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NATIONAL FOREWORD

This Indian Standard (Part 0) which is identical with IEC/TR 61508-0 : 2005 ‘Functional safety of electrical/electronic/programmable electronic safety-related systems — Part 0: Functional safety and IEC 61508’ issued by the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) was adopted by the Bureau of Indian Standards on the recommendation of the Industrial Process Measurement and Control Sectional Committee and approval of the Electrotechnical Division Council.

The text of IEC Standard has been approved as suitable for publication as an Indian Standard without deviations. Certain conventions are, however, not identical to those used in Indian Standards. Attention is particularly drawn to the following:

a) Wherever the words 'International Standard' appear referring to this standard, they should be read as 'Indian Standard'.

b) Comma (,) has been used as a decimal marker, while in Indian Standards, the current practice is to use a point (.) as the decimal marker.

In this adopted standard, reference appears to certain International Standards for which Indian Standards also exist. The corresponding Indian Standards, which are to be substituted in their respective places, are listed below along with their degree of equivalence for the editions indicated:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>International Standard</th>
<th>Corresponding Indian Standard</th>
<th>Degree of Equivalence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>International Standard</td>
<td>Corresponding Indian Standard</td>
<td>Degree of Equivalence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The technical committee has reviewed the provisions of the following International Standard referred in this adopted standard and has decided that it is acceptable for use in conjunction with this standard:

**International Standard**

- IEC Guide 104 The preparation of safety publications and the use of basic safety publications and group safety publications

Only the English language text in the International Standard has been retained while adopting it in this Indian Standard, and as such the page numbers given here are not the same as in the IEC Standard.

For the purpose of deciding whether a particular requirement of this standard is complied with, the final value, observed or calculated, expressing the result of a test, shall be rounded off in accordance with IS 2 : 1960 'Rules for rounding off numerical values (revised)'. The number of significant places retained in the rounded off value should be the same as that of the specified value in this standard.

\(^1\) Since revised in 2005.
INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this Technical Report is to introduce the concept of functional safety and to give an overview of the IEC 61508 series of standards.

You should read it if you are:

- wondering whether IEC 61508 applies to you,
- involved in the development of electrical, electronic or programmable electronic systems which may have safety implications, or
- drafting any other standard where functional safety is a relevant factor.

Clause 3 of this document gives an informal definition of functional safety, describes the relationship between safety functions, safety integrity and safety-related systems, gives an example of how functional safety requirements are derived, and lists some of the challenges in achieving functional safety in electrical, electronic or programmable electronic systems. Clause 4 gives details of IEC 61508, which provides an approach for achieving functional safety. The clause describes the standard's objectives, technical approach and parts framework. It explains that IEC 61508 can be applied as is to a large range of industrial applications and yet also provides a basis for many other standards.
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FUNCTIONAL SAFETY OF ELECTRICAL/ELECTRONIC/PROGRAMMABLE ELECTRONIC SAFETY-RELATED SYSTEMS

PART 0 FUNCTIONAL SAFETY AND IEC 61508

1 Scope

This Technical Report introduces the concept of functional safety and gives an overview of the IEC 61508 series.

2 Normative references

The following referenced documents are indispensable for the application of this document. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.


IEC Guide 104, The preparation of safety publications and the use of basic safety publications and group safety publications

ISO/IEC Guide 51, Safety aspects – Guidelines for their inclusion in standards
3 Functional safety

3.1 What is functional safety?

We begin with a definition of safety. This is freedom from unacceptable risk of physical injury or of damage to the health of people, either directly, or indirectly as a result of damage to property or to the environment.

Functional safety is part of the overall safety that depends on a system or equipment operating correctly in response to its inputs.

For example, an overtemperature protection device, using a thermal sensor in the windings of an electric motor to de-energise the motor before it can overheat, is an instance of functional safety. But providing specialised insulation to withstand high temperatures is not an instance of functional safety (although it is still an instance of safety and could protect against exactly the same hazard).

Neither safety nor functional safety can be determined without considering the systems as a whole and the environment with which they interact.

3.2 Safety functions and safety-related systems

Generally, the significant hazards for equipment and any associated control system in its intended environment have to be identified by the specifier or developer via a hazard analysis. The analysis determines whether functional safety is necessary to ensure adequate protection against each significant hazard. If so, then it has to be taken into account in an appropriate manner in the design. Functional safety is just one method of dealing with hazards, and other means for their elimination or reduction, such as inherent safety through design, are of primary importance.

