<sup>393</sup> Case No. 14,275a.

TUTT V. IDE.

[3 Blatchf. 255, note.] $^{1}$ 

Circuit Court, N. D. New York. Sept. 1, 1859.

## NEW TRIAL.

On a motion, made by the defendants for a new trial.

NELSON, Circuit Justice. I am entirely satisfied with the opinion of Judge Hall in Tutt v. Ide [Case No. 14,275b]. delivered on the decision of the demurrer to the declaration, and which he followed on the trial of the issue of 394 fact, and must, therefore, deny the motion for a new trial, and give judgment for the plaintiffs upon the verdict. The opinion in the case of Converse v. Coit [unreported), delivered by me in the state court, and referred to on the argument, turned upon a different question from the one involved in this case.

<sup>1</sup> [Reported by Samuel Blatchford, Esq., and here reprinted by permission.]

This volume of American Law was transcribed for use on the Internet

through a contribution from Google.