

MEDFORD v. DORSEY.

{2 Wash. C. C. 467.}¹

Circuit Court, D. Pennsylvania. April Term, 1810.

JUDGMENT—MOTION TO ENTER
SATISFACTION—EVIDENCE.

Judgment on an award that the defendant pay so much on receiving from the plaintiff an indemnity against certain claims. The plaintiff afterwards refused to give the indemnity; and on the defendant paying more claims, against which he was to be indemnified, than the amount of the judgment, the court ordered satisfaction to be entered on the judgment.

{The case was originally brought in this court by Holt & Co., assignees of McCall Medford, against John Dorsey, when the jury found for the plaintiff. Case No. 6,647.}

The defendant, since the decision [Case No. 9,389], having paid to one of the persons who had sued him, and against whom the plaintiff, by the report of the referees, was to indemnify him so much of the sum awarded, as with the sum before paid to Holt, and a small sum now paid into court, amounts to 'eighteen hundred and fifty dollars; moved the court to enter satisfaction of the decree, which the court directed.

¹ [Originally published from the MSS. of Hon. Bushrod Washington, Associate Justice of the Supreme Court of the United States, under the supervision of Richard Peters, Jr., Esq.]

This volume of American Law was transcribed for use
on the Internet

through a contribution from [Google](#). 