The term safety-related is used to describe systems that are required to perform a specific function or functions to ensure risks are kept at an accepted level. Such functions are, by definition, safety functions. Two types of requirements are necessary to achieve functional safety:

- safety function requirements (what the function does) and
- safety integrity requirements (the likelihood of a safety function being performed satisfactorily).

The safety function requirements are derived from the hazard analysis and the safety integrity requirements are derived from a risk assessment. The higher the level of safety integrity, the lower the likelihood of dangerous failure.

Any system, implemented in any technology, which carries out safety functions is a safety-related system. A safety-related system may be separate from any equipment control system or the equipment control system may itself carry out safety functions. In the latter case, the equipment control system will be a safety-related system. Higher levels of safety integrity necessitate greater rigour in the engineering of the safety-related system.
3.3 Example of functional safety

Consider a machine with a rotating blade that is protected by a hinged solid cover. The blade is accessed for routine cleaning by lifting the cover. The cover is interlocked so that whenever it is lifted an electrical circuit de-energises the motor and applies a brake. In this way, the blade is stopped before it could injure the operator.

In order to ensure that safety is achieved, both hazard analysis and risk assessment are necessary.

a) The hazard analysis identifies the hazards associated with cleaning the blade. For this machine it might show that it should not be possible to lift the hinged cover more than 5 mm without the brake activating and stopping the blade. Further analysis could reveal that the time for the blade to stop shall be 1 s or less. Together, these describe the safety function.

b) The risk assessment determines the performance requirements of the safety function. The aim is to ensure that the safety integrity of the safety function is sufficient to ensure that no one is exposed to an unacceptable risk associated with this hazardous event.

The harm resulting from a failure of the safety function could be amputation of the operator's hand or could be just a bruise. The risk also depends on how frequently the cover has to be lifted, which might be many times during daily operation or might be less than once a month. The level of safety integrity required increases with the severity of injury and the frequency of exposure to the hazard.

The safety integrity of the safety function will depend on all the equipment that is necessary for the safety function to be carried out correctly, i.e. the interlock, the associated electrical circuit and the motor and braking system. Both the safety function and its safety integrity specify the required behaviour for the systems as a whole within a particular environment.

To summarise, the hazard analysis identifies what has to be done to avoid the hazardous event, or events, associated with the blade. The risk assessment gives the safety integrity required of the interlocking system for the risk to be acceptable. These two elements, "What safety function has to be performed?" — the safety function requirements — and "What degree of certainty is necessary that the safety function will be carried out?" — the safety integrity requirements — are the foundations of functional safety.

3.4 Challenges in achieving functional safety

Safety functions are increasingly being carried out by electrical, electronic or programmable electronic systems. These systems are usually complex, making it impossible in practice to fully determine every failure mode or to test all possible behaviour. It is difficult to predict the safety performance, although testing is still essential.

The challenge is to design the system in such a way as to prevent dangerous failures or to control them when they arise. Dangerous failures may arise from:

- incorrect specifications of the system, hardware or software;
- omissions in the safety requirements specification (e.g. failure to develop all relevant safety functions during different modes of operation);
- random hardware failure mechanisms;
- systematic hardware failure mechanisms;
- software errors;
- common cause failures;
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- human error;
- environmental influences (e.g. electromagnetic, temperature, mechanical phenomena);
- supply system voltage disturbances (e.g. loss of supply, reduced voltages, re-connection of supply).

IEC 61508 contains requirements to minimise these failures and is described in the next clause.

4 IEC 61508 – Functional safety of E/E/PE safety-related systems

4.1 Objectives

IEC 61508 aims to

- release the potential of E/E/PE technology to improve both safety and economic performance;
- enable technological developments to take place within an overall safety framework;
- provide a technically sound, system based approach, with sufficient flexibility for the future;
- provide a risk-based approach for determining the required performance of safety-related systems;
- provide a generically-based standard that can be used directly by industry but can also help with developing sector standards (e.g. machinery, process chemical plants, medical or rail) or product standards (e.g. power drive systems);
- provide a means for users and regulators to gain confidence when using computer-based technology;
- provide requirements based on common underlying principles to facilitate:
  - improved efficiencies in the supply chain for suppliers of subsystems and components to various sectors,
  - improvements in communication and requirements (i.e. to increase clarity of what needs to be specified),
  - the development of techniques and measures that could be used across all sectors, increasing available resources,
  - the development of conformity assessment services if required.

