To: Reynold Schweickhardt  
Director of Technology Policy  
Committee on House Administration  

Cc: Pat Hirsch, House Broadcast Studio  
John Clocker, House Information Systems  
Don Seymour, Office of the Speaker  
Matt Lira, Office of the Majority Leader  
Seamus Kraft, Committee on Oversight and Government Reform

Subj: House Video Pilot Program  

Date: June 29, 2011  

It was a pleasure seeing you in Washington. Congratulations on the new job! Thanks for your request for more information on the status and proposed expansion of the House Video Pilot Program we’ve been conducting in cooperation with the Committee on Oversight and the Office of the Speaker. (As a side note, this is the same program I “pitched” to you and Bruce James, the Public Printer of the United States, back in 2005, so it was a real pleasure to report to you that we’ve been able to make this real.)

This program launched on January 5 at House.Resource.Org with a letter from Speaker Boehner and Chairman Issa. The system now has over 1400 videos of congressional hearings available. We have kept up-to-date with current hearings from House Oversight, and almost all of those videos have closed captions. In addition, the Select Committee on Energy Independence provided their full archive, which also has closed captions available. The House Broadcast Studio has been extremely helpful in providing technical assistance.

In addition to current hearings, we have a substantial archive of hearings going back 20 years for House Oversight, and have also added a large number of videos obtained from C-SPAN for House Committees on Rules, Energy & Commerce, Natural Resources, and Administration. I’m pleased to report that these bulk hearings have been made available to the public for viewing in numerous locations, including C-SPAN (which makes copies of all the hearings furnished by the House Broadcast Studio), YouTube, and the Internet Archive.

Technically, we have hosted House.Resource.Org on a dedicated 30-tbyte server located at the Internet Systems Consortium, which provides Internet connectivity for open source programs such as Mozilla Firefox, Linux, and our own servers. We’re pleased that this excellent connectivity (several billion bits per second) means that the data is truly available to any organization that wishes to download it.
There are two proposed expansions of this program that we have been working on:

- Making a larger archive of hearings available.
- Making current hearings available on the Internet.

With the technical assistance of the House Broadcast Studio, we’ve been able to copy approximately 3,500 hearings across all committees for the last 4 years. Those hearings are now on disk and we are in the process of preparing the archive for release early this fall. Chairman Issa has discussed this with Chairman Lungren and I believe the next step will be a “Dear Colleague” letter from Chairman Issa.

Making the archive available is an important step, but we can go further and are proposing a fundamental expansion that would go live at the beginning of 2012, making available live broadcast-quality streams from every public hearing on the Internet. Making the archive available as well as current hearings would mean that the People’s House would truly be available across the country. Being physically present inside the beltway should not be a requirement to see what our House of Representatives is doing.

Today, many hearings are available on the Internet from individual committees. However, each committee has to go through the process of digitizing the hearings, and the House incurs substantial expense in providing webcasting capacity for all viewers. What we are proposing is that all the public channels be made available as broadcast-quality video on the Internet. This bulk video would then be available for a number of uses:

- End-user and consumer-oriented services such as YouTube and C-SPAN (and any other organizations that wished to do so) would be able to ingest the video and then provide it to their users.
- Non-profit organizations such as the Internet Archive and my own Public.Resource.Org would ingest the video and provide a permanent archive.
- News organizations, including national networks, local stations, and cable channels could access this video, either directly through the bulk feed or more likely by downloading the broadcast-quality file from a service such as House.Resource.Org.
- The Library of Congress and the National Archives could use these feeds to build their own permanent archive of the proceedings of Congress.
- Staff in the House would be able to record any hearing for use on committee or member web sites (subject, of course, to appropriate House rules).

