YesWeScan: The FEDERAL CASES

Case No. 4,339.

THE ELECTRA. THE NIAGARA.

 $\{7 \text{ Ben. } 344.\}^{1}$

District Court, S. D. New York.

June, 1874.

COLLISION IN BAST RIVER—STEAMERS CROSSING—TUG AND TOW—RULES 14 AND 18 (NOW 19 AND 23).

1. A ship lying with her stern towards the river, at the upper side of a pier in Brooklyn, below the Fulton Ferry, was to be taken out by the tug N., assisted by another tug, the P. The N. was fastened on the port side of the ship, as she lay alongside of the pier, while the P. took a line from her starboard quarter, and the two backed the ship out till she was clear of the pier, when the P. swung in alongside of the ship on her starboard side. The steamer E., coming down the East river, as she was about abreast of the Fulton Ferry, found on her starboard hand a boat towing a raft of spars, and a schooner coming up the river and, on the port hand, the ship thus backing out. She gave one whistle, and kept on, going as close to the schooner as possible. Just before she reached the ship, the tug N. stopped backing and went ahead, but the stern of the ship came in collision with the side of the E. The owners of the ship filed a libel against the E. to recover the damages sustained by the ship, and the owners of the E. filed a libel against the N. to recover the damages sustained by her. Held, that the case was not one in which the E. was bound to keep her course and the N. was bound to keep out of her way, under the 14th (now 19th) and 18th (now 23d) articles of the rules for avoiding collisions.

[Cited in Millbank v. The A. P. Cranmer, 1 Fed. 258]

- 2. The N., being incumbered with a ship in tow, and proceeding stern foremost, could not turn out of her course without difficulty, or, by the movement of her engine, control with facility the movement of the ship. It was, therefore, a case of special circumstances, under article 20 (now 24).
- 3. The E. was in fault, in keeping on with undiminished speed, when she saw the ship backing out. The N. was not in fault.

In admiralty.

- W. R. Beetle, for the Niagara and the Carrie Reed.
- E. C. Benedict and R. D. Benedict, for the Electra.

BLATCHFORD, District Judge. On the 12th of June, 1872, at a quarter past 7 o'clock a. m., or thereabouts, the ship Carrie Reed, owned by Samuel G. Reed, the libellant in the first above entitled suit, was lying with, her stern out towards the river, and her stem towards the shore, at the east or upper side of a pier at Harbeck's stores, in the city of Brooklyn, in the East river, and parallel with the length of said pier. She was not loaded, and, with a view to transport her to a pier on the opposite side of the river, to be loaded, the steamtug Niagara and the steamtug President came to her, to tow her. The Niagara, which is the vessel sued in the second above entitled suit, was lashed with her starboard side against the port side of the Carrie Reed, her stem pointing the same way with the stem of the Carrie Reed. The President took a line from the Carrie Reed, from

The ELECTRA. The NIAGARA.

the starboard quarter aft of the Carrie Reed to the stern bitts of the President, the stem of the President heading towards across the river, with a view, as the Niagara should back, to have the President go ahead, and by pulling on said line, keep the side of the Carrie Reed which was next to the pier from unduly pressing against the pier, as she was backed out The Niagara backed and the President pulled until the Carrie Reed had gone out a certain distance, when the President swung around, still holding fast by said line, with a view to lash herself along the starboard side of the Carrie Reed, with her stem pointing the same way with the stem of the Carrie Reed. While the Carrie Reed and the tugs were in this position, the steamer Electra, the vessel sued in the first above entitled suit, owned by the libellants in the second above entitled suit, came down the East river from the eastward, on a trip from Providence to New York; and she and the Carrie Reed came into collision. The port side of the Electra, at some distance aft from her stem, came into contact with the stern of the Carrie Reed. On the part of the Carrie Reed, \$10,000 damages are claimed, and on the part of the Electra \$1,500.

The Carrie Reed charges that the collision was the fault of the Electra, in not keeping a course down the middle of the river, in running too close to the Brooklyn shore, in running at too great a rate of speed, in not changing her course so as to avoid the Carrie Reed, and in not slowing, stopping and backing in time to avoid the collision. It is contended for the Carrie Reed, that, at the time of the collision, her stem was just clear of the outer end of the pier, and that the Electra came along at a full rate of speed, improperly close to the Brooklyn shore, and did not stop, back or change her course.