IEC 61508 does not cover the precautions that may be necessary to prevent unauthorized persons damaging, and/or otherwise adversely affecting, the functional safety achieved by E/E/PE safety-related systems.

4.2 E/E/PE safety-related systems

IEC 61508 is concerned with functional safety, achieved by safety-related systems that are primarily implemented in electrical and/or electronic and/or programmable electronic (E/E/PE) technologies, i.e. E/E/PE safety related systems. The standard is generic in that it applies to these systems irrespective of their application.
Some requirements of the standard relate to development activities where the implementation technology may not yet have been fully decided. This includes development of the overall safety requirements (concept, scope definition, hazard analysis and risk assessment). If there is a possibility that E/E/PE technologies might be used, the standard should be applied so that the functional safety requirements for any E/E/PE safety-related systems are determined in a methodical, risk-based manner.

Other requirements of the standard are not solely specific to E/E/PE technology, including documentation, management of functional safety, functional safety assessment and competence. All requirements that are not technology-specific might usefully be applied to other safety-related systems although these systems are not within the scope of the standard.

The following are examples of E/E/PE safety-related systems:

- emergency shut-down system in a hazardous chemical process plant;
- crane safe load indicator;
- railway signalling system;
- guard interlocking and emergency stopping systems for machinery;
- variable speed motor drive used to restrict speed as a means of protection;
- system for interlocking and controlling the exposure dose of a medical radiotherapy machine;
- dynamic positioning (control of a ship’s movement when in proximity to an offshore installation);
- fly-by-wire operation of aircraft flight control surfaces;
- automobile indicator lights, anti-lock braking and engine-management systems;
- remote monitoring, operation or programming of a network-enabled process plant;
- an information-based decision support tool where erroneous results affect safety.

An E/E/PE safety-related system covers all parts of the system that are necessary to carry out the safety function (i.e. from sensor, through control logic and communication systems, to final actuator, including any critical actions of a human operator).

Since the definition of E/E/PE safety-related system is derived from the definition of safety, it also concerns freedom from unacceptable risk of both physical injury and damage to the health of people. The harm can arise indirectly as a result of damage to property or the environment. However, some systems will be designed primarily to protect against failures with serious economic implications. IEC 61508 can be used to develop any E/E/PE system that has critical functions, such as the protection of equipment or products.

4.3 Technical approach

IEC 61508

- uses a risk based approach to determine the safety integrity requirements of E/E/PE safety-related systems, and includes a number of examples of how this can be done;
- uses an overall safety lifecycle model as the technical framework for the activities necessary for ensuring functional safety is achieved by the E/E/PE safety-related systems;
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- covers all safety lifecycle activities from initial concept, through hazard analysis and risk assessment, development of the safety requirements, specification, design and implementation, operation and maintenance, and modification, to final decommissioning and/or disposal;
- encompasses system aspects (comprising all the subsystems carrying out the safety functions, including hardware and software) and failure mechanisms (random hardware and systematic);
- contains both requirements for preventing failures (avoiding the introduction of faults) and requirements for controlling failures (ensuring safety even when faults are present);
- specifies the techniques and measures that are necessary to achieve the required safety integrity.

4.4 Safety integrity levels

IEC 61508 specifies 4 levels of safety performance for a safety function. These are called safety integrity levels. Safety integrity level 1 (SIL1) is the lowest level of safety integrity and safety integrity level 4 (SIL4) is the highest level. The standard details the requirements necessary to achieve each safety integrity level. These requirements are more rigorous at higher levels of safety integrity in order to achieve the required lower likelihood of dangerous failure.

An E/E/PE safety-related system will usually implement more than one safety function. If the safety integrity requirements for these safety functions differ, unless there is sufficient independence of implementation between them, the requirements applicable to the highest relevant safety integrity level shall apply to the entire E/E/PE safety-related system.

If a single E/E/PE system is capable of providing all the required safety functions, and the required safety integrity is less than that specified for SIL1, then IEC 61508 does not apply.

4.5 Example of functional safety revisited

The safety function requirements and the safety integrity requirements constitute the functional safety requirements specification. These requirements must be fully determined before designing the E/E/PE safety-related system.

In the example described in Clause 3, the functional safety requirements for the specific hazardous event could be stated as follows.