Technically, what we are proposing would be to connect all 24 channels of house video to a series of network-based MPEG-2 encoders. What this equipment does is digitize a channel of video and audio and turns it into a 5–7 mbps MPEG2 unicast or multicast stream. We have purchased and have operational in our laboratory the Blonder Tongue IPME-2 encoder, which allows us to put 3 video streams in a 1U rack space, or a total of 8U for the full house. We have 12 of these units currently operational.

Once the video is digitized, we connect it to a gigabit Ethernet switch and then a router. The switch has 24 7-mbps channels, or a total of 168 mbps of network traffic. At that point, we would propose that this network traffic be connected in two ways.
The main link would be a dedicated fiber optic line from the video encoders out to the Internet. I am working with the staff of C-SPAN and Internet2, the national research and development backbone to engineer the local loop from the Capitol out to the Internet2 backbone.

In addition, House Information Systems could choose to make this data available on the House Local Area Network. I've discussed this with John Clocker, and would be happy to go over the security implications of such a connection. Because this is a one-way feed from video with no path back, we believe this would pose no security risk. However, even if the House is unable to make this connection immediately, House staff would be able to access the data from the public feeds. In other words, security or bandwidth considerations on the internal network should not be a reason to delay the public component.

We have proposed to C-SPAN that they provide the “local loop” for this connection and their management is considering this request. A fiber optic link would be used from the switch in the Capitol to C-SPAN facilities at 400 North Capitol. There, a “copy” of all the video would be made available to the major media pool on their video switch. The network traffic would then continue from C-SPAN out to the net using their existing service provider through what we call a “tunnel” to the Internet2 backbone.

Once the data reaches the Internet2 backbone, it would be available nationally using a technique known as multicasting. We would work closely with organizations such as YouTube, C-SPAN, and university computing centers to make sure that this data would quickly be available to the general public in a variety of forms.

I believe that if we get to work now and work through the technical and institutional issues, we can have this public service up and running on January 1. This would mean that in one year, the 112th Congress will have made a substantial change in how congressional hearings are available to the nation and the world. No congress, legislature, or parliament in the world has come close to this level of public access. It would be a real testimony to the leadership of the United States House of Representatives to take this fundamental and historic step.

In conclusion, I'd like to stress a 4 points about this proposed expansion of the pilot:

• This program does not preclude any other efforts being contemplated by the House or committees. Our efforts supplement existing and proposed efforts and do not replace them.
• This program would make the data available to any organization that wishes to use it without bias.
• Our 501(c)(3) is strictly apolitical and we have been very careful to keep House.Resource.Org that way.
• This program will not cost the House any money and has the potential for considerable cost savings in the future.

I will be in Washington, D.C. again the week of July 25. It would be a pleasure to brief Committee staff and Chairman Lungren on this program, and I’d be happy as well to meet with others that you recommend.
Notes:
1. We are proposing a 1-year Pilot Project. What that means is that expectations are set so that this service and takes lower priority to existing services such as making sure the House Cable System is on-line and other production operations.

2. We are proposing a donation of the Ethernet switch and the 24 MPEG-2 encoders to the House. However, we are also fine with title to the equipment being held by Public.Resource.Org and the House simply provides space.

3. This equipment will take 8u for the encoders, 1-2u for the Ethernet switch and 1-2u for the Router provided by C-SPAN.

4. We are proposing a single output from the Ethernet switch, a feed to the net. However, the switch will have sufficient capacity that you could also make this data available to other groups (for example Granicus and your House Live service could tape into this).

5. C-SPAN will use their existing fiber to connect to the router and provide an Ethernet or fiber link back to their headquarters.

6. At C-SPAN headquarters, they will break the video out into traditional switching equipment, allowing them to feed it to the Verizon AVOC for the pool. Note that not all 24 channels would connect at all times to the AVOC: this would be on an as-needed, as-available basis.

7. From C-SPAN headquarters, their existing ISP provides a 10GE link (10 billion bit per second Internet link). We use this to tunnel over to the Internet2 backbone.

8. At the Internet2 backbone, the 24 lines are “multicast” which means a single stream of video for each channel reaches all points of the backbone.

