

NATIONAL HAY-RAKE CO. V. HARBERT ET

AL.

[2 Wkly. Notes Cas. 100.]

Circuit Court, E. D. Pennsylvania. Oct. 28, 1875.

- PLEADING IN EQUITY–PATENTS–BILL FOR INJUNCTION AND DISCOVERY–CORPORATION.
- 1. Bill not sworn to, praying injunction and discovery under oath, *held* sufficient on demurrer.
- 2. Statement of locality or place of business of a corporation not required.

Hearing on bill and demurrer. This was a bill filed by complainants for infringement of certain letters patent praying injunctions, both preliminary and final, and an account of profits and assessment of damages. Interrogatories were appended, to which an answer under oath was required. Defendants demurred because (1) the bill did not state where the corporation complainant was located, nor where it had any place of business; (2) the bill was not sworn to.

Strawbridge, for complainants, cited Dorsey Harvester Rake Co. v. March [Case No. 4,014], Woodworth v. Edwards [Id. 18,014].

Mr. Fraley, for defendants, cited Mitf. Eq. PI. 43; Daniell, Ch. PI. & Prac. 362; Howe v. Harvey, 8 Paige, 73; Curt Pat. § 406; Pond v. Hudson River R. Co., 17 How. Prac. 543; Rogers v. Abbot [Case No. 12,004]; rule 20 In equity.

Demurrer overruled.

This volume of American Law was transcribed for use on the Internet

through a contribution from Google.