

SMITH v. CATLETT.

{1 Cranch, C. C. 56.}¹

Circuit Court, District of Columbia. Jan. Term, 1802.

COURTS—KEEPING ORDER—BAIL.

The court will not interfere to prevent the bail from seizing the principal further than to keep order in court.

E. J. Lee presented to the court an affidavit, stating that Smith was bail for Catlett in a suit in the Dumfries district court. That Smith had this morning arrested Catlett by virtue of a bailpiece in the market place, but that Catlett forced himself into the presence of the court while sitting. That the marshal refused to suffer Smith to take Catlett by force out of court, and refused to turn Catlett out of court. The motion was to permit Smith to take him in the presence of the court and carry him away; or that the court would command him to surrender himself to his bail.

But THE COURT refused to interfere and commanded the marshal to see that order was preserved in court.

¹ [Reported by Hon. William Cranch, Chief Judge.]

This volume of American Law was transcribed for use
on the Internet

through a contribution from [Google](#). 