasonable.	*1237
A fraudulent attempt to alter the date of a grant	
so as to obviate an apprehended objection to	
its validity cannot take away from the claimant	
any lands actually granted to him before the	
acquisition of the country by the United States.	
The nonproduction of the grant will not affect	
the validity of the claim, where its loss is proved,	379
and long and notorious occupation of the land	
has been established.	
An election of location of a grant as shown by	
the erection of buildings, and by the cultivation	1 323
of the land, will prevail as against a location shown by deeds of the tract.	
Under Act June 14, 1860, settlers can object to	
the location of a Mexican grant only through the	11134
United States district attorney. 310,	1405
The Mexican governors of California had no	
authority to remove the disabilities of foreigners	
in respect to holding lands under the Mexican	2.66
laws of 1836 and 1842.	
After the passage of the Mexican law of 1842	
relating to foreigners, no unnaturalized foreigner	266
could receive a grant of land without the express	200
license of the supreme government.	
As to the Presumption in favor of the validity of	
a grant to one supposed to be a French citizen	266
by the governor of California, shortly before the	400
territory passed from his hands.	
After the lapse of many years, where large	
interests have been acquired on the faith of	
the finality of a decree the location will not be	

	Page
disturbed, except in the case of manifest error,	
and on clear proof of incorrectness.	
Advantage will be taken of ambiguity or	
discrepancies in the language of a decree of	803
confirmation to restrict the claimant to the land	003
actually granted.	
Under the ordinances of the former government,	
all grants of public land were required to be	
measured in a square or rectanqular form, or	810
into a trapezium, where natural objects	
prevented the other measurement.	
The court has no authority to deflect and modify	
lines so as to exclude particular parcels, even	810
where they have been settled upon by others in	010
good faith.	
Where a survey under a decree of confirmation	
is objected to as erroneous, before a patent	
issues the court must direct its return in order	1134
that the questions raised as to the location and	
boundary may be heard and determined.	
An error in running a survey so as to include a	
different tract from that described in the grant	
and delineated on the diseño will be rectified	1128
by the court when the survey is submitted for	
approval.	
A clear and definite call for a line as of a given	
course and direction will not be controlled by an	1015
indefinite act of possession.	
The words "a little more or less" will be <i>held</i> to	
pass all the land included within the boundaries	
named, though in excess of the quantity named,	1022
where the description and the circumstances	
justify the belief and the circumstances justify	
the belief that such was the intention.	

	Page
The words "a little more or less" <i>held</i> operative	U
for such fractional parts of a league as may be in	*1157
excess of the quantity named in the grant.	σ,
Under a grant of land "of the extent of two	
square leagues, a little more or less" the judicial	
officer has no power to confirm a tract of $3\frac{1}{2}$	329
leagues.	
Under grant of "three square leagues, a little	
more or less, as the respective diseño explains,"	
held that three leagues were granted to be taken	310
anywhere within the tract bounded by the	J
ranches named.	
A survey referring to a tree as a live oak tree,	
where the only tree reasonably answering such	
description is a white oak tree, will not, on that	1015
ground, be over-thrown.	
Where a cause is remanded for further	
proceedings involving additional proofs, the	
United States are entitled to a reasonable time	1159
in which to close their testimony.	
An alleged grant made by a priest of the mission	
of Santa Clara under authority given in certain	
communications from the governor and	1240
commanding general, rejected.	
Claim confirmed, in the absence of a grant,	
on evidence of long and continuous occupation	1
under claim of ownership, with official	376
recognition of proprietary rights.	
The expediente is the most satisfactory evidence	*
of the issuance of the grant.	*1237
Determination of boundaries of grant to Justo	
Larios. 1161,	1166
Where a survey based on a Median grant	
appears to be incorrect, it will be rejected, and a	322
new one ordered.	
l I	

	Page
Requisites to a complete title under a Mexican	
land grant, and necessity of compliance with	367
conditions.	
Claim to Mexican land grant confirmed upon the	626
evidence. 265, 312, 328, 352, 395,	020
HABEAS CORPUS.	
The federal circuit court cannot issue the writ	
for the purpose of surrendering, in discharge of	
bail, a principal confined merely under process	1217
of a state court, but in such case the court may	
respite the recognizance.	
An Indian is a "person," within the meaning	
of the habeas corpus act, and may sue out the	
writ in the federal courts, when deprived of his	695
liberty under color of authority of the United	093
States, or when in custody for violation of its	
constitution, laws, or treaties.	
HIGHWAYS.	
The road from Georgetown, D. C., to Little Falls	
bridge, <i>held</i> not a public highway, because the	1014
location thereof was not recorded among the	1017
public records.	
The Georgetown Bridge Company held bound	
to keep in repair the road leading from	1286
Georgetown to the Little Falls bridge.	
A road officer in Virginia who has not been	
notified of his appointment is not liable for the	739
penalty under Act Va. Jan. 5, 1786.	
HOMICIDE.	
A master who, by physical force, compels a	
seaman to go aloft, when, by reason of great	
debility or exhaustion, he cannot do so without	1208
danger, is guilty of murder, where the seaman	
falls from the mast and is drowned.	
If the master acts without malice, the crime is	1208
reduced to manslaughter.	

	Page
The crime will be considered to have been	1 age
committed with malice aforethought, however	
sudden the occasion, when the act is done with	
such cruel circumstances as are the ordinary	650
symptoms of a wicked, depraved, and malignant spirit.	
The order of a superior military officer to an inferior will not of itself instifut the willful	306
inferior will not, of itself, justify the willful	300
killing of another.	
Officers charged with the good order of fort	
will not be required to Weigh with scrupulous	306
decision the exact amount of force necessary to	
preserve order.	
The setting of spring guns in open fields or	
outbuildings, and not within the privilege of	1319
the domicile, without notice, will not excuse or	0 ,
justify a homicide which may ensue.	
When homicide excused by the acts of	1222
deceased.	
Intoxication at the time of committing a crime is	650
no excuse or mitigation.	
It is no defense that one accused of murder was	
more ignorant, and somewhat more stupid, than	
common men of bad education, bad passions,	650
and bad habits, if he was not deficient in	
understanding.	
No mere words applied by one to another will	
justify the use of a deadly weapon, nor will	306
they reduce the act of killing from murder to	1406
manslaughter.	
An indictment for manslaughter need not	1222
contain the words, "in the fury of his mind.".	1222
A person present, actually or constructively, at a	
murder, aiding and abetting it, may be convicted	004
under an indictment charging him with the	896
murder.	

	Page
A conviction for murder may take place, though	
the murdered body is not found.	1287
Evidence of the previous bad character of	
deceased, killed in the act of committing a	1319
felony, is admissible on indictment for a murder	1319
committed by means of a spring gun.	
A slave found guilty of manslaughter was	
sentenced to be burned in the hand and	441
whipped with 10 stripes.	
HUSBAND AND WIFE.	
Under the laws of New York a married woman	
is authorized to carry on the business of a	
distiller, on her own account and for her own	1258
benefit; and she will be bound by a bond given	1430
to the government, conditioned according to the	
laws relating to bonded warehouses.	
INDIANS.	
Indians, born of a tribe which has ceased to	
maintain its tribal integrity, who are subject to	
local taxation, are citizens of the United States	1006
and of the state of their residence, and possess	
the right to vote in national elections.	
The right of expatriation is a natural, inherent,	
and inalienable right, and extends to the Indians	695
as well as to white persons.	
Under the Power to regulate commerce with the	
Indian tribes, congress has power to prohibit all	422
intercourse with them except under license.	
The Power of congress to regulate commerce	
with the Indians does not necessarily cease on	422
their being included within the limits of a state.	
Where the Indians occupy a territory of very	
limited extent within a state surrounded by a	
white population, and it becomes impracticable	422
to enforce a law prohibiting intercourse, federal	
jurisdiction will cease.	

	Page
Congress has constitutional power to pass laws	
punishing Indians for crimes and offenses	414
committed against the United States.	
Indians at peace with the government cannot be	
arrested and held for the purpose of removing	695
them to and confining them on a reservation in	095
the Indian Territory.	
The military power may be employed to effect	
the removal of a person from an Indian	
reservation in a proper case, but the person	695
thus removed cannot be held by the military	
authorities.	
The commissioner of Indian affairs may remove	
from an Indian reservation all persons found	
thereon without authority of law, or whose	695
presence may be detrimental to the peace and	
welfare of the Indians.	
An Indian agent has a right to an allowance	
in addition to a salary as fixed by Act April	
20, 1818, for such services or expenditures as	953
are authorized by a general usage of the war	
department.	
Expenditures made by an Indian agent for the	
benefit of the Indians, and on a tract of land	953
reserved and held by them-selves, are not to the	933
United States.	
Rev. St. § 2139, was only intended to prohibit	
the selling, giving, or bartering of spirituous	906
liquors or wine to an Indian in the Indian	900
country.	
The Indian need not be under the actual control	
or immediate Personal superintendence of an	
Indian agent, to make the sale of liquor to him	1128
an offense under Act May 15, 1864, if the tribe	1140
to which he belonged is under the charge of	
such agent, and he maintains his tribal relation.	

	Page
The Indians on the Oneida reservation have	
the right to cut and use the timber thereon,	1171
and to sell sufficient to support themselves and	11/1
families.	
INDICTMENT AND INFORMATION.	
See. also, "Criminal Law."	
The crime of conspiring to injure or intimidate	
citizens in the exercise of their civil rights is	226
an infamous crime, which must be proceeded	440
against by indictment, and not by information.	
Offenses arising under the internal revenue	
laws, being misdemeanors merely, and not	972
infamous, may be prosecuted by information	914
filed by the district attorney.	
Where the statute prescribes a particular mode	
of enforcing payment of a penalty, an indictment	1004
will not lie.	
An indictment will not lie for an offense under	
a statute which gives an action of debt to the	1236
informer.	
An indictment will not be quashed because	
there was no previous information, hearing, and	1223
binding over.	
When a presentment for a misdemeanor is	
found by the grand jury without the name of a	
prosecutor, the court will order an indictment to	922
be sent to the grand jury upon the suggestion of	
the prosecuting attorney.	
An indictment will be quashed as irregularly	
found, where the grand jury received testimony	622
of a person not under oath.	
In Mississippi it is not necessary that the	
minutes of the court should show the finding of	 0
the indictment, where the party is not necessary,	778
that the minutes of the indictment, where the	
party is not under arrest, or on recognizance.	

	Page
The finding of a grand jury need not be read in open court, but it is sufficient if the bill be handed to the clerk in open court, and the entry made by him on the record.	213
A conclusion against the form of the statue (in the singular) is sufficient in all cases where the offense is distinctly with in more than one independent statute.	1287
A conclusion against the form of the statute (in the plural) is good even if the offense be punishable by a single statute only.	
An indictment which fails to conclude "against the peace and dignity of the U. S." may be quashed.	
Query, whether an indictment will lie for assault and battery upon "a person unknown without the addition "to the jurors.".	
Anything that forms part of the description of the crime is not a matter of form. (Rev. St. § 1025.).	
A statement that defendant was a bookkeeper in a certain place <i>held</i> not equivalent to an averment that he was a clerk or servant employed therein.	1144
A charge that the piracy was committed "on the high seas, within the admiralty and maritime jurisdiction of the U. S., and out of the jurisdiction of any particular state," <i>held</i> , a sufficient statement of the venue	1287
In an indictment for murder committed on board of a vessel on the high seas by an alien, it is sufficient to allege that the vessel was owned by a citizen of the United States, without alleging otherwise the national character of the vessel.	814
Where the offense is within the general jurisdiction of a court of the United States,	814

	Page
it is not necessary that the indictment should	
exclude, by descriptive terms, every possible	
exemption of defendant from the jurisdiction.	
Where a statute prescribes a punishment for	
a common-law crime, the indictment must set	701
forth the various ingredients of the crime which	/01
go to make up the offense at common law.	
The judgment will be arrested where an	
indictment against a pension agent for	
withholding a pension alleges that minor	277
children were the pensioners, and that the	377
pension was withheld from another person as	
their guardian.	
An indictment against a carrier of the mail for an	
offense under the law, punishable generally, will	207
sustain a conviction, the word "carrier" being	40 /
stricken out as surplusage.	
Pleading to an indictment in which a person is	
charged with having committed an act cannot be	
construed to waive a right which he would have	55
possessed had he been charged with having	
advised the act.	
An indictment which alleges the time as "on or	694
about" a certain day may be quashed.	094
Use of the words "then and there," in different	
parts of an indictment, as rendering it bad for	901
repugnancy.	
Where two allegations are sensible and	
consistent in the places where they occur, but	901
are inconsistent with each other, neither can be	901
rejected.	
Separate indictments will lie for the larceny of	
several cows from the same Place at the same	1341
time.	
Under Act Feb. 26, 1853, separate indictments	020
against different persons cannot be consolidated,	939

	Page
although the offense was joint and they might	1 age
have been jointly indicted.	
An indictment in which there is a joinder of	
offenses or offenders, so far as the jury are	
concerned, is to be considered a several one as	770
to each of such offenses or offenders.	
The prosecuting attorney will not be required	
to elect between different counts which charge	0
offenses of different grades of the same class	850
connected with the same transaction.	
In an indictment for a misdemeanor, several	
offenses may be joined in different counts, and	0.40
the prosecution cannot be compelled to elect	840
between them.	
One or more good counts in an indictment will	
sustain a general verdict of guilty, though there	207
are bad counts.	
The court will not stop the trial on the ground	
that the proof does not show a case clearly	
within the indictment, but will leave the	784
prisoners to their remedy by motion in arrest of	
judgment.	
An indictment for receiving stolen goods,	
charging that the accused received the goods	
from the principal felon, is not sustained by	796
proof that they were received from the person to	
whom the thief had delivered them.	
The defendant may be found guilty of any	
offense the commission of which is necessarily	306
included in that with which he is charged in the	9
indictment.	
Upon an indictment for burglary the prisoner	872
may be found guilty of larceny only.	
Upon an indictment at common law for an	
assault with intent to kill, defendant may be	701
found guilty of a simple assault only.	