The case set up on the part of the Electra is, that, as she was coming from the east-ward, and approached the Fulton Ferry slip on the Brooklyn side, she saw in the river to the west of or below the Fulton Ferry, a boat towing some spars, a lighter which apparently was bound to New York, and a schooner which was heading towards New York; that the only proper and safe course for the Electra was to go under the stern of the schooner and between her and the Brooklyn shore; that it was not prudent for her to attempt to go nearer the New York shore than she did; that she also saw the Carrie Reed being towed out stern foremost by the Niagara fastened alongside of her; that the Electra blew one blast of her whistle, as a signal to the Niagara not to come out so far into the river as to interfere with the passage of the Electra; that the Niagara did not pay any attention to the said whistle,

YesWeScan: The FEDERAL CASES

and did not take proper measures to avoid the Electra, as it was her duty to, do, but towed the Carrie Reed so far out into the stream, that, before the Electra could pass by the Carrie Reed and the Niagara, the Carrie Reed was carried stern foremost against the side of the Electra, striking her nearly amidships; that it was not possible for the Electra to have sheered further to starboard, by reason of the fact, that, when the said schooner, which was nearly ahead of the Electra's course, had passed slightly to the starboard of that course, she suddenly changed her course, which would otherwise have carried her far over towards the New York shore, and headed directly up the East river, on a course nearly parallel with the course of the Electra; that, as soon as that change of the schooner's course was seen, the helm of the Electra was slightly starboarded, until her course was such as to enable her to clear the said schooner, when her helm was again changed, in order to give as much room as possible to the Niagara with the Carrie Reed in tow; that the collision was occasioned by the fault of the Niagara, in that she paid no attention to the whistle of the Electra, and in that she had not a proper and sufficient lookout, and in that she failed to avoid the Electra, which was on her starboard hand, and whose course was crossing hers, and in that she failed to stop in time, or to change the direction of the Carrie Reed from a backward to a forward motion in time, and thus carry her clear of the course of the Electra, when there was abundant room between the tug and the piers for the tug to have done so; and that the collision could not have been avoided by any action on the part of the Electra.

The evidence shows, that the Electra distinctly saw, from a point a long distance up the river, that the Carrie Reed was being backed out into the river from the piers, stern foremost, by a tug which was herself proceeding stern foremost, and was interposed between the Carrie Reed and the Electra. Yet, confessedly, the Electra, seeing in the river between the Carrie Reed and the New York shore, the lighter, the tow of spars and the schooner, kept on without slacking her speed, or stopping and reversing, at any time before the collision, taking the chance of getting around the stern of the Carrie Reed before the passage by should be closed. All this time she saw, or ought to have seen, that the Carrie Reed was backing and moving out into the river, and upon the course of the Electra. She now seeks to avail herself of the principle of article 14 of the steering and sailing rules, by insisting that the course of the Electra, as one steam vessel, and the course of the Niagara and the Carrie Reed, regarded as another steam vessel, were crossing courses, and so crossing as to involve risk of collision, and that the Niagara and the Carrie Reed, as having the Electra on their own starboard side, were bound to keep out of the way of the Electra, and the Electra was bound, under article 18, to keep her course. But article 20 of the rules provides, that nothing therein shall exonerate any ship from the consequences of the neglect of any precaution which may be required by the special circumstances of

The ELECTRA. The NIAGARA.

the case; and article 16 provides, that every steamship, when approaching another ship, so as to involve risk of collision, shall slacken her speed, or, if necessary, stop and reverse.

A steamtug with a ship in tow, especially when both vessels are proceeding stern foremost out of a slip or from a pier into a waterway, is in a very different situation from a steamer which is unincumbered and is moving stern foremost. The Niagara, thus incumbered, and proceeding stern foremost, slowly and cautiously, could not turn out of her course and turn into it again without difficulty and without inconvenience. She could not, by the movement of her engine, control with any facility the movement backward or forward of the mass composed of herself and the Carrie Reed. The Independence, 1 Lush 270. There were, thus, special circumstances in the case, which called on the Electra, seeing, as she did, at a sufficient distance off, the two vessels moving out stern foremost, to take all the precautions to avoid a collision with either of the two vessels, which such special circumstances called for. An obvious precaution was not to keep on, as she did, with undiminished speed. The evidence shows that she could easily have avoided the collision if she had stopped and reversed at a sufficient distance off, as required by article 16. Risk of collision was apparent at a sufficient distance off to have enabled her, by stopping and reversing, to avoid the collision which happened. I must, therefore, hold the Electra to have been in fault.

In regard to fault on the part of the Niagara, I am not prepared to hold that a tug situated as the Niagara was, was bound, under article 14, to keep out of the way of the Electra. When the Niagara started to back the Carrie Reed out, the Electra could not have been in sight. There was a projecting point on the shore above where the Niagara started, which gave to her a restricted view up the river. She moved out slowly. The Electra came down at rapid speed, without checking or slackening such speed. The Niagara, as soon as danger was or ought to have been visible to her, stopped her engine and reversed it, so as to try and give herself and the Carrie Reed motion towards the Brooklyn shore, and away from the course of the Electra. She did all she could do, and all she was bound to do, to avoid the collision.

There must be a decree for the libellant in the suit against the Electra, and the libel in the suit against the Niagara must be dismissed.

¹ [Reported by Robert D. Benedict, Esq., and B. Lincoln Benedict, Esq., and here reprinted by permission.]