MCCULLOCH V. DEBUTTS.

 $[1 Cranch, C. C. 285.]^{\underline{1}}$

Case No. 8,736.

Circuit Court, District of Columbia.

March, 1806.

PRACTICE AT LAW-CONTINUANCE-COSTS.

When the writ of inquiry is set aside by the defendant, the plaintiff may have the cause continued at the defendant's costs.

Mr. Youngs, for defendant, set aside the office judgment, and pleaded not guilty.

E. J. Lee, for plaintiff, elected a continuance, and said it was the practice in such cases that the continuance should be at the costs of the defendant, although the defendant offered ready.

McCULLOCH v. DEBUTTS.

THE COURT inquired of the clerk, who said such was the practice. Continued, at the costs of the defendant.

¹ [Reported by Hon. William Cranch, Chief Judge.]

This volume of American Law was transcribed for use on the Internet

through a contribution from Google.