	Page
An information may be amended by filling up	Page
the blank of the date of the commission of the	1034
offense.	1034
INFORMERS.	
He who, with the intention of having his	
information acted upon, first gives information	
of a violation of law which induces the	
prosecution, and contributes to the recovery of	1277
the fine, penalty, or forfeiture, is entitled to the	
informer's share.	
The information must be given to some	
government official who has the power and duty	
to act thereon, and, where several causes exist,	1226
information of any one is sufficient.	
A person claiming to share in the judgment must	
be the first informer and his information must	1226
be substantially true and capable of proof.	
The information must be a plain statement in	
writing of some one substantial cause, matter, or	
thing, whereby a fine, penalty, or forfeiture shall	1226
have been, incurred, and it must be sworn to, if	
required by the officer.	
The claim of an informer can only date from the	
time when he actually gave the proper formal	1226
information, not when he ascertained the facts.	
Customs officers and informers are entitled to	
share only in fines, penalties, and forfeitures	1277
which are created by some law of the United	//
States.	
The share of the informer must be taken from	1226
the net, and not the gross, proceeds.	
An assistant assessor of internal revenue <i>held</i>	
entitled to the informer's share, where he gives	412
information, leading to the indictment and	
conviction of an offender, which he acquired of	

	Page
his own motion, while in the discharge of his	
official duties.	
The penalty of a recognizance for the appearance	
in court of a defendant charged with a crime	
under Act March 2, 1799 is not a penalty	1039
recovered by virtue of such act, and the informer	
is not entitled to a share therein.	
The informer is not entitled to a share in money	
paid into court by the sureties on the	1039
recognizance.	
The additional duties of 20 per cent, ad valorem	
imposed for undervaluation (Act July 30, 1846,	505
\S 8) are not fines and penalties, and the collector	527
is not entitled to a moiety of them.	
The reward given by Rev. St. Me. c. 121, §	
11, must be apportioned by the court between	20.4
the informer and prosecutor according to their	284
respective merit.	
Distribution of the proceeds of fines, penalties,	
and forfeitures incurred under the customs laws.	1277
1273,	
INJUNCTION.	
Where the injury threatened is of a character	
not easily remedied, if the injunction be refused,	
and there is no denial that the act charged is	000
contemplated, a temporary injunction should be	923
granted, unless the case made by the bill is	
satisfactorily refuted.	
Query, whether a federal court is not forbidden	
by Act March 2, 1793, § 5, from granting an	
injunction to stay an execution sale in a state	539
court of vessels on which the government has	
mortgage liens for advances made to build them.	
To entitle defendant to a dissolution of an	202
injunction on the answer, the denial must be of	293
facts, and not of conclusions.	1408

	Page
Insanity.	
See "Criminal Law."	
INSOLVENCY.	
The rights of the United States to priority in the	
payment of its debts, and the remedies for its	447
enforcement against assignees of debtors	
The United States has no priority in payment	
of its debts, under Act March 3, 1797, § 5,	
unless the assignment by the debtor be a general	
assignment, or in the case of legal insolvency,	447
where, by operation of law, the debtors property	
is taken out of his hands to be distributed by	
others.	
Act March 3, 1797, § 5, does not give to one	
part of a debt due to the United States a priority	
over any other part, nor does it affect the right	492
of the debtor to apply a payment of money in	483
his hands to either of two debts to the United	
States.	
The assignee is liable only if he has notice of the	
debt to the United States, but the notice need	4 4 7
be such only as is sufficient to Put a prudent	447
man on inquiry.	
The priority of the United States does not	
entitle them to prior satisfaction by attachment	277
and levy over prior attaching creditors.	
The United States are not entitled to a	
preference over firm creditors for the payment of	1033
the individual debt of a partner out of the assets	1033
of the partnership. 927,	
The necessary expenses of administration are to	979
be paid before a debt due to the united States.	7/9
The administrator is bound to discharge taxes	
and funeral charges before satisfying the claim of	979
the United States as a creditor.	

	Page
A debt due to the United States is to be	
preferred to the expenses of the last illness; but,	
where the same have been duly paid by the	979
administrator without notice of the claim of the	
government, the priority of the latter is lost.	
A debt due from the administrator, and returned	
on the inventory as solvent, is presumed to have	
been collected, and is therefore assets in his	979
hands applicable to the payment of a debt due	
from the deceased to the United States.	
The priority given to the United States (Rev.	
St. § 3466) is not a lien upon the property of	
the insolvents in the hands of the assignee or	979
administrator, but only a right to a priority of	9/9
payment out of the proceeds of such property	
after notice of the claim.	
The priority of the United States does not yield	
to the claims of any creditors however high may	927
be the dignity of their debts.	
The priority of the United States does not	
supersede a mortgage on land, nor a judgment	927
made perfect by the issue of an execution and a	947
levy on real estate.	
A bona fide conveyance, mortgage, or execution	
before the right of preference in the United	
States has accrued by an act of insolvency, will	811
pass the right of property freed from liability for	
debts due to the United States.	
The United States, not made parties to a bill in a	
state court for the payment of partnership debts	
out of its assets in the hands of the administrator	927
of a partner who had died insolvent indebted to	74/
the United States, are not bound by the decree	
rendered therein.	
In case of the insolvency of surviving judgment	72.4
debtors in a suit on a bond for customs duties,	734

	Page
the United States may in equity recover the debt	
out of the assets of a deceased judgment debtor,	
whose estate was also insolvent, in virtue of	
their general priority.	
Priority of payment of the United States out of	
the effects of an insolvent debtor stated in a	1087
charge to a jury.	
INSPECTION.	
The penalty for not complying with an order to	
produce books and papers (Act June 22, 1874) is	868
that the allegations in the motion shall be taken	000
as confessed.	
INTERNAL REVENUE.	
See, also, "Forfeiture"; "Informers."	
Officers of internal revenue.	
A collector, deputy collector, or inspector of	
internal revenue may, without process, enter any	
building where distilled spirits subject to tax are	
produced or kept, so far as may be necessary	1053
for examining the same (Rev. St. § 3177), and	
may without process seize illicit distilled spirits	
(section 3453).	
An officer who is acting without or in excess of	1053
authority may be lawfully resisted or obstructed.	1033
A person may be guilty, under Rev. St. § 3177,	
of obstructing a revenue officer in entering a	
building, etc., although he does not own the	1053
building or the articles subject to tax, and did	
not make, produce, or keep them.	
Sufficiency of indictment under such section.	1053
Officers' fees need not be immediately paid over	
to the internal revenue department, but may be	420
accounted for in the semiannual returns of the	440
officers.	
The sureties on a collector's bond are liable for	410
the sum received by a collector under Act June	412

	Page
30, 1864, § 122, though there was no assessment	
or return made of the tax.	
On a prosecution of collector for accepting a	
fraudulent bond, evidence of the acceptance of	
other forged bonds, and a delay to investigate	260
after fraud was suggested, is admissible to show	
his Participation in fraud.	
Assessments and collections.	
Evidence that the pecuniary circumstances of	
a distiller were apparently improved while	
engaged in distilling, during a period when such	380
business was not profitable, is not admissible to	
show fraud.	
The assessor's original list, transmitted to the	
collector, is prima facie evidence of the amount	227
of the tax due.	
Special taxes.	
A "spirit medium," who performs various feats	400
for pay, held liable to pay license as a "juggler".	492
The provision in Act March 3, 1865, extending	
the definition of "brokers" given in Act June 30,	1000
1864, § 79, subd. 9, does not apply to section 99	1089
of the act of 1864.	
A sale of intoxicating liquors without a license is	
a violation of Act June 30, 1864. § 73 although	879
the person intended to give the proceeds to a	0/9
charitable use.	
A sale of a single lot of spirits which have	
been taken for a debt, in Quantities of not less	
than five gallons at one time, does not make	1058
the person a wholesale liquor dealer. (Rev. St. §	
3242.).	
Where a license is issued to a firm, the	
continuing partner, on the dissolution of the	1332
firm, may carry on the business until the end of	1))4
the year. 752,	

D. 11.1	Page
Distilled spirits.	
To make one in possession of a still a distiller because he keeps mash, wort, or wash, the mash, wort, or wash kept must be such as will produce spirits on distillation. (Rev. St. § 3247.).	1218
Rectifiers and distillers must take the proper steps to ascertain what the rules and regulations are as to keeping of a hook as required by Act July 13, 1866, § 26.	951
Act July 13, 1866, § 26, as to the entries of purchases and sales or receipts and deliveries of spirits, is complied with as to entries made before the act of 1868, if the entries, although made in a continuous manner, without anything to designate to what the figures refer, are a true statement of such transaction.	1068
The duty of making such entries may be delegated to a clerk, but the principal is responsible if the proper entries are not made.	
Spirits merely poured from the original packages into an open vat for rectification, need not be again inspected, gauged, and branded. (Act 1866, c. 184, § 43.).	082
A distiller cannot avail himself of any mistake of the officer in overgauging the spirits.	951
Spirits once removed from the distiller's bonded warehouse to a general bonded warehouse cannot be again removed to another bonded warehouse.	1008
A permit of a collector to transport spirits from a distillery warehouse to a general bonded warehouse is not evidence of a compliance with the prerequisites to a removal of the spirits required by sections 38, 40, Act July 13, 1866.	1097
A distiller's bond for the payment of a tax (Act July 13, 1866) is not a penalty, but a contract or	951

	Page
security, and is unaffected by the repealing act	
of January 11, 1868.	
The tax must be paid at the rate prescribed by	051
the law in force at the time the bond was given.	951
A conviction and sentence for violation of the	
law is no bar to an action on the distiller's bond,	717
unless the sentence is actually fulfilled.	
A pardon operates the same as an actual	
fulfillment of the sentence, and completely	717
releases the sureties from all liability for the acts	717
or breach of duty charged.	
The destruction of spirits by fire while in a	
distillery warehouse, due to the absence of the	
government storekeeper, does not release the	1049
bondsman from liability for the amount of taxes	
due.	
Fermented liquors.	
The terms "malt liquor" and "fermented liquor"	
are used synonymously in Act June 6, 1872,	
and the brewer is expressly required to enter all	890
malt liquors in his book, whether sold to other	
brewers or the public.	
Ignorance or carelessness will not excuse the	
neglect of a brewer to keep the prescribed books	1173
and accounts. (Act July 13, 1866, § 49.).	
Tobacco: Cigars.	
A person who keeps leaf tobacco for sale is a	
dealer, and a single sale is sufficient to fix his	
character as such, and to render him liable for	752
the penalty of \$500 for failure to keep a book,	752
and make returns of purchases and sales. (Act	
July 20, 1868, § 76.).	
A cigar manufacturer must not only return the	
sales of cigars manufactured by him, but of those	489
purchased by him and resold.	

