

Case No. 11,737.

RHEA V. RAWLINGS ET AL.

{3 Cranch, C. C. 256.}¹

Circuit Court, District of Columbia. Dec. Term, 1827.

PLEADING AT LAW—NAME OF PLAINTIFFS—USE
OF FIRM NAME.

The name “Rawlings and Son,” is too uncertain to maintain
an action.

Appeal from a justice of the peace. The judgment
of the justice was in favor of “Rawlings and Son.”

Mr. Lear, for appellant.

The parties ought to be known. “Rawlings and
Son” is too uncertain a description of the plaintiffs.
In whose favor shall judgment be entered? **Barney v.
Washington City** [Case No. 1,033].

THE COURT (THRUSTON, Circuit Judge,
contra) reversed the judgment because the party
plaintiff was not named in the proceedings.

¹ [Reported by Hon. William Cranch, Chief Judge.]

This volume of American Law was transcribed for use
on the Internet

through a contribution from [Google](#). 