

Case No. 10,230.

NICHOLLS v. HAZEL.

{2 Cranch, C. C. 95.}¹

Circuit Court, District of Columbia. Dec Term, 1813.

REPLEVIN—DISCONTINUANCE—REINSTATEMENT.

The court will not reinstate a replevin which has been discontinued at a previous term.

Mr. Blake, for defendant, moved the court to reinstate an action of replevin, which had been discontinued at December term, 1812, by reason of the non-appearance of the plaintiff.

But THE COURT refused.

¹ [Reported by Hon. William Cranch, Chief Judge.]

This volume of American Law was transcribed for use
on the Internet

through a contribution from [Google](#). 