are required to pack the cigars and affix the stamp. Banks and bankers. A loan and trust company which issues certificates of deposit, and makes loans on stocks, bonds, and other security, is subject to the payment of the license fee imposed by Act 1864, § 79, cl. 1. Such company is "engaged in the business of banking," so as to be liable for the payment of a duty of 1/24 of 1 per cent. for each month upon the average amount of its deposits, as provided by Act 1864, § 110. The undistributed surplus earnings of savings banks, added during, the year to their contingent funds, are subject to taxation, under Act July 13, 1866, § 9. It is immaterial that such fund is held as an authorized security for depositors. A banking firm held not punishable for a contempt in refusing to produce their books on the demand of a supervisor of the internal revenue, and in not appearing in response to a summons, where they asked a short delay to consult counsel, and there was no place of return in the summons.		Page
importers and manufacturers, though they alone are required to pack the cigars and affix the stamp. Banks and bankers. A loan and trust company which issues certificates of deposit, and makes loans on stocks, bonds, and other security, is subject to the payment of the license fee imposed by Act 1864, § 79, cl. 1. Such company is "engaged in the business of banking," so as to be liable for the payment of a duty of 1/24 of 1 per cent. for each month upon the average amount of its deposits, as provided by Act 1864, § 110. The undistributed surplus earnings of savings banks, added during, the year to their contingent funds, are subject to taxation, under Act July 13, 1866, § 9. It is immaterial that such fund is held as an authorized security for depositors. A banking firm held not punishable for a contempt in refusing to produce their books on the demand of a supervisor of the internal revenue, and in not appearing in response to a summons, where they asked a short delay to consult counsel, and there was no place of return in the summons.	The punishment imposed for selling unstamped	
are required to pack the cigars and affix the stamp. Banks and bankers. A loan and trust company which issues certificates of deposit, and makes loans on stocks, bonds, and other security, is subject to the payment of the license fee imposed by Act 1864, § 79, cl. 1. Such company is "engaged in the business of banking," so as to be liable for the payment of a duty of 1/24 of 1 per cent. for each month upon the average amount of its deposits, as provided by Act 1864, § 110. The undistributed surplus earnings of savings banks, added during, the year to their contingent funds, are subject to taxation, under Act July 13, 1866, § 9. It is immaterial that such fund is held as an authorized security for depositors. A banking firm held not punishable for a contempt in refusing to produce their books on the demand of a supervisor of the internal revenue, and in not appearing in response to a summons, where they asked a short delay to consult counsel, and there was no place of return in the summons.	cigars (Act July 20, 1868, § 89) is not confined to	
Banks and bankers. A loan and trust company which issues certificates of deposit, and makes loans on stocks, bonds, and other security, is subject to the payment of the license fee imposed by Act 1864, § 79, cl. 1. Such company is "engaged in the business of banking," so as to be liable for the payment of a duty of 1/24 of 1 per cent. for each month upon the average amount of its deposits, as provided by Act 1864, § 110. The undistributed surplus earnings of savings banks, added during, the year to their contingent funds, are subject to taxation, under Act July 13, 1866, § 9. It is immaterial that such fund is held as an authorized security for depositors. A banking firm held not punishable for a contempt in refusing to produce their books on the demand of a supervisor of the internal revenue, and in not appearing in response to a summons, where they asked a short delay to consult counsel, and there was no place of return in the summons.	importers and manufacturers, though they alone	976
Banks and bankers. A loan and trust company which issues certificates of deposit, and makes loans on stocks, bonds, and other security, is subject to the payment of the license fee imposed by Act 1864, § 79, cl. 1. Such company is "engaged in the business of banking," so as to be liable for the payment of a duty of 1/24 of 1 per cent. for each month upon the average amount of its deposits, as provided by Act 1864, § 110. The undistributed surplus earnings of savings banks, added during, the year to their contingent funds, are subject to taxation, under Act July 13, 1866, § 9. It is immaterial that such fund is held as an authorized security for depositors. A banking firm held not punishable for a contempt in refusing to produce their books on the demand of a supervisor of the internal revenue, and in not appearing in response to a summons, where they asked a short delay to consult counsel, and there was no place of return in the summons.	are required to pack the cigars and affix the	
A loan and trust company which issues certificates of deposit, and makes loans on stocks, bonds, and other security, is subject to the payment of the license fee imposed by Act 1864, § 79, cl. 1. Such company is "engaged in the business of banking," so as to be liable for the payment of a duty of 1/24 of 1 per cent. for each month upon the average amount of its deposits, as provided by Act 1864, § 110. The undistributed surplus earnings of savings banks, added during, the year to their contingent funds, are subject to taxation, under Act July 13, 1866, § 9. It is immaterial that such fund is held as an authorized security for depositors. A banking firm held not punishable for a contempt in refusing to produce their books on the demand of a supervisor of the internal revenue, and in not appearing in response to a summons, where they asked a short delay to consult counsel, and there was no place of return in the summons.	stamp.	
certificates of deposit, and makes loans on stocks, bonds, and other security, is subject to the payment of the license fee imposed by Act 1864, § 79, cl. 1. Such company is "engaged in the business of banking," so as to be liable for the payment of a duty of 1/24 of 1 per cent. for each month upon the average amount of its deposits, as provided by Act 1864, § 110. The undistributed surplus earnings of savings banks, added during, the year to their contingent funds, are subject to taxation, under Act July 13, 1866, § 9. It is immaterial that such fund is held as an authorized security for depositors. A banking firm held not punishable for a contempt in refusing to produce their books on the demand of a supervisor of the internal revenue, and in not appearing in response to a summons, where they asked a short delay to consult counsel, and there was no place of return in the summons.	Banks and bankers.	
stocks, bonds, and other security, is subject to the payment of the license fee imposed by Act 1864, § 79, cl. 1. Such company is "engaged in the business of banking," so as to be liable for the payment of a duty of 1/24 of 1 per cent. for each month upon the average amount of its deposits, as provided by Act 1864, § 110. The undistributed surplus earnings of savings banks, added during, the year to their contingent funds, are subject to taxation, under Act July 13, 1866, § 9. It is immaterial that such fund is held as an authorized security for depositors. A banking firm held not punishable for a contempt in refusing to produce their books on the demand of a supervisor of the internal revenue, and in not appearing in response to a summons, where they asked a short delay to consult counsel, and there was no place of return in the summons.	A loan and trust company which issues	
the payment of the license fee imposed by Act 1864, § 79, cl. 1. Such company is "engaged in the business of banking," so as to be liable for the payment of a duty of 1/24 of 1 per cent. for each month upon the average amount of its deposits, as provided by Act 1864, § 110. The undistributed surplus earnings of savings banks, added during, the year to their contingent funds, are subject to taxation, under Act July 13, 1866, § 9. It is immaterial that such fund is held as an authorized security for depositors. A banking firm held not punishable for a contempt in refusing to produce their books on the demand of a supervisor of the internal revenue, and in not appearing in response to a summons, where they asked a short delay to consult counsel, and there was no place of return in the summons.	certificates of deposit, and makes loans on	
Such company is "engaged in the business of banking," so as to be liable for the payment of a duty of 1/24 of 1 per cent. for each month upon the average amount of its deposits, as provided by Act 1864, § 110. The undistributed surplus earnings of savings banks, added during, the year to their contingent funds, are subject to taxation, under Act July 13, 1866, § 9. It is immaterial that such fund is held as an authorized security for depositors. A banking firm held not punishable for a contempt in refusing to produce their books on the demand of a supervisor of the internal revenue, and in not appearing in response to a summons, where they asked a short delay to consult counsel, and there was no place of return in the summons.	stocks, bonds, and other security, is subject to	1040
Such company is "engaged in the business of banking," so as to be liable for the payment of a duty of 1/24 of 1 per cent. for each month upon the average amount of its deposits, as provided by Act 1864, § 110. The undistributed surplus earnings of savings banks, added during, the year to their contingent funds, are subject to taxation, under Act July 13, 1866, § 9. It is immaterial that such fund is held as an authorized security for depositors. A banking firm held not punishable for a contempt in refusing to produce their books on the demand of a supervisor of the internal revenue, and in not appearing in response to a summons, where they asked a short delay to consult counsel, and there was no place of return in the summons.	the payment of the license fee imposed by Act	
banking," so as to be liable for the payment of a duty of 1/24 of 1 per cent. for each month upon the average amount of its deposits, as provided by Act 1864, § 110. The undistributed surplus earnings of savings banks, added during, the year to their contingent funds, are subject to taxation, under Act July 13, 1866, § 9. It is immaterial that such fund is held as an authorized security for depositors. A banking firm held not punishable for a contempt in refusing to produce their books on the demand of a supervisor of the internal revenue, and in not appearing in response to a summons, where they asked a short delay to consult counsel, and there was no place of return in the summons.	1864, § 79, cl. 1.	
duty of 1/24 of 1 per cent. for each month upon the average amount of its deposits, as provided by Act 1864, § 110. The undistributed surplus earnings of savings banks, added during, the year to their contingent funds, are subject to taxation, under Act July 13, 1866, § 9. It is immaterial that such fund is held as an authorized security for depositors. A banking firm held not punishable for a contempt in refusing to produce their books on the demand of a supervisor of the internal revenue, and in not appearing in response to a summons, where they asked a short delay to consult counsel, and there was no place of return in the summons.	Such company is "engaged in the business of	
the average amount of its deposits, as provided by Act 1864, § 110. The undistributed surplus earnings of savings banks, added during, the year to their contingent funds, are subject to taxation, under Act July 13, 1866, § 9. It is immaterial that such fund is held as an authorized security for depositors. A banking firm held not punishable for a contempt in refusing to produce their books on the demand of a supervisor of the internal revenue, and in not appearing in response to a summons, where they asked a short delay to consult counsel, and there was no place of return in the summons.	banking," so as to be liable for the payment of a	
by Act 1864, § 110. The undistributed surplus earnings of savings banks, added during, the year to their contingent funds, are subject to taxation, under Act July 13, 1866, § 9. It is immaterial that such fund is held as an authorized security for depositors. A banking firm held not punishable for a contempt in refusing to produce their books on the demand of a supervisor of the internal revenue, and in not appearing in response to a summons, where they asked a short delay to consult counsel, and there was no place of return in the summons.	duty of 1/24 of 1 per cent. for each month upon	1040
The undistributed surplus earnings of savings banks, added during, the year to their contingent funds, are subject to taxation, under Act July 13, 1866, § 9. It is immaterial that such fund is held as an authorized security for depositors. A banking firm <i>held</i> not punishable for a contempt in refusing to produce their books on the demand of a supervisor of the internal revenue, and in not appearing in response to a summons, where they asked a short delay to consult counsel, and there was no place of return in the summons.	the average amount of its deposits, as provided	
banks, added during, the year to their contingent funds, are subject to taxation, under Act July 13, 1866, § 9. It is immaterial that such fund is held as an authorized security for depositors. A banking firm held not punishable for a contempt in refusing to produce their books on the demand of a supervisor of the internal revenue, and in not appearing in response to a summons, where they asked a short delay to consult counsel, and there was no place of return in the summons.	by Act 1864, § 110.	
funds, are subject to taxation, under Act July 13, 1866, § 9. It is immaterial that such fund is held as an authorized security for depositors. A banking firm held not punishable for a contempt in refusing to produce their books on the demand of a supervisor of the internal revenue, and in not appearing in response to a summons, where they asked a short delay to consult counsel, and there was no place of return in the summons.	The undistributed surplus earnings of savings	
tunds, are subject to taxation, under Act July 13, 1866, § 9. It is immaterial that such fund is held as an authorized security for depositors. A banking firm held not punishable for a contempt in refusing to produce their books on the demand of a supervisor of the internal revenue, and in not appearing in response to a summons, where they asked a short delay to consult counsel, and there was no place of return in the summons.	banks, added during, the year to their contingent	887
It is immaterial that such fund is held as an authorized security for depositors. A banking firm <i>held</i> not punishable for a contempt in refusing to produce their books on the demand of a supervisor of the internal revenue, and in not appearing in response to a summons, where they asked a short delay to consult counsel, and there was no place of return in the summons.	funds, are subject to taxation, under Act July 13,	00 /
authorized security for depositors. A banking firm <i>held</i> not punishable for a contempt in refusing to produce their books on the demand of a supervisor of the internal revenue, and in not appearing in response to a summons, where they asked a short delay to consult counsel, and there was no place of return in the summons.	1866, § 9.	
A banking firm <i>held</i> not punishable for a contempt in refusing to produce their books on the demand of a supervisor of the internal revenue, and in not appearing in response to a summons, where they asked a short delay to consult counsel, and there was no place of return in the summons.	It is immaterial that such fund is held as an	887
contempt in refusing to produce their books on the demand of a supervisor of the internal revenue, and in not appearing in response to a summons, where they asked a short delay to consult counsel, and there was no place of return in the summons.	authorized security for depositors.	00 /
on the demand of a supervisor of the internal revenue, and in not appearing in response to a summons, where they asked a short delay to consult counsel, and there was no place of return in the summons.	A banking firm <i>held</i> not punishable for a	
revenue, and in not appearing in response to a summons, where they asked a short delay to consult counsel, and there was no place of return in the summons.	contempt in refusing to produce their books	
a summons, where they asked a short delay to consult counsel, and there was no place of return in the summons.	on the demand of a supervisor of the internal	
to consult counsel, and there was no place of return in the summons.	revenue, and in not appearing in response to	1143
return in the summons.	a summons, where they asked a short delay	
	to consult counsel, and there was no place of	
Stamp taxes on specific objects.	return in the summons.	
eminp miles on specific expects.	Stamp taxes on specific objects.	
Goods are sold "in the original and unbroken		
package" (Act July 13, 1866) although the	package" (Act July 13, 1866) although the	
package is open for inspection, if closed again 119		1194
before delivery without the contents being	before delivery without the contents being	
changed.	changed.	

	Page
A match box, holding less than 100 matches,	
containing two sliding drawers. held but one	1342
parcel or package. (Rev. St. § 3437.).	
Income tax.	
Taxability of promissory notes, book accounts,	1001
etc., due during the year.	1221
Interest on bonds of a corporation held by	
nonresident aliens is not taxable under Act June	1019
30, 1864, § 122, amended by Act July 13, 1866,	1019
§ 9.	
Only one penalty is recoverable for all failures	
to make returns for taxation under Acts June	1019
30, 1864, and July 13, 1866, prior to the	1019
commencement of a suit.	
Violations of law, and punishment—In general.	
Permission from the commissioner of Internal	1104
revenue will not justify an unlawful act.	1194
A person is not relieved from the consequences	
of a violation of law by the neglect of a revenue	840
officer to perform a duty required of him by law.	
Act June 22, 1874, requiring the production of	
books and papers, applies to cases arising under	868
the internal revenue laws.	
Under Act July 20, 1868, § 96, where the statute	
has attached no punishment to the doing or	
omitting of acts required or forbidden, such	1192
act or omission, when knowingly or willfully	1194
committed, will be punished by the infliction of	
the penalty and forfeiture provided therein.	
When the punishment prescribed for violation	
of the internal revenue laws is a pecuniary	973
penalty or fine only, and the act fixes the exact	973
amount, an action of debt will lie to recover it.	
Where the amount of the fine is not fixed,	
but left to the discretion of the court, the	973
prosecution must be by indictment.	

	Page
Where the law provides that imprisonment	- 450
either may or must be a part of the punishment,	973
the prosecution must be by indictment.	713
Grounds of forfeiture.	
A vinegar manufacturer is liable under 15 Stat.	
125, if, by the process used by him, he obtains	0 -1 -
alcohol from his mash, so that he is saved the	852
expense of purchasing the alcohol necessary for	1410
the making of vinegar.	
A distillery is subjected to forfeiture by the	
omission to make such entries in the distiller's	
books as the law requires, or by the making of	853
false entries, or the refusal to produce them on	
proper demand. (Rev. St. §§ 3303, 3304.).	
False brands placed upon tobacco caddies by	
a revenue officer without any complicity in the	989
fraud by claimant is no ground of forfeiture.	
A failure to produce the books of claimant after	
an order duly served, where unexplained, will	1179
entitle the United States to a forfeiture.	
The connivance of the government storekeeper	
in the frauds committed by the person who	854
runs the distillery will not operate to destroy the	0)
forfeiture resulting from the acts of such person.	
The forfeiture of spirits, etc., provided for by	
Act March 2. 1867, § 25, is also imposed as a	
Penalty for violation of Act July 13, 1866, § 31,	985
in the neglect on the part of a distiller to make	
entry and return as required by that section.	
Property subject to forfeiture.	
Property returned on bond after seizure is	
subject to forfeiture for causes subsequently	
arising, but its liability to condemnation in the	985
suit in which it was bonded is not affected by	
the fact of its condemnation for the subsequent	
forfeiture.	

