

PARKER V. BAMKER.

 $[6 McLean, 631.]^{1}$

Circuit Court, S. D. Ohio. Oct. Term, 1855.

PATENTS–PLEADING–FAILURE TO ANSWER–DAMAGES–PROFITS.

- 1. When no answer is made to an alleged infringement of a patent, the charge is admitted.
- 2. One-fourth of the proceeds being estimated as the profits of the mill, the damages were estimated at that amount.

This is an action for damages, by the plaintiff [Zebulon Parker, against Thomas Bamker], for the infringement of plaintiff's patent in using his percussion water wheel for mills, etc. No plea being filed, the charge in the declaration was admitted. A witness being sworn, proved the use of the wheel three months in the year; that 3,000 feet of plank would be sawed in a day, and he estimated one-fourth of the proceeds for the expense of the mill, one-fourth to keep the mill in repair, one-fourth for the hire of a sawyer, and the other fourth for profit, which amounted, in five years, to the sum of \$460, for which the jury found a verdict. Judgment.

Several other cases were decided on the same principle.

Mr. Stanbery, for plaintiff.

[For other cases involving this patent see note to Parker v. Hatfield, Case No. 10,736.]

¹ [Reported by Hon. John McLean, Circuit Justice.]

This volume of American Law was transcribed for use on the Internet

through a contribution from Google.