9. At the edges of the Internet2 backbone, the video will continue to propagate. Typically this will be to end-users, but it can also be to other networks by “peering.”
   a. An example of an end network is the Internet Archive which has a 1 gbps link to Internet2. It would “peer” with Internet2 and then ingest all 24 of the streams, recording them to disk.
   b. An example of an “end user” is YouTube which would provide YouTube Live.
   c. Another example of an end user is Public.Resource.Org, which would record the sessions to disk.
   d. Most of the sites that ingest these 24 video streams would be “big” users (such as YouTube). Most consumers would watch the video on one of those services. Some users, such as local broadcast stations, would download the broadcast-quality files from points such as Public.Resource.Org.

10. We would propose that the groups working to make this new service possible (the House, Public.Resource.Org, C-SPAN, and Internet2) sign a simple memorandum of understanding. We are proposing a target date for the MOU to be signed of early September and a start date for the new service of January, 2012.
Internet2 Planned 100 Gigabit Infrastructure Topology (DRAFT)
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Internet2 Network by the numbers
- 10 Juniper T1600 routers
- 7 Juniper MX960 nodes for TR-CPS
- 49 custom colocation facilities
- 250+ amplification racks
- 15,500 miles of newly acquired dark fiber
- 2,400 miles of partnered capacity with NTNC
- 8.8 Tbps of optical capacity
- 100 Gbps of IP capacity
- 300+ Ciena ActiveFlex 6500 network elements

In Support of:
- UCAN
- INDIANA UNIVERSITY
- CISCO
- INFINERA
- JUNIPER NETWORKS
- LEVEL3
This map illustrates how from the Internet2 backbone, the rest of the Internet is reached. In this case, C-SPANs video library and all the major Indiana schools are reachable through the state-wide I-Light network, which in turn connects to Internet2.

Internet2 also peers with all the major commercial networks.
Equipment Proposed for Installation in House Broadcast Studio

IPME-2 MPEG-2 Encoders take audio in (RCA) and video in (F Connector) from the House Broadcast Studio.

Each MPEG-2 Encoder has an Ethernet cable out to the Gigabit Ethernet switch.

An Ethernet cable connects the switch to the router. All 24 channels flow over this single cable. Total traffic for each MPEG-2 Encoder has an Ethernet cable out to the Gigabit Ethernet Switch is 168 mbps. Note that at no point is this connected to the House internal network.

The router connects to C-SPAN headquarters at 400 North Capitol. The fiber optic channel runs at 1 gbps or 10 gbps.
The video also continues out to the Internet using their existing ISPs. One copy of the video is made available at C-SPAN headquarters.

High-Level Block Diagram of Connection from Capitol Hill to C-SPAN

From Capitol Hill

1 Gbps link from Capitol Hill to 400 N. Capitol. Uses existing fiber.

Ingest Router at C-SPAN

The video also continues out to the Internet using their existing ISPs.

Ethernet Switch

One copy of the video is made available at C-SPAN headquarters.

IP->MPEG2 Decoders

MPEG2 decoders are used to break the IP-based video streams out into traditional video. This video is then selectively made available to the pool and others on the Verizon AVOC switch, the same mechanism currently used to make pool footage available.

To Verizon AVOC Switch

Ingress Router at Internet2

24 streams of 7 mbps MPEG2 video are multicast on the Internet2 backbone. Multicasting allows a single stream of video to reach all the edge points of the Internet2 backbone (see attached backbone map).

Note that each video stream will be placed on a well-known permanent multicast address (224.0.9.000-224.0.9.255) which was allocated to Carl Malamud in RFC 1700. This means that end points will not need a service discovery mechanism since the addresses will be fixed.

Egress Router at C-SPAN

Redundant 10 gbps links from C-SPAN are used to tunnel the 24 streams of video to the edge of the Internet2 backbone.