

Case No. 1,915.

BROCKET v. JOHNS.

[1 Cranch, C. C. 100.]¹

Circuit Court, District of Columbia.

Nov. Term, 1802.

LANDLORD AND TENANT—RENT—LANDLORD'S LIEN—ATTACHMENT.

Under the statute of Virginia, goods not upon the premises may be attached to secure rent not due.

At law. Attachment to secure rent not due, was levied on goods not upon the demised premises.

Mr. Youngs moved to quash the attachment, contending that the law only meant to give the same remedy before as after the rent became due, and that nothing could be attached unless on the premises.

But THE COURT overruled the motion to quash. The act does not confine the attachment to goods on the premises. The object of the law is to compel the tenant to give security for his rent, and it is of no importance what property is seized to produce that effect. (KILTY, Chief Judge, contra.)

¹ [Reported by Hon. William Cranch, Chief Judge.]