

Case No. 9,715.

MONROE v. HARKNESS.

{1 Cranch, C. C. 157.}¹

Circuit Court, District of Columbia. Dec. Term, 1803.

INJUNCTION—VIOLATION—ATTACHMENT FOR
CONTEMPT.

{This was a suit by Thomas Monroe, superintendent of the city, against Samuel Harkness.} Attachment for violating an injunction. A rule granted yesterday, on complainant's affidavit, to show cause why an attachment of contempt should not issue, for violating the injunction in proceeding towards completing a two-story wooden house. Upon further testimony in support of the rule it was made absolute, and an attachment was issued returnable immediately.

{Cited in *Wilcox Silver-Plate Co. v. Schimmel*, 59 Mich. 528, 26 N. W. 694.}

{A similar attachment was issued against William Bradley. See Case No. 9,713.}

¹ {Reported by Hon William Cranch, Chief Judge.}

This volume of American Law was transcribed for use
on the Internet

through a contribution from [Google](#). 