	Page
The adoption by the government of a seizure under the internal revenue laws cures any defect in the competency to seize of the person who made the seizure. 1097,	1098
Where forfeited spirits have been mixed though in good faith with spirits which have paid the tax so that they can no longer be distinguished, the entire amount is forfeited to the government. 1074,	1075
All tools, implements, instruments, and personal property whatsoever on the distillery premises are forfeited under Act July 13, 1866. § 9, irrespective of ownership or the purpose for which they are used.	854
The forfeiture under Act June 6. 1872 § 12, for carrying on the business of a distiller after the time of suspension stated in a notice of suspension, is not limited to the interest of the distiller in the things which are made the subject of the forfeitures.	854
Connivance in the fraud of a distiller or knowingly suffering or permitting the business to be fraudulently carried on is not required to subject to a forfeiture an interest in the land on which the distillery is situated. (Act July 20, 1868 § 44.).	854
Act March 31, 1868, § 5, in declaring that a distiller shall forfeit the distillery and distillery apparatus used by him means "shall subject to forfeiture.".	854
Act July 20, 1868, § 19, in providing that the distillery, etc., shall be forfeited forfeits the property, irrespective of the question of its ownership.	854
Under none of such sections is a proof required of the actual complicity of the owner of the	854

	Page
property to be forfeited in the fraud or other	
thing which causes the forfeiture.	
Distilled spirits found on the premises on which	
the business of distilling is carried on being	
the product of such business, are not "personal	1192
property used in the business," within the	
meaning of Act July 20, 1868, "19.	
A water wheel used for propelling machinery	
in the manufacture of friction matches is not	1210
personal property liable to forfeiture under Act	1219
1864, § 48, amended by Act 1866, c. 184.	
Machines used in a mill for such purpose are	
implements and instruments, within the meaning	1219
of the act and are liable to forfeiture.	
Pastures, orchards, and vineyards contiguous to	
a distillery, and under the same ownership, but	
in no other way connected therewith, are not	366
subject to forfeiture with the distillery as a part	
of the lot or tract of land on which it is situated.	
Tobacco may be forfeited for fraud perpetrated	
by the manufacturer, even in the possession of a	000
purchaser without knowledge of the fraud. (Act	989
July, 1866, § 9.).	
A purchaser of brandy in casks, which has not	
been gauged and inspected as required by law,	
does not get a good title as against the United	1151
States, where the same is seized and forfeiture	1154
proceedings commenced within the prescribed	
time. (Act June 30, 1864, § 68.).	
Under Rev. St. § 3281, the forfeiture does not	
operate when the statute is violated but only at	1058
the time of seizure.	
Forfeiture proceedings.	
Where a forfeiture of tobacco is claimed in two	989
where a refrestare of toxacce to claimed in two	

	Page
law, the district attorney will not be required to	
elect between them.	
Where spirits are found out of a bonded	
warehouse upon which the tax has not been	
paid, the burden is upon claimant to show that	984
the requirements of the law have been complied	
with. (Act 1866, § 45.).	
Proof by claimant that proper brands were upon	
the barrels is insufficient. He must show that	984
the tax has been paid where such payment is a	904
prerequisite to the removal.	
Under an information on a seizure of distilled	
spirits under section 45, Act July 13, 1866	
claimant has the burden of showing that the	1098
spirits had been lawfully removed, and that the	
taxes had been paid. 1097,	
Distillery books seized by a revenue collector	
under order of the department may be used as	853
evidence on the trial of a libel for forfeiture filed	وری
against the distillery.	
Proof that tubs were so placed in a distillery	
that they could be used contrary to the law is	1192
not sufficient to warrant a finding that they have	/-
been so used.	
Penalties: Actions therefor.	
A retail liquor dealer who receives more than 20	
gallons of spirits from any person other than one	
authorized to sell such spirits, for storage only,	1220
and not for sale, does not incur the penalty. (Act	
July 20, 1868, § 46.).	
Claimant has the burden of showing a	
compliance with Act July 13, 1866, § 31 where	985
slight evidence is given showing a failure to	. 3
comply therewith.	

	Page
A person transporting empty barrels is bound	
to know whether or not there were unaffected	1362
stamps thereon. (Rev. St. § 3324.).	
The words "shall be liable to," etc. (Act July 13, 1866, § 53), mark the extreme limit of the penalty, and leave it discretionary whether the whole penalty shall be imposed.	1173
Where both a money penalty and imprisonment	
are prescribed as punishment for an offense, an action of debt will lie for the money penalty.	
Offenses—In general.	
Act July 13, 1866, § 23, is not repealed by Act March 31, 1868, § 5, which provides a more severe punishment, as the statutes cover different subject-matters.	
It is an offense under Rev. St. § 3279, to work in a distillery on which no sign is placed and kept as provided therein.	
Rev. St. § 5440, prohibits a conspiracy to defraud the United States, not only by committing an offense described in other sections of the original act but in any manner whatever.	8181
The use of an inspector's brand with intent to defraud, though without the inspector's knowledge or connivance, is punishable under Act July 13, 1866, § 38.	841
Act March 31, 1868, § 2, which repeals Act June 30, 1864, §§ 94, 95, and acts amendatory thereof, does not operate to preserve prosecutions commenced, but not carried to judgment, before the repeal took effect.	1085
An acquittal on an indictment under Act July 13, 1866, § 23, for knowingly carrying on the business of a distiller, without having paid the special tax, <i>held</i> not a bar to an indictment	1106

	Page
under section 25 for knowingly using a still for	
the purpose of distilling in a dwelling house, it	
being the same place.	
On a prosecution for conducting a distillery	
without giving the necessary bond or paying the	
tax ignorance on the part of defendant that the	876
bond had not been given or the tax paid is no	
defense.	
Whoever aids in the act of illegal distilling is	
to be held responsible under the law, without	298
regard to ownership of the still or its product.	
A person is liable to indictment for running a	
distillery upon which the special tax has not	770
been paid, though he acted merely as the agent	778
of another.	
An employe having no interest in a distillery or	
the product as a proprietor or partner, though	
he is paid in such product is not subject to	627
indictment, though he has knowledge that the	
special tax has not been paid.	
——Same—Indictment.	
A failure to take out a license and a neglect to	
affix stamps, as required by the internal revenue	395
law. <i>held</i> indictable offenses.	
The penalty for exposing for sale articles without	
the revenue stamp affixed (Acts June 30, 1864,	1059
§§ 167, 169, amended by Acts March 3, 1865,	1059
and July 13, 1866) is recoverable by indictment.	
In the case of a partnership, both partners are	
liable where the sale is made by one or by a	1059
clerk having authority.	
An indictment for carrying on the business of	
a distiller of spirits without paying the special	
tax (Act July 13, 1866, § 23) need not set out	1196
the particular acts of distilling, or the kinds of	
spirits.	

	Page
"Then and there distilling and manufacturing	
spirits to a very large amount, to wit to the	
amount and number of 1.000 gallons of proof	1196
spirits." held a sufficient affirmative allegation	
that defendant did distill.	
A charge that the defendants, and each of them	
did carry on the business, will not render the	1196
indictment multifarious.	
An indictment which showed the business to	
have been begun under the old law and	
continued under a new law which substituted	1106
special taxes for licenses should negative the	1190
payment of the license fee, or of the additional	
fee, as well as of the special tax.	
The words "as required by law," as used in an	
indictment for selling cigars not properly boxed	
and stamped, dispenses with the necessity of	976
alleging that the cigars were not within the	
excepted classes.	
——Same—Evidence.	
Statements made by claimants in a proceeding	
against a distillery cannot be used against them	868
in a subsequent criminal prosecution.	
The presence of defendants while the distillery	
was in operation is merely a circumstance to	876
show their connection with it, and will not alone	0,0
justify a conviction.	
Defendant, indicted for carrying on business	
Defendant, indicted for carrying on business without a license, and without having paid the	
without a license, and without having paid the special tax, and for failure to keep books	843
without a license, and without having paid the	843
without a license, and without having paid the special tax, and for failure to keep books	843
without a license, and without having paid the special tax, and for failure to keep books required by law, has the burden of showing that	843
without a license, and without having paid the special tax, and for failure to keep books required by law, has the burden of showing that he had a license, paid the special tax, and kept	843
without a license, and without having paid the special tax, and for failure to keep books required by law, has the burden of showing that he had a license, paid the special tax, and kept the books. 840,	843

	D
.1	Page
that such was necessarily the fact, and must	
exclude any other conclusion.	
To sustain the theory that a given amount of	
material will produce a certain quantity of	
spirits, it must be shown that this is a necessary	1230
and unavoidable inference from the facts	
proved.	
Where the official records are not required by	
law to show the giving or not giving of a	298
distiller's bond, the fact may be proved by the	4,0
testimony of the officer.	
INTERPRETER.	
A sworn interpreter may take advantage of the	
suggestions of others who are not sworn with	
regard to the proper interpretation of testimony,	1287
stating the result to the court as his own	
interpretation.	
INTOXICATING LIQUORS.	
In an information for selling without license it is	
not necessary to specify the kind of liquor, nor	1370
the person to whom sold.	
All the acts of selling constitute one offense.	40-4
1370,	1371
An indictment will not lie against an inhabitant	
of the city of Washington for retailing spirituous	872
liquor within the city.	
JAIL AND JAILER.	
Debtors of the United States are not entitled to	
the benefit of the prison bounds in the District	1372
of Columbia.	-0,
JUDGE.	
The additional judge of the orphans' court of the	
District of Columbia appointed under Act May	
25, 1838, holds his office for life, and not during	350
the life of the judge.	
JUDGMENT.	
JODOMENTI.	

	Page
Lien.	
The judgments and decree of the circuit court	
of the district of Illinois constitute a lien	927
throughout the state on the real estate of the	947
party against whom they are rendered.	
Where a receiver is appointed of the rents and	
profits in a suit to remove an incumbrance on	
land, so that it may be sold under plaintiff's	210
judgment, they are in equity subject to the lien	
of the judgment, the same as the land itself.	
Where the incumbrance is disposed of by	
settlement plaintiff paying its amount, the land,	
and the rents and the profits accrued become	210
subject to the judgment, and the latter are in	
equity immediately applicable.	
Operation and effect.	
A joint judgment is no bar at law to a separate	
suit against one of the obligors on a joint and	734
several bond, or against his personal	/34
representative.	
A judgment recovered between the same parties	
in another federal court, upon the same cause of	847
action, may be pleaded in bar, irrespective of the	1412
time when the suit was commenced.	
Amendment.	
The court will at a subsequent term correct a	1055
judgment entered by mistake for too large a sum.	1033
Relief against: Opening: Vacating.	
Judgments are not impeachable in equity for	
matter which has been once tried, or so put in	1107
issue that it might have been tried.	
The frauds for which judgments are	
impeachable in courts of equity are collateral	1107
acts, extrinsic to the merits.	

	Page
A decree entered by mistake, though final, may	
be vacated by the court after the term in which	331
it was rendered.	
Actions on judgments.	
An action at law on a judgment obtained on a	
joint and several bond in a joint suit against all	722
the obligors will not lie against the administrator	732
of a deceased obligor.	
JUDICIAL SALES.	
The purchaser at a judicial sale, who has paid	
the money to the judgment creditor, cannot, on	
discovering a defect of title, call on him for	927
repayment, but his remedy if any, is against the	
judgment debtor.	
JURY.	
Act Sept. 24, 1789, c. 20, § 29, in referring	
to the state laws, applies only to the mode of	901
selecting jurors, and not to the number to be	901
summoned.	
The number of jurors to be selected for the	
federal courts is left to the discretion of the	1254
court, to be determined by rule.	
Query, whether Act July 20, 1840, is applicable	
only to the mode of selecting the jury, or affects	896
the questions involved in the right of challenging	090
peremptorily or for cause.	
Jurors for the federal courts may be selected by	
the national officers as nearly as may be in the	1254
same manner as they are selected by the state	1454
officers. (Act July 20, 1840.).	
In the designation of jurors in the federal courts,	
a minute adherence to the state practice on that	545
subject is not required by Act July 20, 1840.	
As to what is a substantial compliance with the	515
state practice in the selection of jurors.	545

	Page
It is a good ground of challenge to the array that	
the jury was summoned without any designation by the court of the part of the district from which they were to be summoned, and not according to the mode of forming juries to serve in the highest courts of law in the state.	489
The fact that a juror was not warned to attend as directed by the statute is no ground of exception where he is regularly drawn, and attends the court.	650
It is no objection to the verdict that the venire was issued and served before the indictment was returned, where the panel was returned for the trial of all causes pending at the term.	650
Before a jury is made up, incompetent jurors, who have been summoned, may be discharged, and others summoned in their places.	849
Act June 1, 1872, declaring that the federal courts shall conform as near as may be to the practice, pleadings, and modes, and forms of proceeding prevailing in the state courts, has no reference to the design nation or selection of jurors.	1254
The array cannot be challenged on the ground of impartiality of the sheriff because a partial juror has been returned.	239
In Virginia, peremptory challenges are allowed only in capital cases.	310
On an indictment for larceny in Alexandria, D. C., the prisoner is entitled to a peremptory challenge.	1273
Peremptory challenges are not allowed in a federal court except in capital cases, though they are allowed in other cases by the state law.	673
Upon a trial for manslaughter, defendant is entitled to peremptory challenges.	682

	Page
No right of peremptory challenge exists in the	1 ugc
circuit court for the Eastern district of New	
York, on the trial of an indictment for	840
misdemeanor.	
Query, whether in the federal courts, in New	
York state, the government has the right of	
peremptory challenge where the panel is not	896
exhausted by the challenges of the prisoner.	
As to the right of peremptory challenges on trials	
for various offenses under Act April 30, 1790,	901
c. 36.	
The right of peremptory challenge need not be	
exercised until the opportunity of rejecting for	
cause is afforded; and, in presenting jurors for	213
challenge, the government must first exercise its	
right.	
The government cannot ask a juror to stand	
aside until the panel is exhausted before	213
challenging for cause or peremptorily.	
The prosecution may challenge a juror for cause.	55
A foreigner in Virginia is entitled to a jury de	210
mediate linguæ. 297,	312
It is no ground of exception that jurors were	
set aside because they were Quakers, without	650
objection being made by either party, and upon	050
their mere statement that they were such.	
It is a good cause of challenge to a juror that he	
voluntarily joined the Confederate army (Rev. St	213
§ 820.).	
A formed and expressed opinion on the book	
in which alleged libelous passages occur will not	220
disqualify a juror in a prosecution for seditious	239
libel.	
Persons who have deliberately formed and	
delivered an opinion on the guilt of an accused	49
are disqualified to serve as jurors.	