When the hinged cover is lifted by 5 mm or more, the motor shall be de-energised and the brake activated so that the blade is stopped within 1 s. The safety integrity level of this safety function shall be SIL2.

The functional safety requirements specification concerns behaviour of the safety-related system as a whole, within a particular environment. In this example, the E/E/PE safety-related system includes the guard interlock switch, the electrical circuit, contactors, the motor and the brake.
4.6 Parts framework of IEC 61508

IEC 61508 consists of the following parts, under the general title *Functional safety of electrical/electronic/programmable electronic safety-related systems*:

- **Part 0:** Functional safety and IEC 61508
- **Part 1:** General requirements
- **Part 2:** Requirements for electrical/electronic/programmable electronic safety-related systems
- **Part 3:** Software requirements
- **Part 4:** Definitions and abbreviations
- **Part 5:** Examples of methods for the determination of safety integrity levels
- **Part 6:** Guidelines on the application of IEC 61508-2 and IEC 61508-3
- **Part 7:** Overview of measures and techniques

A requirements map is shown in Figure 1.
Figure 1 – Requirements map for parts 1 to 7 of IEC 61508
4.7 IEC 61508 as a basis for other standards

Standards writers need to address functional safety in their safety standard if the hazard analysis carried out by a Technical Committee identifies that this is necessary to adequately protect against a significant hazard or hazardous event.

Parts 1, 2, 3 and 4 of IEC 61508 are *IEC basic safety publications*. One of the responsibilities of IEC Technical Committees is, wherever practicable, to make use of these parts of IEC 61508 in the preparation of their own sector or product standards that have E/E/PE safety-related systems within their scope. For more details see *IEC Guide 104* and *ISO/IEC Guide 51*.

IEC 61508 is the basis for published sector standards (e.g. process sector). It is also currently being used as a basis for developing other sector standards and product standards. It is therefore influencing the development of E/E/PE safety-related systems and products across all sectors.

**Sector specific standards based on IEC 61508:**

- are aimed at system designers, system integrators and users;
- take account of specific sector practice, which can allow less complex requirements;
- use sector terminology to increase clarity;
- may specify particular constraints appropriate for the sector;
- usually rely on the requirements of IEC 61508 for detailed design of subsystems;
- may allow end users to achieve functional safety without having to consider IEC 61508 themselves.

The basic safety publication status of IEC 61508 described above does not apply for low complexity E/E/PE safety-related systems (see 4.2 of IEC 61508-1). These are E/E/PE safety-related systems in which the failure modes of each individual component are well-defined and the behaviour of the system under fault conditions can be completely determined. An example is a system comprising one or more limit switches, operating one or more contactors to de-energize an electric motor, possibly via interposing electromechanical relays.

4.8 IEC 61508 as a stand-alone standard

All parts of IEC 61508 can be used directly by industry as "stand-alone" publications. This includes use of the standard:

- as a set of general requirements for E/E/PE safety-related systems where no application sector or product standards exist or where they are not appropriate;
- by suppliers of E/E/PE components and subsystems for use in all sectors (e.g. hardware and software of sensors, smart actuators, programmable controllers, data communication);
- by system builders to meet user specifications for E/E/PE safety-related systems;
- by users to specify requirements in terms of the safety functions to be performed together with the performance requirements of those safety functions;
to facilitate the maintenance of the "as designed" safety integrity of E/E/PE safety-related systems;
• to provide the technical framework for conformity assessment and certification services;
• as a basis for carrying out assessments of safety lifecycle activities.

4.9 Further information

Further information on IEC 61508 and functional safety, including an extensive set of frequently asked questions (see Annex A), can be found in the "functional safety" zone of the IEC web site (http://www.iec.ch/functionalsafety).

If you have a copy of the standard but are not familiar with its contents, you may find it helpful to read the following sections first:

• Annex A of IEC 61508-5, which introduces risk concepts and safety integrity.
• Figure 2 and Table 1 of IEC 61508-1, which illustrate the overall safety lifecycle and list the objectives of each lifecycle phase. The lifecycle and phase objectives provide a key to understanding the requirements of Clause 7 of IEC 61508-1.
• Clauses 6 and 8 of IEC 61508-1, which contain requirements relating to management of functional safety and functional safety assessment.
• Annex A of IEC 61508-6, which gives an eight-page overview of the requirements in IEC 61508-2 and IEC 61508-3.
• Figure 2 and Table 1 of IEC 61508-2 and Figure 3 and Table 1 of IEC 61508-3, which provide a key to understanding the requirements of Clause 7 of IEC 61508-2 and IEC 61508-3 respectively.

