Case No. 950. BANK OF WASHINGTON V. KURTZ. [2 Cranch, C. C. 110.] $^{\underline{1}}$

Circuit Court, District of Columbia.

June Term, 1813.

EVIDENCE-PRIMARY AND SECONDARY.

Parol evidence cannot be given of the contents of a letter from the notary public to the defendant, put into the post-office, without previous notice to the defendant to produce it.

[Cited in Underwood v. Huddlestone, Case No. 14,339.]

THE COURT refused to permit the notary public to give parol evidence of the contents of his letter to the defendant, who was the indorser of George Frank's promissory note, without previous notice to the defendant to produce the original letter, on the authority of Underwood v. Huddlestone, [Case No. 14,339,] June term, 1813. Quaere?

¹ [Reported by Hon. William Cranch, Chief Judge.]

This volume of American Law was transcribed for use on the Internet