## YesWeScan: The FEDERAL CASES

## Case No. 941. BANK OF THE UNITED STATES v. WATTERSTON. [4 Cranch, C. C. 445.] $^{1}$

Circuit Court, District of Columbia.

March Term, 1834.

NEGOTIABLE INSTRUMENTS—NOTICE OF DISHONOR—MISTKAKE IN DATE OF NOTE.

A mistake in [the recital of] the date of the note will not invalidate the notice given to an indorser. [See Bank of Alexandria v. Swann, 9 Pet (34 U. S.) 33.)

At law. Assumpsit [by the Bank of the United States] against [George Watterston] an indorser. The notice left by the notary with the defendant was of a note dated hi 1832, when the true note was dated in 1830. In all other respects the notice was correct

## BANK OF THE UNITED STATES v. WATTERSTON.

THE COURT (nem. con.) was of opinion upon the authority of Mills v. Bank of U. S., 11 Wheat. [24 U. S.] 431, that the notice was sufficient Judgment for the plaintiff.

This volume of American Law was transcribed for use on the Internet

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> [Reported by Hon. William Cranch, Chief Judge.]