

PARKER V. HALLOCK.

[2 Fish. Pat Cas. 543, note.] 1

Circuit Court, Pennsylvania.

 $1857.^{\frac{2}{}}$

PATENTS-INFRINGEMENT-LIMITATIONS.

Action [by Zebulon Parker against S. B. Hallock] for infringement of a patent right. In this case the defendant's counsel insisted that the plaintiff was barred by the statute of limitations: *Held*, that, as no act of congress had been passed to meet the case, and the law of Pennsylvania did not apply to it, there was no statute limiting the time in which a suit might be brought for an infringement of a patent right. The jury found for the plaintiff, assessing his damages at \$68.

[Cited in Rich v. Ricketts, Case No. 11,762.]

Fisher and Sweitzer, for plaintiff.

Selden, for defendant.

[Before GRIER, Circuit Justice. Nowhere more fully reported; opinion not now accessible. Originally published in 2 Fish. Pat. Cas. 543, as a note to Collins v. Peebles, Case No. 3,017.]

- ¹ [Reported by Samuel S. Fisher, Esq., and here reprinted by permission.]
 - ² [District not given.]

This volume of American Law was transcribed for use on the Internet

through a contribution from Google.