

## TRIPLET V. WARFIELD.

 $\{2 \text{ Cranch, C. C. } 237.\}^{1}$ 

Circuit Court, District of Columbia. April Term, 1821.

## PLEADING AT LAW-VARIANCE.

The defendant cannot take advantage of a variance between the writ and declaration, by demurrer, without praying over of the writ.

The capias issued in trespass on the case. The declaration was in trespass vi et armis for breaking the plaintiff's lamp. The defendant demurred generally to the declaration, without praying over of the writ.

THE COURT, after taking time to consider, rendered judgment upon the demurrer, for the plaintiff. See 1 Chit. PI. 438, 439; Hole v. Finch, 2 Wils. 394; Oakley v. Giles, 3 East, 167; Gray v. Sidneff, 3 Bos. & P. 399; Murray v. Hubbart, 1 Bos. & P. 645; 1 Chit. PI. 209, 249, 254; Spalding v. Mure, 6 Term R. 363.

<sup>1</sup> [Reported by Hon. William Cranch, Chief Judge.]

This volume of American Law was transcribed for use on the Internet

through a contribution from Google. 🛂