	Page
A man must not only have formed, but declared,	
an opinion, to disqualify him as a juror.	55
The forming and delivering of an opinion that	
a person indicted for treason entertained the	
alleged treasonable designs, and retained them,	40
and was prosecuting them, when the act charged	49
was alleged to have been committed, is good	
cause of challenge.	
An opinion formed and delivered, not upon a	
full case, but upon a point so essential as to	
go far towards a decision of the whole case,	49
and to have a real influence on the verdict, will	
disqualify the person as a juror.	
JUSTICES OF THE PEACE.	
A justice is not liable for discharging a prisoner	
who has been committed for trial on a charge of	
felony, or for taking money in lieu of bail, unless	1053
he acted contemptuously, willfully, and with evil	
intent.	
An indictment against a justice for taking	
insufficient bail need not state in what respect	441
the bail was insufficient, nor set out the security	1413
taken.	
LARCENY.	
Bank notes are not goods and chattels, and	297
cannot be the subject of larceny at common law.	497
A person who takes and carries away muskets	
with intent to appropriate them, or any of them,	
to his own use, or permanently to deprive the	
owner of them, is guilty of larceny; otherwise	941
where the taking was with the sole intent to	
prevent the use of them upon himself or his	
associates.	
The taking by a person of an article delivered to	480
him as a servant, to remove from one room to	100

	Page
another, and converting the same to his own use	
is larceny, and not embezzlement.	
The court has no jurisdiction on an indictment	
for larceny upon the high seas, under Act 1790,	701
c. 9, § 16, where the taking was on board of a	784
vessel while lying in the port of Savannah.	
The court does not obtain jurisdiction by the	
fact that the property was carried on the high	784
seas from the port of Savannah to New York.	
A larceny committed in a place not under the	
sole and exclusive jurisdiction of the United	701
States may be punishable under Act 1825. c.	781
276, § 3.	
Offenses are punishable under such section	
according to the state laws, where they are	
committed under circumstances or in places in	781
which, before that act, no court of the United	
States had authority to punish them.	
An indictment for larceny under Act 1790, c. 9,	
must aver that the act was committed in a place	781
under the sole and exclusive jurisdiction of the	/01
United States.	
"Personal goods," in such statute, do not include	781
choses in action.	/01
Sufficiency of indictment under Act Md. 1729,	
c. 4, § 3, for breaking into and stealing goods	1263
from a warehouse.	
An indictment for stealing "a mulatto boy * *	
* the property, goods, and chattels of T.," is	1341
insufficient, where it does not aver that he was	1341
a slave.	
Upon the trial of an indictment for stealing a	
note of the Bank of the United States, no proof	231
of its genuiness need be given other than by	431
producing it.	

	Page
Evidence that the prisoner uttered, as genuine,	
what purported on its face to be a bank note,	
is competent proof that it was a bank note,	1198
though it is not otherwise shown that such a	
bank existed.	
Where there is a reasonable presumption that	
the taking of the property was felonious, and	
against the will of the true owner, although	784
such owner be unknown, a conviction will be	
sustained.	
LIBEL AND SLANDER.	
To sustain a prosecution for a seditious libel	
against the president (Act July 14, 1798) there	
must clearly appear an intent to defame him,	601
to bring him into contempt and disrepute, and	631
excite against him the hatred of the good people	
of the United States.	
Actual hostilities, captures of vessels, and a	
prohibition of intercourse, although there has	
been no declaration of war, renders the	631
statement "in time of peace" false, within the	
meaning of the act.	
Upon a prosecution for a seditious libel,	
subsequent publications or unpublished writings	
found in defendant's possession are inadmissible	684
to prove the intent in publishing the libel	
charged.	
Admissibility and sufficiency of evidence to	684
prove publication of a seditious libel.	004
Sufficiency of evidence to sustain a conviction	
under the sedition act of July 14, 1798, for the	631
publication of a libel against the president.	
On a prosecution for seditious libel (Act 1798),	
it is for the court to assess the fine in case of	239
conviction.	

	Page
Where the libel is founded upon a book, it is	50
sufficient to set out the passages complained of	239
without giving its title.	,
The proof of justification must extend to the	222
whole charge.	239
An allegation of "tenor and effect" requires	
proof of the substance only, and not the precise	239
words.	
LIENS.	
See. also, "Customs Duties" "Insolvency" "In	ternal
Revenue."	
The rule that a party having a double fund	
to resort to will be required, as against other	
creditors, to first resort to that one on which he	927
alone has a lien, will not be applied where it	
would operate to his prejudice.	
Where there are two liens on the same land of	
different date, which also cover other lands in	
the state, the court will order the lands to be	938
sold, reserving the application of the proceeds	
for the order of the court.	
Priority of the United States is not affected by	
the local rule that lands consisting of different	
parcels, subject to a general incumbrance, are,	927
in equity, to be charged in the inverse order of	
alienation of the separate fund.	
LIMITATION OF ACTIONS.	
A statute of limitations does not bind the	780
government unless it be specially named.	,,,,
The statute of limitations of six years (Rev.	
St. § 786) does not apply to suits brought on	1240
marshals' bonds by the United States.	
LOTTERIES.	
Construction of statutes relating to lotteries as	1373
applicable to the city of Washington, D. C.	- 313
MANDAMUS.	

	Page
A demand of payment and a refusal are not	
a condition precedent to the issuance of a	
mandamus to compel payment of a judgment	998
obtained against a municipal corporation for	,,-
overdue interest on its bond.	
Where a warrant on the treasurer of a county	
to pay a judgment creditor is refused payment,	
mandamus will issue to enforce the collection of	c - c
a tax to pay such judgment, and the creditor is	676
not bound to wait his turn among other warrant	
holders.	
MARSHAL.	
Under Act April 10, 1806, it is optional with the	
injured party to bring suit on the marshal's bond	771
in his own name, or in the name of the United	771
States.	
Allowance of marshal's fees and disbursements,	107
and for constructive travel and attendance.	487
MUNICIPAL CORPORATIONS.	
The authority of a municipal corporation to incur	
an obligation carries with it, by necessary	998
implication, the duty of providing by taxation for	1414
its payment.	
The federal circuit court cannot by mandamus or	
otherwise, direct a municipal corporation to levy	998
a tax larger than is authorized by law.	
NAVIGABLE WATERS.	
The United States may bring an injunction bill	
in the proper circuit court to protect	
improvements made under the authority of	923
congress in navigable waters, from injury which	943
will be caused by works of internal improvement	
within state limits by state authority.	
Navy.	
See "Army and Navy."	
NEUTRALITY LAWS.	

	Page
Sufficiency of affidavit giving translation of letter	
and cipher, taken in connection with declarations	
of accused to justify commitment for setting on	2
foot a military expedition against a nation with	
which the United States were at peace.	
The question whether a military expedition	
against a nation with which the United States	
were at peace was really to depend upon war	201
being declared will not be determined upon a	
preliminary examination.	
The setting on foot or providing the means of a	
military expedition against a nation with which	
the United States are at peace is an offense,	201
notwithstanding it appear that war is inevitable,	201
unless the prosecution of the expedition	
depended upon its taking place.	
A citizen cannot make the election, or anticipate	
his government's making the election, to	
consider as an act of war the taking possession	201
by another nation of contested territory, arising	
out of a dispute as to boundaries.	
On the trial of an indictment for setting on foot	
a military expedition against a nation with which	
the United States were at peace, containing no	
allusion to a conspiracy, the declarations of third	187
persons not forming a part of the transaction,	
and not made in the presence of the accused, are	
not admissible in evidence.	
Any legal testimony which shows the expedition	
in question to be military, or to have been	187
designed against the dominions or the nation, as	107
charged, is admissible.	
The acts of accomplices, except so far as they	
prove the character or object of the expedition	187
in question, are not admissible in evidence.	
NEW TRIAL.	

	Page
See, also, "Criminal Law."	
A new trial will not be granted because the	
jury was sworn to try an issue between the	
United States and the owner on an information	1182
for forfeiture, where the claim and answer were	
filed by an agent for the owner.	
The court should grant a new trial where it	
appears that a different result would follow from	382
a second trial.	
A new trial will be granted where the verdict is	
only sustained by the testimony of two witnesses	200
for the government, as against the testimony of	382
nine unimpeached witnesses for defendants.	
It is only in cases imputing gross inattention,	
prejudice, or misconduct on the part of a jury	1000
that the court will interfere to disturb their	1093
verdict.	
Where a jury render a verdict against the plain	
principles of law as laid down by the court,	
and against clear and unquestioned evidence, the	953
court will grant a new trial, notwithstanding the	953
particular circumstances or general justice of the	
case.	
A verdict in favor of defendant in an action to	
recover a statutory penalty will be set aside if	1194
contrary to the evidence and the law applicable	1194
thereto.	
A new trial will be granted on proof that one	382
juror has acted corruptly.	304
In making up a case on which to move for a new	
trial, oral testimony taken at the trial, by way of	
question and answer, must be reduced to the	1097
form of a narrative or the court will refuse to	
hear the motion.	

	Page
In a case of great importance, involving large	
interests, cumulative testimony will be received	382
on an application for a new trial.	
OATH.	
See, also, "Affidavit"; "Perjury."	
A justice of the peace has authority to	
administer to defendant an oath to an answer in	680
chancery.	
Authority to a county clerk to swear petitioners	
resident in his county does not give him power	816
to administer an oath to one who resides in	010
another county.	
A commissioner for a state appointed by the	
federal circuit court therein to take depositions	
in a case pending in said court has authority to	624
administer an oath under the laws of the United	
States.	
OBSTRUCTING JUSTICE.	
It is not an indictable offense to threaten to kill a	
constable if he should attempt to arrest a person	1381
whom he is searching for with intent to arrest	1301
without a warrant.	
A state court judge who in pursuance of a	
conspiracy, and in bad faith, releases on habeas	
corpus, without any ground there for a Prisoner	
held on examination before a United States	891
commissioner to await the action of the grand	
jury, together with the other conspirators, is	
guilty of obstructing process.	
OFFICE AND OFFICER.	

See, also, "Army and Navy"; "Clerk of Court"; "Customs Duties"; "District Attorneys" "Elections and Voters" "Executive Departments"; "Extortion"; "Internal Revenue"; "Justices of the Peace"; "Marshal"; "Obstructing Justice"; "Post Office"; "President"; "Sheriffs and Constables."

	Page
The government is not bound by the acts of its	_
officers in excess of their powers.	426
A public officer cannot, by subsequent	
declarations, invalidate his own official act.	545
The compensation of special officers employed	
by the head of a department may be faxed by	231
special agreement.	
Where the accounts of a public officer employed	
by the head of a department under a special	
contract are settled, and a certain rate of	22.1
compensation allowed he continues to be	231
entitled to the same rate of compensation until a	
new agreement is made.	
A receiver of public moneys is entitled to his	
commissions on moneys received though he	977
resigns or is removed from office at the	1415
termination of the first six months of the last	1415
year covered by his appointment.	
where a public officer, at the request of the head	
of a department, performs other public duties	953
than those properly belonging to his office, he is	733
entitled to extra compensation.	
Construction of Act Aug. 23, 1842, § 2, and Act	
March 3, 1849, in relation to extra compensation	596
to officers.	
Construction of Act Aug. 6, 1846. §§ 6, 22, and	
Act June 30, 1864. § 170, as to the allowance to	227
an assistant treasurer of commissions on the sale	447
of stamps.	
No claim of a public officer for a credit can	
be admitted on a trial unless presented to and	
disallowed by the accounting officers of the	953
treasury. (Act March 3, 1797.) A suspension is	
not a disallowance.	
In an action by the government against an officer	527
or individual to recover moneys claimed of him,	5 . /

	Page
defendant is entitled to an allowance of all	
equitable demands of his against the United	
States which have been disallowed by the	
accounting officers.	
The liabilities of sureties on official bonds	
cannot be extended beyond the reasonable	414
necessary import of the language of the bond.	
An official bond conditioned in the language	
of certain statutes cannot be held to include	
the liability of the officer under other statutes	414
existing at the time the bond was given, and not	
referred to therein.	
The condition of a bond of a public officer to	
discharge the duties according to law refers to	1267
existing laws and those passed during his term	1207
of office.	
Where a balance is left in the hand of a public	
officer at the expiration of his first term, its	
illegal appropriation by him will not be	970
presumed in favor of a surety on his second	
bond.	
The surety of a navy agent is liable for	
misappropriation of public moneys by his	740
principal, though they were advanced to him	, 10
contrary to law	
The bondsmen of the assistant treasurer of the	
United States at New York are liable for moneys	227
lost by his clerks while in his hands as an	77
officer.	
The debt of a paymaster to the United States	
is created by the advances made to him, and	
not at the time of striking a balance of accounts	447
against him on the treasury books; and his surety	,
becomes a debtor as soon as the paymaster fails	
to account according to law.	