Any particular requirement of IEC 61508 should be considered in the context of its lifecycle phase (where applicable) and the stated objectives for the requirements of that phase, clause or subclause. The objectives are always stated immediately before the requirements.
## Annex A
(informative)

### List of frequently asked questions from IEC “functional safety” zone

Table A.1 lists frequently asked questions that are answered in the “functional safety” zone of the IEC website (http://www.iec.ch/functionalsafety). Other questions may have been added since this list was published.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Frequently asked questions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Scope</strong></td>
<td>Is IEC 61508 relevant to me?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>What systems does IEC 61508 cover?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Give me some practical examples</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>How does IEC 61058 apply where E/E/PE technology makes up only a small part of the safety-related system?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>How does IEC 61508 apply to systems whose function is to avoid damage to the environment or severe financial loss?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>What does IEC 61508 consist of?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Can I get hold of the standard for free, for example by downloading from the Internet?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Now I’ve obtained a copy of the standard, how do I go about reading it?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Position in international standards framework</strong></td>
<td>How will the standard be published internationally?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>What is the international status of IEC 61508?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>How does IEC 61508 fit together with application sector standards?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>What is a basic safety publication?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>What application sector or subsystem standards based on IEC 61508 are there?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>How do safety integrity levels 1 to 4 in IEC 61508 convert or relate to the categories described in EN 954-1?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Can I use IEC 61508 as a stand-alone standard?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Will IEC 61508 be revised?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Can I submit a comment for the revision process?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Regional issues and technical interpretation</strong></td>
<td>How can I find information on IEC 61508 specific to my country?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Is IEC 61508 also a European Standard?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Is application of IEC 61508 compulsory under any IEC Directive?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>How can I request a technical interpretation for a particular subclause of the standard?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>How can I contact my national committee?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Complying with the standard

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Frequently asked questions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Which requirements do I need to satisfy in order to claim compliance with the standard?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How does IEC 61508 apply to low complexity E/E/PE safety-related systems?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How do the requirements of IEC 61508 change with respect to the safety integrity level of the safety functions allocated to the E/E/PE safety-related system?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is it necessary to choose techniques and measures from those recommended in annexes A and B of IEC 61508-2 and IEC 61508-3 in order to comply with the standard?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I have contractual responsibility for some (but not all) of the development phases for an E/E/PE safety-related system. What information do I need in documentation from other parties to enable me to comply with IEC 61508?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suppliers are quoting that their products conform to IEC 61508 for a specific safety integrity level. Does this mean that using these products is sufficient for me to comply with IEC 61508?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I supply subsystems, such as sensors or actuators, that are intended for use in an E/E/PE safety-related system. What does IEC 61508 mean for me?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do I have to use third party certified components in order to comply with IEC 61508?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is there any correlation between the level of independence required for functional safety assessment and the need for third party certification?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In what ways do I need to consider the impact of human activities on the operation of an E/E/PE safety-related system?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Can an E/E/PE safety-related system contain hardware and/or software that was not produced according to IEC 61508, and still comply with the standard?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do control systems that place demands on a safety-related system have to be themselves designated as safety-related systems?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How do electromagnetic immunity limits depend on the safety integrity level?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Key concepts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key concepts</th>
<th>What is functional safety?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>What is a safety-related system in the context of IEC 61508?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What does E/E/PE mean?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What is a low complexity E/E/PE safety-related system?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What is a safety integrity level (SIL)?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What does software safety integrity mean in the context of safety integrity being defined as probability of failure?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What is meant by a SIL system, subsystem or component?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What is functional safety assessment?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What is a mode of operation?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What is the difference between low demand mode of operation and high demand or continuous mode of operation?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Give me example architectures for the different modes of operation.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does the mode of operation affect how the safety integrity level is determined?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What is the equipment under control (EUC)?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Hazard and risk analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hazard and risk analysis</th>
<th>Is IEC 61508 only concerned about ensuring safety by improving reliability?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Does IEC 61508 cover the elimination of hazards at source?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does IEC 61508 require a quantitative risk analysis to be carried out in order to determine safety integrity levels?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What factors should I take into account when planning to use a risk graph method for determining safety integrity levels?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How do I take account of hazards that are introduced by the E/E/PE safety-related system?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<th>Date of Issue</th>
<th>Text Affected</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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