## YesWeScan: The FEDERAL CASES

## BLODGET V. THORNTON.

Case No. 1,554.

[3 Cranch, C. C. 176.] $^{\perp}$ 

Circuit Court, District of Columbia.

May Term, 1827.

## DOWER-MARRIAGE-EVIDENCE-COHABITATION.

Upon a writ of dower, the marriage may be proved by parol evidence of cohabitation as man and wife.

Writ of dower. Verdict for the plaintiff [Rebecca Blodget], subject to the opinion of the court, whether parol evidence of cohabitation as man and wife, is sufficient to prove the marriage.

C. C. Lee, for the defendant [William Thornton], contended that the marriage can only be proved by the certificate of the bishop, or of the clergyman who married them. The following authorities were cited by Mr. Lee: Jackson v. Claw, 18 Johns. 346; William v. Gwyn, 2 Saund. 45; 1 Phil. Ev. 262; Starkie, Ev. 939; Birt v. Barlow, 1 Doug. 172; Morris v. Miller, 1 W. Bl. 632.

R. S. Coxe, contra, cited Birt v. Barlow, 1 Doug. 172; Morris v. Miller, 4 Burrows, 2058; Bayard's Peake, Ev. 88. See. also, Woodf. Landl. & Ten. 402; Henry St. George Tucker's notes to 1 Bl. Comm. 440, note 3.

THE COURT (MORSELL, Circuit Judge, absent), ordered the judgment to be entered for the plaintiff.

NOTE. For subsequent proceedings by the plaintiff, see Blodget v. Brent, Case No. 1,553.

<sup>1</sup> [Reported by Hon. William Cranch, Chief Judge.]