	Page
In an action on a bond of a disbursing officer,	6 -
the sureties may prove that a disputed item	
of credit claimed has been presented to and	
disallowed by the proper accounting officers,	667
though the treasury transcript does not show	
such fact.	
In such action defendant is entitled to a credit	,
for moneys reasonable in amount paid by such	
officer and for services rendered by him in	669
good faith, in the proper discharge of his official	009
duties.	
Where the breach of an official bond alleged is	
the failure to pay over or account for a certain	
sum a dereliction of duty in not collecting such	1339
sum cannot be shown.	
PARTIES.	
The court can recognize the United States as	00.4
plaintiff on the record only when the record	894
shows that they appear by the district attorney.	
The assignee of an insolvent debtor to the	
United States is a necessary party to a suit	673
by them, for an accounting of property of the	
debtor, in the hands of third persons.	
PARTNERSHIP.	
The application by a partner of funds of the firm	
to the payment of taxes on his individual estate	927
does not give the firm creditors a lien on the	7-7
land.	
PATENTS.	
Suit to invalidate patent.	
A bill in equity for the surrender of letters	
patent brought in the name of the United States,	894
but not appearing to have been brought by a	07 4
district attorney, is bad on demurrer.	
Infringement—Injunction and its violation.	

	Page
A sale of a patent while the suit is pending in	
relation to it, and before an injunction is issued,	793
is not a contempt of court.	
PAYMENT.	
Payment to a public officer, if unaccompanied	
by oral or written remonstrance or protest, is	461
voluntary.	
An action by the United States to recover the	
amount of a draft paid upon a forged signature	(10
will not lie against the banker who innocently	618
collected the same, after the lapse of six years.	
PENSION.	
Under the constitutional authority to raise and	
support armies, congress has power to bestow	1035
bounties and pensions upon those who may	1035
engage in military service.	
Under such provision, congress may make it an	
offense to detain from a military pensioner any	1025
portion of the sum collected in his behalf as his	1035
pension.	
Act July 4, 1864, §§ 12, 13, limiting the fees of	
pension agents and attorneys, and making it a	1035
misdemeanor to take any greater compensation,	1035
is constitutional.	
An agent is indictable under such act who	
withholds from a pensioner a pension granted	377
under a later act.	
PERJURY.	
See, also, "Affidavit"; "Oath."	
An oath to do a certain thing in the future	
cannot be the subject of a prosecution for	1339
perjury.	
Γ o sustain an indictment for perjury, the oath	216
must be administered by some one authorized.	816
Whether perjury committed on a hearing on	111
a criminal complaint before a district judge is	441

	Page
punishable under Act April 30, 1790. c. 9. § 18,	
query.	
An indictment for subornation of perjury must	
aver that defendant knew that the testimony	
which he instigated the suborned witness to give	817
was false, and that in giving such testimony, the	
witness would commit the crime of perjury.	
The materiality of the facts sworn to must appear	
in the indictment either by averment or by a	680
statement of facts which show their materiality.	
It must be shown that defendant was sworn in a	
matter where an oath or affirmation is required	
under a law of the United States, and that he	624
knowingly and willingly swore to that which was	
false, to convict under Act March 3, 1825, § 13.	
The prosecution must show that the oath was	
administered to defendant by the person named,	
that he had authority to administer minister it,	624
and that defendant swore with a wicked and	1416
corrupt intent, willfully false in regard to the	
matters alleged to be untrue.	
The statements of defendant which are made the	
basis of a charge of perjury must be disproved	624
by two witnesses, or by one witness and	044
corroborating circumstances.	
It is only necessary to prove so much of the	
testimony of the witness as relates to the	1022
particular fact on which the perjury is assigned.	
The copy of the record in the cause in which the	
perjury was committed, where the court is the	1022
same, need to be produced.	
Papers filed by the prisoner to sustain the	
allegations contained in the original paper, if	
they tend to establish the charge made in the	1245
indictment as to guilty knowledge, will be	
admitted in evidence.	

	Page
There need not be positive evidence that the	
paper was sworn to by the prisoner; it may be	1245
proved by circumstantial evidence.	
PERSONAL PROPERTY.	
Actual possession is not necessary to a transfer	
of personal property, nor is the want of it even	
an indicium of fraud, where from the	811
circumstances, as in the case of goods at sea, it	
cannot be obtained.	
It is no objection to the vesting of the right of	
property in the consignee for value or whose	
debt it is to secure, that the goods are, by	811
agreement, to be at the risk and for account of	
the consignor.	
PIRACY.	
The crime of piracy, as defined by the law	
of nations and the acts of congress consists of	399
robbery or forcible depredation upon the sea.	
In order to affect all the officers of a piratical	
vessel with guilt, the original voyage must have	
been undertaken with a piratical design, and the	1287
officers and crew have known and acted upon	140/
such design; otherwise, those only are guilty who	
actively co-operated in the piracy.	
The simple fact of presence on board the	
piratical vessel, where there was no original	1287
piratical design, is not sufficient per se to affect	140/
a party with a crime.	
Slave trade is not punishable as piracy.	658
Parol evidence is admissible to establish the	
time of sailing and the course and termination of	1007
a voyage, without proving that the log book was	1287
missing or lost.	
PLEADING AT LAW.	

	Page
The plea of nil debet is improper where the	
action is founded on a deed; otherwise where	725
the deed is only inducement to the action.	
A rejoinder must answer the replication, and	
tender an issue on a single point, else it is	725
demurrable.	
POST OFFICE.	
Γ he postmaster general has no power under A ct	
June 27, 1848, to impose a fine on a contractor	539
for carrying the mails for delays, except for the	539
causes specified in the act.	
A recommendation by the postmaster general to	
the secretary of the navy to make a deduction	
from the pay of a contractor, on the ground	
that a portion of a service was performed by	539
a steamer not of the class stipulated for in the	
contract, is not the imposition of a fine within	
the terms of the act.	
The discretion of the postmaster general in	
granting or refusing extra allowance to	
postmasters for extra labor and expense in	776
certain cases (Act June 22, 1854) not subject to	
judicial review.	
No postmaster has a legal right to the allowance	
for the necessary colt of rent fuel, etc. (Act July	776
1, 1864, \S 5), until it is awarded him by the	770
postmaster general.	
In an action on a postmaster's bond, defendant	
may counterclaim items which have been duly	776
presented to the proper $\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{L}$ department for	770
allowance and rejected.	
The sureties on a postmaster's bond are	
discharged where suit is not brought in two	780
years after the defalcation.	
Act March 3, 1825, § 21, prohibiting mail	202
carriers carrying letters or packets does not	- 392

	Page
prohibit their carrying a package containing	
executions only.	
The unlawful stopping of passenger tram by	
persons who are willing to permit the passage of	
the mail car detached from the passenger car is	4.40
punishable under Rev. St. § 3995 as a willful	443
obstruction or retarding of the passage of the	
mail.	
A postmaster who uses the money received	
through his office in paying his private debts,	
so that, upon examination, his accounts do not	
balance, is guilty of embezzlement (Act June 8,	1318
1872, § 122) though he intended to replace the	
money, and made the same good shortly after his	
arrest.	
After the deposit of a letter in the post-office, no	
one except the writer or the addressee, or some	
one authorized by them has the right, while it	975
is there, to open it, for the mere purpose of	
ascertaining its contents.	
Neither the postmaster nor other officers have	
any authority to open it under the pretext that	975
there might be something improper or even	913
criminal therein.	
An indictment against a post-office employe for	
embezzling letters intrusted to him, and stealing	
bank notes therefrom (Act April 30, 1810, § 18),	1349
nee not aver that the letters were intended to be	
conveyed by post.	
The embezzlement of the letters had stealing	
bank notes therefrom may be charged in the	1349
same count of the indictment.	
A decoy letter, containing money, mailed for	
the purpose of entrapping an employe in a post	1198
office, who opens it, and takes the money, is	/0
within Act March 3, 1825, § 21. 673,	

	Page
The description of the termini between which	
the letter was intended to be sent by post cannot	1198
be rejected as surplusage, but must be proved as	1190
laid.	
In an indictment for larceny from a letter under	
section 21, the property stolen must be lad on	1198
some person other than the prisoner. 723,	
An indictment against a postmaster for	
embezzling bank notes from the mail must aver	723
that the note was a thing of value.	
An errand boy authorized to receive letters from	
the mail, who embezzles the contents of a letter	914
so received, is not liable under Act March 3,	717
1825, § 22 as the taking was lawful.	
The delivery of a letter to an errand boy is	
a delivery to his employer, within Act March	
3, 1825, § 22, and he cannot be convicted of	914
opening the same "before it shall have been	
delivered to the person to whom it is directed."	
Notes of a third person, sent by a depositary	
with notes of his own, and stolen from the mail,	207
may be laid in the indictment as the property of	1417
the depositary.	
An indictment charging a mail earner with	
stealing a letter out of the mail is sufficient	1086
without alleging that it contained an article of	
value.	
On a trial for larceny from the mail, the best	
evidence of mailing is that of the person who	705
mailed the letter, and of its loss of the person to	, - 5
whom it was addressed.	
The postmasters through whose offices the	
embezzled letters were passed or distributed	1013
must be called as witnesses on a prosecution for	
stealing letters out of the mail.	

	Page
Sufficiency of evidence to sustain conviction for	720
robbing the mail.	720
An indictment charging defendant with	
depositing in the mail an obscene pamphlet,	
and a notice giving information how an article	1140
designed for the prevention of conception can	1140
be obtained, need not give a definite or detailed	
description of the pamphlet.	
Sufficient information as to the particular article	
about which evidence is to be give can be	
obtained by an order for a bill of particulars,	1140
and for the exhibition to defendant of the article	
itself.	
A notice in the form of a letter inclosed in	
a sealed envelope, if it give the prohibited	1140
information, is within the scope of the statute.	
A written slip of paper giving the prohibited	
information is a "notice," within the meaning of	1140
the statute, although not volunteered, but sent in	1140
reply to a letter asking for the information.	
PRACTICE AT LAW.	
Where the minutes of the court show that the	
judge delivered an opinion overruling	
exceptions, and confirming a survey of a	
Mexican grant, but no decree appeared to have	
been made or written opinion filed, held, that	1242
the cause was still pending, and a court	
succeeding to the jurisdiction might enter a	
decree, or, on proper showing, re-examine the	
case.	
To support a motion made after a decision to	
correct an agreed statement of facts on which	
the case is tried, the moving party must not only	230
show that the statement was actually erroneous,	
but that he had not been guilty of laches.	
PRESIDENT.	

	Page
A subpoena may issue to the president to	
compel his attendance as a witness, and an	30
accused person is entitled to it of course.	
A subpœna may issue to the president directing	
him to bring any paper of which the party	30
praying it has a right to avail himself as	50
testimony.	
PRINCIPAL AND SURETY.	
See, also, "Office and Officer."	
Sureties who bind themselves jointly and	
severally as principals in a bond are equally	734
liable in equity with the principal debtor.	
A surety is released by any modification in the	
contract made without his consent by agreement	216
between the principals, though in a particular	316
not deemed essential by them.	
Sureties for the performance of a contract with	
the government to build a ship canal, and keep	
it open for a certain number of years, are	4
discharged where the government accepts the	671
work done in a different manner than as	
contracted.	
An assent by an heir of a deceased surety to the	
release of the principal debtor, without prejudice	
to the surety's liability, will not prevent the	732
release from discharging the surety.	
PRIZE.	
A person residing in the enemy country long	
enough to acquire a domicile there is subjected	
to all the disabilities of an enemy, so far as	1008
relates to his property.	
The domicile of the owner at the time of the	
capture of the vessel determines whether she is	
of hostile character or no. The fact that she is	1232
enrolled in a port of a rebellious state is not	.5 4
conclusive.	

	Page
A neutral flag constitutes no protection to	
enemy's property, and a belligerent flag communicates no hostile character to neutral property.	1008
A vessel sailing under the flag of the enemy is considered as enemy property, and is liable to confiscation, jure belli.	
The sale of a vessel of war by a belligerent to a neutral during hostility is not valid as against the other belligerent.	
Under Act July 13, 1861, goods forming the	
cargo of a vessel proceeding to a point in the insurrectionary states are liable to forfeiture only when in transitu, and the vessel only while the contraband cargo is on board.	1201
Under the regulations made under the authority	
of Acts July 13. 1861, May 20, 1862, and July 2, 1864, a vessel engaged in a prohibited trade is liable to forfeiture even after the termination of the prohibited voyage and the discharge of the contraband cargo.	1201
A vessel has not proceeded or departed on	
her voyage within Acts July 13, 1861, and May 20, 1862, and the rules and regulations of the secretary of the treasury supplementary thereto, until she is outside the limits of the harbor or her port of departure.	
The claimants of a vessel subject to	
condemnation cannot contest the competency of libelants alone to control the proceeds of the forfeiture.	1371
An application for further proof will be refused	
where the claim and test affidavit of the claimant are utterly at variance with his answers to the standing interrogatories.	1008

	Page
An attempted breach of blockade cannot be	
predicated on the intention to land the cargo of a	
vessel wrecked on an enemy's coast temporarily	1232
on the adjoining shore, until it could be	
conveyed to a loyal port.	
PUBLIC LANDS.	
See, also, "Grant."	
Sioux half-breed scrip cannot be located by	
other than the party to whom the scrip issued	407
in person, unless the application be accompanied	407
with a power of attorney from him.	
Sioux half-breed scrip cannot be located on land	407
occupied by another.	407
No patent can issue pending a contest before the	
register and receiver of the United States land	
office between a purchaser from the state, on a	407
state selection, and a claimant under Sioux half-	
breed scrip location.	
As to the control and disposal of lands in the	
city of San Francisco, whose title was derived	304
under the Mexican law.	
What is an occupation for public uses under Act	
1864, which excepts from the grant to the city all	304
sites or other parcels of land in such occupancy	1418
by the United States.	
An indictment for cutting oak on public lands	
cannot be sustained by evidence that defendant	767
cut pine.	
The rule of proof on an indictment for cutting	
and removing timber from public lands is fixed	767
by the statute. (Act March 2. 1831.).	
A permit to enter on land containing lead ore	
may be shown in an action of trespass by the	1271
United States; not as a justification but to show	1271
the nature and object of the entry.	

	Page
A final receipt by a government officer	
authorized to act in the premises for rent where	
subsequent to the trespass alleged is a full	1271
discharge, although the officer may never have	•
accounted for the money received.	
QUI TAM AND PENAL ACTIONS.	
A declaration to recover a statutory penalty must	
demand a precise sum, although the statute	1000
declares that the penalty shall he "not more	1000
than" a sum stated.	
RAILROAD COMPANIES.	
The Central Pacific Railroad Company did not	
become liable to apply 3 per cent of its net	
earnings annually to the payment of the principal	254
and interest of the government subsidy bonds,	354
until the completion of its road as accepted by	
the president. October 1, 1874.	
RAPE.	
It is not a fatal defect in an indictment for rape	
that it also alleges that the woman was gotten	849
with child.	
RECEIVING STOLEN GOODS.	
Stolen postage stamps sent by the thief to	
defendant were delivered up to a postmaster on	
written order of the thief, but were subsequently	796
allowed to go forward and to be delivered to	790
defendant. <i>Held.</i> that they lost their character as	
stolen property.	
Recognizance.	
See "Bail."	
RECORDS.	
The register of the orphans' court in Alexandria	
is entitled to the custody of the record hooks to	817
wills of the old court of hustings.	

	Page
Property released to the defendant on a	
forthcoming bond is still in the custody of the	
court, and not subject to seizure under a writ	732
issued out of another court.	
RIOT.	
An assemblage for the purpose of seizing a	
man without lawful authority executed by	
tumultuously surrounding his house, and	1062
entering it is a riot.	
All concerned in an unlawful assembly are	
equally guilty of the subsequent arts done by any	1062
of them in furtherance of the common object.	1004
In the indictment, it is sufficient to state that	
defendants assembled to disturb the peace and,	1062
being so assembled, did certain unlawful acts.	1004
It is an indictable offense at common law to	
incite others to insurrection, tumult, and riot;	
and the indictment need not aver that	1062
insurrection, tumult, and riot were thereby	2007
excited.	
It is not necessary, in order to convict defendant	
of a riot, that the intended act of violence, or any	
act of violence, should have been perpetrated,	1062
or that they should all have been present during	
the act.	
The marshal has the right to take the posse, and	
to call on all citizens to aid him in arresting	
rioters, and the citizens have a right to arm	1062
themselves.	
Where defendant's witnesses testified that they	
were of the party concerned in the not, they	
will not be allowed to give evidence of their	939
intention.	
SEAL.	
A mark with ink, acknowledged by the maker of	105
a deed. <i>held</i> sufficient to create a specialty.	485
deed. held sufficient to create a specialty.	

	Page
SEAMEN.	
Protection and relief.	
The penalty imposed for failure to provide	
medical stores, etc., may be recovered by the	
United States by a civil action, when brought	1000
in the circuit court for the district of	
Massachusetts.	
The contract of shipment.	
An agreement with a Seaman on a voyage from	
New York to a port in Mexico is required under	
the act of July 20 1790 in the form prescribed	429.
and under the penalty provided by the act of	
June 7, 1872.	
The contract is not affected by the death,	
removal or resignation of the original master, but	221
the seaman must perform the voyage under the	321
person lawfully substituted in his stead.	
Conduct of master or mate in respect to seamen.	
The master is justified in using a deadly weapon	
to reduce a seaman to obedience only in cases	
of apparent necessity, such a the Situation of	490
the ship and the manifestation of a hostile	
disposition on the part of the crew.	
Offenses.	
The Crew are guilty of an endeavor to make	
a revolt where they combine together to refuse	
to do duty, and actually infuse until the master	1258
complies with some improper request on their	
part. (Act 1790. c. 9, 12.	
A mere disobedience of orders by one or two	
seamen, without combining with the others, is	1141
not a revolt.	
It is a revolt where, by the overt acts of the	
crew, there is intentionally caused an actual	1141
or constructive suspension of the master's	1141
command.	

	Page
If seamen really believe, upon reasonable ground, that a vessel is unseaworthy and ask for a survey, they are not bound to go to sea in her until such request is granted.	1331
The seamen may lawfully resist an attempt to compel them to go to sea in a vessel whose masts are rotten and unfit for the voyage though the master promises that he will keep in a certain latitude, and carry certain sails for which the masts are sufficient.	1331
Where the person substituted as master is grossly incompetent from want of skill or bad habits or profligate and cruel behavior, seamen are justified in refusing to do duty.	321
On an indictment under Act March 3, 1835 § 3, the government must show that the act was without justifiable cause and a willful departure from a known duty.	740
To sustain an indent for an endeavor to commit a revolt (Act 1835, c. 40, § 2), a confederacy or combination must be shown between two or more seamen to refuse to do further duty aboard ship and to resist the lawful commands of the officers	321
On the trial of an indictment for endeavoring to make a mutiny and revolt, the American character of the vessel must be proved; but the title may be proved by parol.	
On a charge of revolt a copy of the shipping articles cannot be given in evidence to show that the prisoner was part of the crew, without proof of loss or destruction of the original.	895
The original articles cannot be given in unless the handwriting of the evidence is Proved.	895
"Justifiable cause" for forcing a seaman on shore	485

	Page
necessity for the safety of the ship and crew,	
or the due performance of the voyage, and not	
merely a cause Justifying a discharge.	
Flogging is not a cruel and unusual punishment,	543
Within the meaning of Act March 3, 1835 § 3.	3 4 3
The act abolishing the punishment of flogging	
(Act March 3. 1835) is not a penal law and no	740
indictment can be framed up on it.	
A Whaling Ship is a vessel of commerce within	740
the act.	/40
Any punishment which, in substance and effect,	
amounts to corporal punishment by stripes	740
inflicted with a cat is within the provision of the	/40
statute, irrespective of its degree.	
SEIZURE.	
See also, "Customs Duties" "Forfeiture"	
"Informers" "Internal Revenue."	
A person is not liable for carrying away goods	
under seizure (Act 1799, c. 22, § 71) unless a	
seizure has been lawfully made and possession	607
taken and continued by the officer, and the	00 /
goods are carried away forcibly/knowing them to	
be under seizure.	
SHERIFFS AND CONSTABLES.	
A constable having a warrant to arrest a man for	
assault and battery may break open the door of	1053
his dwelling house to arrest him.	
An indictment lies for acting as constable	1024
without giving bond.	1034
The sureties of a constable's bond are not liable	
for money collected by the constable without	692
legal process.	
SHIPPING.	
Public regulation.	
Whom in ashvilding a reagal, as all timber of the	
Where in rebuilding a vessel, each timber of the	1387

	Page
new, the vessel is a new one, and must bear a	
new name though having the model of the old	
vessel.	
An American vessel belonging to American	
citizens, and sold abroad to American citizens,	1364
does not cease to be an American vessel.	
A native citizen of the United States who	
resides in a foreign country may command a	
registered vessel without her right to the	4004
payment of domestic duties being affected	1321
thereby; but he cannot be the owner of such a	
vessel. (Act Dec. 31, 1792.).	
A registered vessel transferred to a citizen of	
the United States will lose her privilege as an	1147
American vessel unless she is registered anew.	
A vessel does not become denationalized where	
she continues running without a renewal of her	
license after being sold to a citizen of the United	1147
States.	
The title to a British vessel purchased by an	
American was taken in the name of a British	
subject, and subsequently, without	
consideration, transferred to a Russian subject,	1065
inhabitant of Alaska. An American register was	
obtained on the taking of the oath prescribed by	
Act 1792. <i>Held</i> , that the resister was fraudulent.	
A steam vessel usually employed as a towboat,	
which transports passengers from Buffalo to	
Canada, and back, for pay, <i>held</i> liable to the	974
penalty for transporting passengers without a	,, .
license. (Act July 7, 1838 §§ 2, 42.).	
The report required to be made by the master of	
the arrival of his vessel (Act 1790, c. 35, § 16)	
must be made at the office of the chief officer of	1236
the customs.	
ine customs.	

	Page
In a prosecution for not making the requisite report, the government has the burden of showing that it was not made at the proper office.	1236
The fines imposed upon the master by Act March 3. 1855, §§ 1,6, for acts which are therein declared to be misdemeanors are not made not made a lien upon the misdemeanors are not made a line upon the vessel.	1024
What facts will justify a conviction for packing for shipment or shipping gunpowder not put up and marked as required by law. (Act Aug. 30, 1852.).	
The penalty for the carrying of burning or explosive fluids by passenger steamers (Act Feb. 28, 1871, § 4) cannot be recovered by a proceeding in rem.	353
An indictment under Act July 7, 1838. § 12 against the officers and employes of a steamboat, is sufficient if it charge them substantially in the language of a statute with misconduct, negligence, or inattention to their respective duties, whereby the lives of passengers are destroyed.	554
In such an indictment, several defendants occupying different stations of employment may he joined without showing that their acts were jointly destructive, of the lives of those on board, or were joint in their commission.	554
Where the captain has employed skillful and faithful subordinate officers and employes he cannot be held guilty where the destruction of the lives of persons on board is directly caused by their misconduct, negligence or inattention.	554
A person who takes the place of the captain while he is sick, and exercises the authority and	354

	Page
control, and discharges the duties of that office,	
is a person "employed on board," within the	
meaning of the statute.	
The "captain of a steamboat is responsible for	
the proper performance of the duties of inferior	1042
officers whose authority is not expressly made	1044
independent of him.	
The burning and loss of life must be shown	
to have been the direct consequences of the	 1
negligence or misconduct show, but the degree	554
of misconduct is immaterial.	
A willful or intentional mismanagement or	
misconduct is not of the essence of the offense,	1042
but it consists in an improper act of the kind	1420
designated, and having the results named. 554,	
Under Act March 3, 1825, § 23, which punishes	
a conspiracy to destroy a vessel or cargo with	
intent to defraud the underwriters, the burning	493
of the vessel is not necessary to complete the	
offense.	
The destruction of the vessel by the defendants,	
or by any one of them, identified with	493
defendants as conspirators, is conclusive against	493
them.	
Duties of the captain as to the proper	
adjustment and care of the safety valve on the	1042
stoppage of the boat.	
SLAVERY.	
General reputation of freedon is sufficient to	
rebut the presumption of slavery arising from	1086
color.	
The circuit court of the District of Columbia	
has no jurisdiction of an indictment for riot	265
and assault and battery by slaves in Alexandria	403
county.	

	Page
Effect of failure to enter on the manifest as part	
of the cargo slaves engaged in performing duties as members of the crew.	1200
The power to prohibit the foreign slave trade is part of the power of congress to regulate foreign commerce.	
Construction of Act May 15, 1820, for suppressing the slave trade, and sufficiency of adictment thereunder.	
An indictment will not lie for selling a negro or slave who was unlawfully imported.	1375
After negroes imported on violation of the laws prohibiting slave trade are mingled congress has no further power over them.	
An American vessel on her outward voyage to the coast of Africa for the purpose of there aking on board slaves is forfeited under Actually 10, 1800, though her ownership is changed under a prior arrangement before the slaves are actually taken on board.	332
Sufficiency of evidence to sustain an indictment for forcibly confining and detaining negroes or board a vessel with intent to make them slaves (Act May 15, 1820, § 5.).	1364
Any interference by word or act for the purpose of favoring the escape of a fugitive slave arrested under Act Sept. 18, 1850, and tending to that result, is a violation of the act.	481
Power of the circuit court of the District of Columbia to appoint commissioners under the Eugitive slave law of 1850.	
STATES.	1
No state has a right to withdraw from the Union at pleasure with or without cause.	344
After a state has been admitted into the Union upon an equal footing with the original states	11155

	Page
the United States cannot abridge its sovereign	- ugc
power over territory embraced within its limits,	
by a treaty with an Indian tribe therein.	
Where the purchase of land for the erection of	
forts, magazines, arsenals, dock yards, or other	
needful buildings is made by consent of the state	
legislature, such land, under the constitutional	646
provision, falls within the exclusive legislation of	
congress.	
The purchase of lands by the United states for	
public purposes within the territorial limits of a	
state does not of itself oust the jurisdiction of	646
sovereignty of the state over such lands.	
STATUTES.	
Rev. St. § 5481, defining the crime of extortion	
under color of office, being passed after the	
cession of Alaska, is in force there from the time	303
of its passage.	
Where a cause of action falling within the terms	
of Act 1823, § 2, arose after the passage of	
Act July 18, 1866, and before the passage of	
the Revised Statutes, no suit can be maintained	437
thereon after the passage of the Revised	
Statutes.	
The fine provided by Act 1866, § 4, which	
is substantially identical with Rev. St § 3082,	437
cannot be recovered in a civil action.	
A statute revising another act, and embracing its	
entire subject-matter, with additional provisions,	414
impliedly repeals the prior act.	
Act July 18, 1866. § 4, did not effect such	
a repeal of Act March 3, 1823, § 2, as took	
away the right of the United States to proceed	437
thereunder upon a cause of action which arose	
before the act of 1866 took effect.	

	Page
In construing a statute, the facts and	
circumstances which led to and surrounded its	
passage, as derived from the congressional	527
journals and debates, and documents laid before	
congress, will be considered.	
Where a statute uses words whose meaning is	
well ascertained by the existing jurisprudence,	441
they are understood in that meaning, unless	441
another meaning is clearly intended.	
In the construction of a statute, other statutes in	527
pari materia will be considered	527
statutes creating crimes will not be extended by	
judicial interpretation to cases not plainly and	458
unmistakably within their terms.	
In such cases the courts will not by construction,	
ingraft words in one section upon those of	458
another, unless the legislative intention be plain	450
and clear.	
A foreign law and the practice under it may be	1245
proved by one acquainted therewith.	1443
Printed statute books of the parliament of treat	
Britain, purchased of the queen's printer, are	363
admissible as prima facie evidence of the laws	303
contained therein.	
TREASON.	
See, also, "Amnesty."	
A person will not be <i>held</i> to trial for treason	
in levying war against the United states on an	
affidavit that he is enlisting men for such	2
purpose, without proof of the actual embodying	4
of men, where ample time is given to get such	
proof.	
The engaging or enlisting of men for-levying war	
against the United States, not followed by a	2
future embodying of such men is not Punish	4
able as treason.	

	Page
The act of revolutionizing a territory of the	
United States, though only as a means for an	2
expedition against a foreign power, is treason.	
An intention to commit treason against the	
United States by levying war, not carried out by	2
the actual assembling of troops is not punishable	2
as treason.	
To constitute the assemblage of a body of men,	
for the purpose of making war against the	
government, an act of levying war it must be a	55
warlike assemblage, carrying the appearance of	
force, and in a situation to practice hostility.	
The fact of levying war may consist of a	
multiplicity of acts performed in different places	55
by different persons, and any one of such	1421
persons, when leagued in the general conspiracy,	1421
is liable as a principal traitor.	
Either acts of hostility and resistance to the	
government, or a hostile intention in the body	
assembled, are necessary to convert a meeting	
of men with ordinary appearances into an act of	
levying war. A treasonable intent on the part of	
the leader, uncommunicated to the assemblage,	
is not sufficient.	
The fact that treason might incidentally arise in	
the attempt to embark troops against a foreign	
nation, with which the United States are at	-2.01
peace, will not affect a previous assemblage of	
troops, where the treason was neither committed	
nor intended.	
Query, whether a person who advises or	
procures a treasonable warlike; assemblage and	55
does nothing more, is guilty of treason under the	
constitution.	
The ordinances of secession of the states in	344
rebellion do not furnish any defense, to their	

	Page
citizens for treasonable acts against the United States government.	
An indictment for levying war against the United States must specify an overt act, and the charge must be proved as laid.	
A person who advised or Procured a warlike assemblage, charged as the overt act of treason, cannot be convicted treason until after the conviction of one of those charged with the overt act.	55
Where it is charged that a war was levied without striking the blow, the intention to strike must be plainly proved.	
Where defendant is charged with being present at the place of the treasonable assemblage, charged as the overt act, the proof must show defendant's presence with such assemblage, or his intention to join it at such place.	
The overt act of levying war must be proved by two witnesses before testimony is admissible relative to the conduct or declarations of the prisoner elsewhere, and subsequent to the overt act charged.	55
Proof of procurement of a warlike assemblage, if admissible to establish a charge of actual presence, must be made in the same manner, and by the same kind of testimony, which would be required to prove actual presence.	55
Query, Whether, after proving a connection for some general object between persons accused of treason in levying war, the conversations of one with third persons may be given in evidence against the other to prove what that object was.	201
On trial for treason in levying war against the	

before the overt act charged, if relevant thereto, may be admitted before proof of the act itself. Proof of remote intentions may be relevant by proof of the continuance of the intention, and consequently is admissible. Facts out of the district may be proved after the overt act as corrobative evidence of the intention. On the trial of a person indicted for treason in levying war against the United States, the court cannot control the order of proof to the extent of requiring the prosecution to prove the overt act charged, before proving the intention with which such act was committed. TRIAL. See, also, "Continuance"; Criminal Laws"; "Evidence "Jury"; "New Trial"; "Practice"; "Witness".	55
Proof of remote intentions may be relevant by proof of the continuance of the intention, and consequently is admissible. Facts out of the district may be proved after the overt act as corrobative evidence of the intention. On the trial of a person indicted for treason in levying war against the United States, the court cannot control the order of proof to the extent of requiring the prosecution to prove the overt act charged, before proving the intention with which such act was committed. TRIAL. See, also, "Continuance"; Criminal Laws"; "Evidence of the intention with which such act was committed.	55
proof of the continuance of the intention, and consequently is admissible. Facts out of the district may be proved after the overt act as corrobative evidence of the intention. On the trial of a person indicted for treason in levying war against the United States, the court cannot control the order of proof to the extent of requiring the prosecution to prove the overt act charged, before proving the intention with which such act was committed. TRIAL. See, also, "Continuance"; Criminal Laws"; "Evidence of the intention with which such act was committed.	55
consequently is admissible. Facts out of the district may be proved after the overt act as corrobative evidence of the intention. On the trial of a person indicted for treason in levying war against the United States, the court cannot control the order of proof to the extent of requiring the prosecution to prove the overt act charged, before proving the intention with which such act was committed. TRIAL. See, also, "Continuance"; Criminal Laws"; "Evidence of the district of the extent of the exten	55
Facts out of the district may be proved after the overt act as corrobative evidence of the intention. On the trial of a person indicted for treason in levying war against the United States, the court cannot control the order of proof to the extent of requiring the prosecution to prove the overt act charged, before proving the intention with which such act was committed. TRIAL. See, also, "Continuance"; Criminal Laws"; "Evidence of the extent o	
the overt act as corrobative evidence of the intention. On the trial of a person indicted for treason in levying war against the United States, the court cannot control the order of proof to the extent of requiring the prosecution to prove the overt act charged, before proving the intention with which such act was committed. TRIAL. See, also, "Continuance"; Criminal Laws"; "Evidence of the intention with which such act was committed.	
intention. On the trial of a person indicted for treason in levying war against the United States, the court cannot control the order of proof to the extent of requiring the prosecution to prove the overt act charged, before proving the intention with which such act was committed. TRIAL. See, also, "Continuance"; Criminal Laws"; "Evidence of the trial of the province of the court cannot control the order of proof to the extent of the extent of the court cannot control the order of proof to the extent of the extent of the court cannot control the order of proof to the extent of the	
On the trial of a person indicted for treason in levying war against the United States, the court cannot control the order of proof to the extent of requiring the prosecution to prove the overt act charged, before proving the intention with which such act was committed. TRIAL. See, also, "Continuance"; Criminal Laws"; "Evidence of the court of the extent of the	55
levying war against the United States, the court cannot control the order of proof to the extent of requiring the prosecution to prove the overt act charged, before proving the intention with which such act was committed. TRIAL. See, also, "Continuance"; Criminal Laws"; "Evidence of the court of the extent	
cannot control the order of proof to the extent of requiring the prosecution to prove the overt act charged, before proving the intention with which such act was committed. TRIAL. See, also, "Continuance"; Criminal Laws"; "Evidence"	
requiring the prosecution to prove the overt act charged, before proving the intention with which such act was committed. TRIAL. See, also, "Continuance"; Criminal Laws"; "Evidence	
charged, before proving the intention with which such act was committed. TRIAL. See, also, "Continuance"; Criminal Laws"; "Evidence	52
such act was committed. TRIAL. See, also, "Continuance"; Criminal Laws"; "Evidence	4ر
TRIAL. See, also, "Continuance"; Criminal Laws"; "Evidence	
See, also, "Continuance"; Criminal Laws"; "Evidence	
"Tury", "Now Trial", "Practice", "Witness"	æ";
July; INEW Illal; Illactice; Withess.	
The Court may demand a statement in writing	
of questions intended to be put to a witness, in	239
order that no illegal evidence may be heard by	4 .5 7
the jury, and make an undue impression.	
A question cannot be put to a witness the	287
relevancy of which does not appear.	,0 /
Facts which, if standing alone, would be	
irrelevant, are admissible upon the statement of	24
counsel that they constitute a part of a chain of	47
evidence which, as a whole, would be relevant.	
The court may direct at what part of such	24
proposed chain of evidence counsel shall begin.	44
Where a witness, in his direct examination, has	
testified that a certain person was reputed to	
be a man of large property, he may be asked 11	24
on cross-examination in what such property was	
reputed to consist.	
A witness with a chart before him may be asked	
whether, under the circumstances stated of the	287

	Page
supposed time of the starting of the two vessels,	
they would or would not be likely to meet at the	
point marked on the chart.	
A witness cannot be called to prove handwriting	
to contradict another who has neither admitted	1245
nor denied that the letters were in his	144)
handwriting.	
Counsel will not be permitted to argue to the	589
jury against an instruction given in the case.	J09
It is no invasion of the privilege, of the jury	
for the court to present its views of the nature,	1182
bearing, tendency, and weight of the evidence.	
A point of law embraced in the argument need	
not be noticed in the charge unless the court's	1182
opinion upon it is explicitly required.	
Where, in a joint action of tort, there is no	
evidence as to one defendant, a verdict against	382
all of them will be set aside.	
Where, in such case, defendant's sever in their	
pleas, and a verdict is returned against all, the	
case may be non pros'd as to one against whom	382
there was no testimony, and judgment entered	
against the others.	
TRUSTS.	
A person who agrees to pay a certain sum from	
a particular fund as soon as he shall receive it	293
will, in equity, be regarded as a trustee of the	7,5
fund to that extent.	
UNITED STATES.	
See, also, "Insolvency."	
The receipt for redemption by the assistant	
treasurer of certain notes of the United States as	
genuine will not bind the United States in any	611
manner if the notes were not in fact genuine;	
and an action will lie to recover the amount	

	Page
paid thereon, irrespective of the bona fides of	
defendant.	
In an action by the United States to recover	
the amount of money paid on notes presented	
for retirement, on the ground that they were not	611
genuine, the burden of proof is on plaintiff to	
show that fact.	
Execution creditors may sell vessels on which	
the government has a lien under chattel	
mortgages made for advances to build them,	539
subject to such lien, where a sale under the	
mortgages can only be had on six months' notice.	
Where the United States enter the court as	
litigants, they waive their exemption from legal	1107
proceedings, and stand upon the same footing	110/
with private individuals.	
VENDOR AND PURCHASER.	
In Massachusetts and Maine a prior unrecorded	277
deed is not good as against a subsequent	
attachment creditor without notice thereof.	1422
WAR.	
See, also, "Neutrality Laws" "Prize"; "Treason".	
Act July 13, 1861, providing for the forfeiture of	
all "goods and chattels, wares, and merchandise"	279
coming from or proceeding to the insurrectionary	4/9
districts, includes gold coin.	
Transporting gold to a territory in insurrection,	
without a license, will subject it to forfeiture,	1271
though the insurrectionary district was at the	14/1
time in possession of the federal forces.	
After the Rebellion had ceased, and the	
authority of the United States was	
acknowledged in a state, there is no jurisdiction	590
to try offenses by citizens against soldiers upon	
military commission.	
WITNESS.	

	Page
A wager on the event of a trial, being void at	
law, will not render the person incompetent as a	309
witness.	
In joint indictments, one of defendants is not	
a competent witness for another, unless he has	467
been acquitted.	
Where two persons are jointly indicted for	
robbery, and one is acquitted, and the other	
convicted, a new trial may be granted the latter,	277
on which the other may be examined as a	
witness.	
A defendant convicted of an infamous offense,	0.70
if not sentenced, is a competent witness.	850
The owner of goods stolen, having released to	20-
the government and to the prisoner all interest	297,
in a fine, is competent for the prosecution.	440
The person intended to be injured by a forgery,	
and the person whose name is forged, if they	(0.4
have not paid money upon the forged paper, are	684
competent to prove the forgery.	
Upon an indictment against the husband for	
assault and battery of his wife, she may he	1092
examined as a witness against him.	
A Malayan, baptized and educated in the	
Christian religion, is not a Christian white	
person, within the Maryland laws and the	901
testimony of negroes and mulattoes is admissible	
against him.	
Competency of free negroes, mulattoes, and	100/
slaves. 213, 775, 896, 1051,	1086
An officer is not bound to be a witness against	
himself on a charge of misconduct, whether	
amounting to an indictable offense, or only to his	545
discredit, which would furnish ground for his	
removal or impeachment.	

	Page
A witness is not bound to answer a question	
which may render him infamous, or may disgrace	850
him. 682,	
A witness is not bound to answer a question	1363
which might possibly criminate him.	1303
In determining the right of a witness to refuse	
to answer on the ground that his answer might	
tend to incriminate him, it is the province of the	38
court to judge whether any direct answer to the	50
question proposed will furnish evidence against	
him.	
If any direct answer may disclose a fact which	
forms a necessary link in the chain of testimony	38
to convict the witness of any crime, he is not	30
bound to answer.	
In such case the witness himself must judge	
what his answer will be, and he cannot be	38
compelled to answer if he say on oath that he	30
cannot answer without accusing himself.	
The Secretary of a person charged with treason	
cannot refuse to answer whether he has present	
knowledge of the cipher in which is written a	38
letter purporting to have been written by the	30
accused, as any direct answer could not tend to	
implicate him.	
The court should not instruct witnesses as to	
their privilege of refusing to answer questions,	754
on the ground that the answers might	/ 34
incriminate them, until the questions are asked.	
A witness cannot be impeached by proving a	
statement different from the one sworn to,	850
unless he has been examined as to his having	030
made such statement.	
On cross-examination a question irrelevant to	
the matter in issue cannot be asked to impeach	850
the witness.	

	Page
The character of a witness may be impeached by	850
general questions as to his truth.	030
Where a cross-examination calls out collateral	
facts tending to create distrust of the integrity,	
fidelity, or truth of a witness, it is competent for	985
the adverse party to call for an explanation from	
the witness.	
A witness cannot refresh his memory as to	
conversations by reference to memoranda copied	<i></i>
by himself from notes made by him at the time	55
of the conversations.	
Members of congress are not exempt from	
compulsory process to require their attendance	626
as witnesses in behalf of one charged with crime.	
One who was not a Quaker, being called as	
a witness and refusing to be sworn on the	
ground of conscientious scruples arising from a	622
declaration formerly made, may be committed	
for a contempt.	
Prior service of a subpœna upon a witness who	
fails to appear is an indispensable requisite to	238
awarding an attachment against him.	
County court judges are not excused from	
obeying a subpœna on the ground that the state	238
supreme court judges are holding a nisi prius	430
court in the county.	
A failure to tender travel fees to a witness	
subpœnaed by the government will not excuse	944
his failure to appear, if he has means to travel.	
Where a witness appears in court at the term	
mentioned in his recognizance, and the same is	213
not respited, he is not bound to attend at the	413
following term to which the cause is continued.	

This volume of American Law was transcribed for use on the Internet

through a contribution from Google.